arXiv:1608.03754v1 [math.GR] 12 Aug 2016

GROUP ACTIONS, DEFORMATIONS, POLYGROUP EXTENSIONS, AND GROUP PRESENTATIONS

ŞERBAN BASARAB[†] AND THOMAS MÜLLER[*]

Abstract. Generalizing classical extension theory, we solve a Schreier-type extension problem for polygroups by groups. As a consequence, we obtain a method for computing a presentation for a group from its action on a set. The usefulness of this method is illustrated by deriving explicit presentations for the groups GL_2 over valuation rings and over valued fields, for the groups SL_3 over arbitrary fields, as well as for the five Mathieu groups. Moreover, we sketch some aspects of a new deformation technique for groups, their actions, and presentations, and apply it to compute presentations for the sharply 3-transitive Zassenhaus groups $M(q^2)$ (in the notation of Huppert and Blackburn) for any odd prime power q. This computation serves to demonstrate how suitable deformation of groups and their actions interacts with, and thereby enhances, the presentation method.

Dedicated to the memory of John R. Stallings (1935–2008)

Introduction

Ever since Felix Klein's 1872 Erlangen Program [34], the close connection between group theory and geometry has inspired a fair amount of mathematical research. Klein's original idea was to study geometries via the invariants of the group(s) of symmetries naturally associated with this geometry, and to understand the hierarchy of geometries in terms of the corresponding hierarchy of their associated groups. It soon transpired however that this idea is not a one-way road: it may be possible, conversely, to make an abstract group act on some sort of geometric space, and to derive structural information concerning this group from details of such an action. This strategy is already present – in somewhat imprecise form – in Poincaré's first Acta memoir [49] (see in particular sections III and X), where he explains how to obtain a presentation for a Fuchsian group from its action on a (previously constructed) fundamental domain; in full generality the defining relations of a Fuchsian group were first obtained by Klein [35].[1] This idea of deriving structural information (usually in the form of a presentation) for a group from its action on a suitable space has, subsequently, been made precise by a number of authors in a variety of contexts; cf. Abels [1], Bass [12], Behr [14], Bridson and Haefliger [16], Brown [17], Gerstenhaber [27], Haefliger [28], Macbeath [39], Serre [58],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20B20, 20B22, 20F05; Secondary 16W60, 20D08.

Key words and phrases. Group actions, presentations, polygroups, group extensions, valuation rings, valued fields, deformations, general linear groups, special linear groups, Mathieu groups.

[*]Research supported by Lise Meitner Grant M1661-N25 of the Austrian Science Foundation FWF.

[1]The same approach also underlies the classification given by Klein and Fricke [36] of Fuchsian groups with compact orbit space.

Siegel [59], Soulé [61], Stallings [62], Swan [63], Tits [65, App. 2], and Weil [68] for a nonexhaustive sample.

The present paper introduces three new (though interrelated) ideas: (i) a generalisation of group extension theory, which works without the assumption of normality, (ii) a deformation technique for groups, actions, and presentations, and (iii) a method for obtaining presentations from group actions on sets. In this introduction, we shall briefly explain these ideas, and put them into context.

Firstly, we contribute to the line of research described in the previous paragraph by establishing a method for deriving a presentation of a group from its action on a mere set (finite or infinite, with or without extra structure). The corresponding result, Theorem 2.1 in Section 2.1, is both general and powerful. We illustrate its usefulness in Sections 3–5, by analysing the groups GL_2 over valuation rings and over fields with valuation, and the groups SL_3 over arbitrary (discrete) fields, obtaining explicit presentations for these groups; cf. Theorems 3.8, 4.6, and 5.8. In Section 7, we derive, in a uniform way, new presentations for the five Mathieu groups by combining Theorem 2.1 (or rather its consequence Corollary 2.2, where the action is assumed to be multiply transitive) with a version of Witt's construction [69].

The group actions underlying the results of Sections 3 and 5 are the natural action of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$, where K is the field of fractions of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$, and the (2-transitive) action of $SL_3(K)$ on the points of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$, respectively. In Section 4, the main result, Theorem 4.6, is obtained from the action of GL_2 over the valued field (K, v) on a suitable Λ-tree $\mathfrak{X}$, where $\Lambda = v(K^\times)$ is the value group of v, whose underlying set is the global residue structure

$$\mathfrak{X} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} K/\{z \in K : v(z) \geq \alpha\}$$

of (K, v). This action may be viewed as a deformation, induced by the valuation v, of the corresponding projective action.

At present, two approaches to Theorem 2.1 are known, each leading further into a fruitful area of research: the theory of group actions on (connected) groupoids, and generalized extension theory.

In the first case, Theorem 2.1 appears as the special case of a structure theorem for such group actions where the action is of simplicial type (i.e., the corresponding groupoid is a blow-up of a non-empty set); cf. [5] and [48, Chap. I]. Incorporating further geometric structure elements, this line of thought eventually leads to a Bass-Serre-type theory for group actions on Λ-trees, where Λ is a totally ordered (or even a lattice-ordered) abelian group. The latter theory, whose presentation requires substantial preparation, will be described in a separate publication [48].

A more direct road to Theorem 2.1, which we adopt in the present paper, is via a suitable generalization of group extension theory. Given a group G and a subgroup $H \leq G$, let $E = H\backslash G/H$ be the corresponding space of (H, H)-double cosets. If H is normal in G, the set E, which carries a natural polygroup structure, is isomorphic to the quotient

group G/H, and G is an extension of E by H. In general, for H not necessarily normal in G, the group G may still be viewed as a kind of extension of the polygroup E by the group H. One is thus led to formulate a *Schreier-type extension problem* for such generalized extensions, and it is this extension problem which is solved in Section 8; cf. Theorem 8.12. Just as Schreier described (equivalence classes of) group extensions via (equivalence classes of) factor systems (2-cocycles), Theorem 8.12 parametrizes (equivalence classes of) group extensions of polygroups by groups via (equivalence classes of) certain objects called *group-like graphs of groups*, which arise from a suitable extension and modification of Serre's graph of groups concept. Theorem 2.1 is an immediate consequence of results obtained in Section 8.

The theory of generalized group extensions, as initiated in Section 8, while clearly in need of further research, is of considerable interest in its own right. Even at the present (still very incomplete) state, it has a number of applications beyond a proof of Theorem 2.1: new characterisations for a number of interesting groups (for instance, symmetric or sporadic simple groups) via their corresponding extension space; a general structure theory for 2-transitive groups (finite or infinite), in the finite case largely without recourse to the classification of finite simple groups; a better understanding of infinite sharply 2-transitive groups, shedding new light on a recent result by Rips, Segev, and Tent [56] concerning the existence of infinite sharply 2-transitive groups without abelian normal subgroups, etc. These results, together with a further development of the underlying extension theory will eventually be presented in a separate publication; cf. [47].

The third idea introduced in this paper concerns a new kind of deformation technique for groups, their associated actions, and presentations. Technically speaking, we use actions $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ by automorphisms of a group G on itself to twist the extension class of G, with G being viewed as an extension of the image $\varphi(G)$ by the kernel of φ, and to deform associated G-actions and presentations. The machinery of group deformations was originally conceived by the first author in the context of profinite and abstract co-Galois theory (see [7] and [10]); it was subsequently generalised and developed further by the authors; cf. [11]. In order to keep the length of this paper within reasonable bounds, we confine ourselves in Section 6 to describing some of the more elementary (discrete) aspects of deformation theory, demonstrating by means of an example how this technique interacts with (and thereby enhances) the presentation method of Theorem 2.1. The main result of that section, Theorem 6.17, provides a presentation for the sharply 3-transitive Zassenhaus group $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ (in the notation of Huppert and Blackburn [30]) for any odd prime power $q = p^m$, the group $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ being viewed as a deformation (not as a twist!) of the group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q^2)$. The smallest of these groups, $\mathrm{M}(3^2)$, plays a role in Section 7.2, being the stabilizer of a point in the Mathieu group M_{11}.

The contents of this paper represents the first fruit of a, rather intense, collaboration of the authors, which started in 2009, and came to an abrupt end with the unexpected death of Şerban Basarab on 14 July 2014 (TWM).

Contents

§1. Hypergroups and polygroups.
§2. Presentations arising from group actions on sets.
§3. GL_2 over valuation rings.
§4. GL_2 over valued fields.
§5. SL_3 over fields.
§6. Deformations of groups and presentations.
§7. The Mathieu groups
§8. Group extensions of polygroups by groups.

1. Hypergroups and polygroups

For the convenience of the reader, this brief section collects together some definitions and facts from the theory of hypergroups and polygroups which are needed in the sequel.

1.1. **Definition of a hypergroup.** The concept of a hypergroup, introduced by F. Marty [40] in 1934, originally arose as a generalization of the concept of an abstract group. Hypergroups play a central role in the theory of algebraic hyperstructures, having connections with classical algebraic structures as well as manifold applications in geometry, topology, combinatorics, probability theory, category theory, logic, etc.; cf. [15]–[24], [29], [31], [38], [42]–[44], [51]–[55], and [64]–[67], for a sample.

We state some definitions. Let E be a non-empty set. Denoting by $\mathcal{P}^*(E)$ the collection of all non-empty subsets of E, the injective map $E \to \mathcal{P}^*(E)$, $a \mapsto \{a\}$, identifies E with a subset of $\mathcal{P}^*(E)$. A *hyperoperation* $\circ$ in E is a map $E \times E \to \mathcal{P}^*(E)$, denoted by $(a, b) \mapsto a \circ b$. The hyperoperation $\circ$ is extended to non-empty subsets of E in a natural way by setting $A \circ B := \bigcup_{(a,b)\in A\times B} a \circ b$.

Definition 1.1. A non-empty set E endowed with a hyperoperation $\circ$ is called a *hypergroup* if the following are satisfied for all $a, b, c \in E$:

(i) $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$, that is, $\bigcup_{u\in b\circ c} a \circ u = \bigcup_{v\in a\circ b} v \circ c$ (Associativity);

(ii) $E \circ a = E = a \circ E$, that is, $\bigcup_{u\in E} u \circ a = E = \bigcup_{u\in E} a \circ u$ (Reproduction Axiom).

Remarks 1.2. (1) The associativity condition (i) implies associativity for non-empty subsets of E; that is, we have $A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C$.

(2) The reproduction axiom (ii) is equivalent to the following:

$$b/a := \{x \in E : b \in x \circ a\} \neq \varnothing \text{ and } a\backslash b := \{x \in E : b \in a \circ x\} \neq \varnothing \text{ for all } a, b \in E.$$

1.2. **Polygroups.** We shall need the more restrictive notion of a polygroup introduced by S. D. Comer in [19].

Definition 1.3. A *polygroup* or *quasicanonical hypergroup* is a hypergroup $(E, \circ)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) there exists a *scalar identity*, that is, there exists some $e \in E$ such that $a \circ e = a = e \circ a$ for all $a \in E$;

(ii) for each $a \in E$, there exists some $\bar{a} \in E$ such that, for all $b \in E$, $b/a = b \circ \bar{a}$ and $a\backslash b = \bar{a} \circ b$.

Remarks 1.4. (1) The scalar identity e is necessarily unique.

(2) For any $a \in E$, the element $\bar{a}$ is unique; moreover, we have $e \in (a \circ \bar{a}) \cap (\bar{a} \circ a)$, $e = \bar{e}$, $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$, and $\overline{a \circ b} := \{\bar{x} : x \in a \circ b\} = \bar{b} \circ \bar{a}$.

(3) A non-empty set E, endowed with an associative hyperoperation $\circ$ and a scalar identity e, is a polygroup if, and only if, for each $a \in E$, there exists $\bar{a} \in E$ such that, for all $a, b, c \in E$, $a \in b \circ c$ implies $b \in a \circ \bar{c}$ and $c \in \bar{b} \circ a$.

(4) a hypergroup $(E, \circ)$ with a scalar identity is a polygroup if, and only if, the following *transposition condition* is satisfied:

$$(b\backslash a) \cap (c/d) \neq \varnothing \Longrightarrow (a \circ d) \cap (b \circ c) \neq \varnothing \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in E;$$

cf. [33, Corollary 3].

Commutative polygroups, that is, polygroups $(E, \circ, e, {}^{-})$ satisfying $a \circ b = b \circ a$ for all $a, b \in E$, are also called *canonical hypergroups*. Note that, by Part (2) of Remarks 1.4, polygroups E satisfying $\bar{a} = a$ for all $a \in E$ are commutative.

Given a polygroup $(E;\, \circ,\, e, {}^{-})$, we denote by $\Gamma(E)$ its *associated graph* consisting of one vertex and E as set of edges, together with the involution ${}^{-}\colon E \to E$, $f \mapsto \bar{f}$. By an *orientation* of the graph $\Gamma(E)$ we mean a subset $E_+ \subseteq E$ such that

$$E = E_+ \amalg \big\{ f \in E : \bar{f} \in E_+ \text{ and } f \neq \bar{f} \big\};$$

in particular, $e = \bar{e} \in E_+$. Note that the graph $\Gamma(E)$ does not contain any information concerning the hyperoperation $\circ$.

1.3. **Isomorphisms of polygroups.** An *isomorphism* $\Phi : E_1 \to E_2$ between two polygroups $E_1 = (E_1, \circ, {}^{-}, e_1)$ and $E_1 = (E_1, \circ, {}^{-}, e_1)$ is a bijection $E_1 \to E_2$ between the underlying sets, which respects the hyperoperation in the sense that

$$\Phi(a \circ b) = \Phi(a) \circ \Phi(b), \quad (a, b \in E_1);$$

that is, which is a strong homomorphism in the usual terminology of hypergroup theory; cf. for instance, Definitition 3.3.15 in [23]. It can be shown that an isomorphism of polygroups $\Phi : E_1 \to E_2$ respects inversion, that is, it satisfies

$$\Phi(\bar{a}) = \overline{\Phi(a)}, \quad a \in E_1,$$

and maps the scalar identity of E_1 onto that of E_2.

1.4. **Double coset spaces as polygroups.** Given a group G and a subgroup H, consider the set $E := H\backslash G/H$ of (H, H)-double cosets $C(g) = HgH$ for $g \in G$. The set E comes equipped with a canonical polygroup structure $(E;\, \circ,\, e, {}^{-})$, with the associative hyperoperation $\circ$ given by

$$C(g_1) \circ C(g_2) = \big\{ C(g_1 h g_2) : h \in H \big\}$$

(so that $C(g_1) \circ C(g_2)$ results by splitting the complex product $Hg_1H \cdot Hg_2H$ into double cosets modulo H), the scalar identity $e = C(1) = H$, and the involution ${}^{-}\colon E \to E$ given by $C(g) \mapsto \overline{C(g)} := C(g^{-1})$. Double coset spaces as polygroups seem to have first been explicitly discussed in [25].

The polygroup E associated with the pair (G, H) is a group if, and only if, H is a normal subgroup of G; in this case, E is isomorphic to the quotient group G/H, so that G is a group extension of E by H. In general, for H not necessarily normal in G, the group G may still be viewed as an extension of the polygroup E by the group H; see Section 8, where the corresponding more general extension problem is formulated and solved.

2. Presentations arising from group actions on sets

2.1. **The general result.** Let G be a group acting transitively from the left on a set Ω, let ω_0 be a given point of Ω, and let $H := G_{\omega_0}$ be the stabiliser of ω_0 in G. The bijection $\varphi : G/H \to \Omega$, induced by the surjective map $G \to \Omega = G\omega_0$, $g \mapsto g\omega_0$ is H-equivariant with respect to the action of H by left multiplication on the set G/H and the action of H on Ω induced by restriction from the given transitive action of G.

The bijection $\varphi : G/H \longrightarrow \Omega$ thus induces a bijection

$$\Phi : H\backslash G/H \longrightarrow H\backslash\Omega, \quad C(g) \mapsto H \cdot g\omega_0,$$

from the set $H\backslash G/H$ of double cosets $C(g) := HgH$ with $g \in G$ onto the set $H \setminus \Omega$ of H-orbits $H\omega$ with $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover the sets $H\backslash G/H$ and $H\backslash\Omega$ are equipped with canonical structures of polygroups, and the map Φ is an isomorphism of polygroups. Explicitly, the associative hyperoperation $\circ$ on $H\backslash\Omega$ is defined by

$$H\omega_1 \circ H\omega_2 = \big\{H \cdot gh\omega_2 \,:\, h \in H\big\}, \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega,\, \omega_1 = g\omega_0),$$

the scalar identity is $H\omega_0 = \{\omega_0\}$, while inversion is defined by $\overline{H\omega} = H \cdot g^{-1}\omega_0$ for $\omega = g\omega_0 \in \Omega$. (The polygroup structure of the double coset space $H\backslash G/H$ has already been discussed in Section 1.4.)

Note that the polygroup $E := H \setminus G/H \cong H \setminus \Omega$ is a group if, and only if, H is a normal subgroup of G; in this case, the stabilizer $H = G_{\omega_0}$ is the kernel of the transitive action of G on the set Ω, E is the quotient group G/H, thus G is an extension of the group $E = G/H$ by the group H. In the general case, when the subgroup H is not necessarily normal in G, the group G may still be seen as an extension of the polygroup E by the group H. The more general form of the classical Schreier group extension problem concerning group extensions of polygroups by groups is discussed in Section 8. In this more general framework, Schreier's factor systems (2-cocycles) are replaced by certain *group-like graphs of groups*.[2] In particular, we obtain a presentation of the group G in terms of the subgroup H and the polygroup $E = H\backslash G/H \cong H\backslash\Omega$ as follows.

We fix a section $\sigma : E \to G$ of the projection map $G \to E = H\backslash G/H$ satisfying $\sigma(e) = 1$, $\sigma(\bar{f}) = \sigma(f)^{-1}$ for $\bar{f} \neq f$, and $\theta_f := \sigma(f)^2 \in H$ for $\bar{f} = f$. For $f \in E$, set $H_f := H \cap \sigma(f)H\sigma(f)^{-1}$, and define an isomorphism $\iota_f : H_f \to H_{\bar{f}}$ by $\iota_f(h) = \sigma(f)^{-1}h\sigma(f)$. Note that $H_e = H$, that $\iota_e = 1_H$, and that $\iota_{\bar{f}} = \iota_f^{-1}$ for $\bar{f} \neq f$, while

[2]The concept of a group-like graph of groups was originally introduced in [5, Section 4] in the context of group actions on connected groupoids; cf. also [48, Chap. 1].

for $\bar{f} = f$, we have $\theta_f \in H_f$, $\iota_f \in \mathrm{Aut}(H_f)$, $\iota_f(\theta_f) = \theta_f$, and $\iota_f^2 = \iota_f \circ \iota_f$ is the inner automorphism of H_f given by $h \mapsto \theta_f^{-1} h \theta_f$.

Next, for each $f \in E$, we choose a left transversal P_f for H modulo H_f with $1 \in P_f$. Consequently, we obtain a normal form for the elements $g \in G$

$$g = \rho_g \, \sigma(C(g)) \, \lambda_g \quad (\rho_g \in P_{C(g)}, \lambda_g \in H). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, for each pair $(f, f') \in E \times E$, we choose a set $Q_{f,f'} \subseteq H$ of pairwise inequivalent representatives of the double cosets $H_f h H_{f'}$ in the space $H_f \setminus H / H_{f'}$ with $1 \in Q_{f,f'}$, and $Q_{f',f} = Q_{f,f'}^{-1}$ provided $f \neq f'$.

Next, we choose an *orientation* on $(E, {}^-)$; that is, a subset $E_+ \subseteq E$ such that

$$E = E_+ \amalg \{\bar{f} : f \in E_+ \text{ and } f \neq \bar{f}\};$$

in particular, $e = \bar{e} \in E_+$.

We denote by F the free group with basis $X = \{x_f : f \in E_+ - \{e\}\}$, in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the set $E_+ - \{e\}$, defining $x_e := 1$ and $x_f := x_{\bar{f}}^{-1}$ for $f \in E - E_+$. Finally, we fix a total order $\leq$ on the set $E - \{e\}$.

With this notation and the above conventions, our basic result concerning group actions on sets is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *The group G is generated by the free product $H * F$ modulo the type* (I) *relations*

$$h x_f = x_f \iota_f(h) \quad (f \in E_+ - \{e\},\, h \in H_f), \tag{2}$$

together with the type (II) *relations*

$$x_f h x_{f'} = \rho_g x_{C(g)} \lambda_g \quad (f, f' \in E - \{e\}, \bar{f} \leq f', h \in Q_{\bar{f},f'}, g = \sigma(f) h \sigma(f')), \tag{3}$$

where ρ_g and λ_g are defined as in (1).

A proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 8 in the context of group extensions of polygroups by groups; cf. Section 8.6.

2.2. **Multiply transitive actions.** In the context of Theorem 2.1, suppose that the action of G on the set Ω, of cardinality at least 2, is 2-transitive. Then the action of the stabiliser $H := G_{\omega_0}$ on the set $\Omega - \{\omega_0\}$ is transitive, thus $H \setminus \Omega = \{\{\omega_0\}, \Omega - \{\omega_0\}\}$ and $H \setminus G / H = \{H, G - H\}$. The polygroup $E = H \setminus G / H \cong H \setminus \Omega$ of cardinality 2 is a group (cyclic of order 2) if, and only if, $|\Omega| = (G : H) = 2$, while, for $|\Omega| \geq 3$, E is, up to isomorphism, the unique polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ of cardinality 2, with the scalar identity 0, $\bar{1} = 1$, and $1 \circ 1 = \{0, 1\}$ (that is, the smallest non-trivial polygroup). In particular, $E_+ = E$ in both cases.

To obtain a section $\sigma : E \longrightarrow G$ of the projection map $G \longrightarrow E = H \setminus G / H$, in accordance with the requirements of 2.1, we choose a point $\omega_1 \in \Omega - \{\omega_0\}$ and some element $\tau \in G - H$ which interchanges the points ω_0 and ω_1 (such an element exists by 2-transitivity), and set $\sigma(H) = 1$, $\sigma(G - H) = \tau$. Thus $G_{\omega_1} = \tau H \tau^{-1}$ is the stabilizer of ω_1 in G, $\theta := \tau^2 \in H_1 := H \cap \tau H \tau^{-1}$, and the automorphism ι of H_1 is given by

$h \mapsto \tau^{-1}h\tau$, in particular, $\iota(\theta) = \theta$. Next, we choose a left transversal $P \subseteq H$ for H modulo H_1 and a set $Q \subseteq H$ of pairwise inequivalent representatives of the double cosets in the space $H_1 \setminus H/H_1$ with $1 \in P \cap Q$. Note that $|Q| = 1$, that is $H = H_1$, if, and only if, $|\Omega| = 2$; while $|Q| = 2$, that is $H - H_1$ is the unique nontrivial double coset in $H_1 \setminus H/H_1$, if, and only if, $|\Omega| \geq 3$ and the action of G on the set Ω is 3-transitive.

For any $q \in Q$ with $q \neq 1$, the element $\rho'_q := \rho_{\tau q \tau} \in P$ is uniquely determined by the condition that $\tau q \tau \in \rho'_q \tau H$, that is $\tau q \omega_1 = \rho'_q \omega_1$. Set $\lambda'_q := \lambda_{\tau q \tau} = ((\rho'_q)^{-1}\tau q)^\tau$ for $q \in Q - \{1\}$.

Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a group acting 2-transitive from the left on a set Ω, and let ω_0, ω_1 be two distinct points of Ω, with stabilisers $H := G_{\omega_0}$ and $G_{\omega_1} = \tau H \tau^{-1}$, respectively, where $\tau \in G$ is an element interchanging ω_0 and ω_1. Let $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a system of generators for the subgroup $H_1 := H \cap \tau H \tau^{-1}$ of G. Then, given the above notation and conventions, G is generated by the group H plus one new generator x, subject to the relations*

$$h_i\, x = x\, \iota(h_i) \quad (i \in I), \tag{4}$$

$$x^2 = \theta\,, \tag{5}$$

$$x\, q\, x = \rho'_q\, x\, \lambda'_q \quad (q \in Q - \{1\}). \tag{6}$$

Note that Condition (6) is empty if $|\Omega| = 2$, while it consists of only one identity if $|\Omega| \geq 3$ and the action is 3-transitive.

3. GL_2 over valuation rings

Let K be a valued field with valuation v. We denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, residue field $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$, and the totally ordered abelian value group $\Lambda = v(K^\times) \cong K^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times$, where $K^\times = K \setminus \{0\}$ is the multiplicative group of K, $\mathcal{O}^\times = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ is the multiplicative group of invertible elements of $\mathcal{O}$, and $v(x) \leq v(y) \iff \mathcal{O}y \subseteq \mathcal{O}x$ for $x, y \in K$.

Let $\Lambda_+ = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : \alpha \geq 0\} = v(\mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\})$, $\overline{\Lambda}_+ = \Lambda_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, with $\infty + \alpha = \infty$ and $\alpha \leq \infty$ for all $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+$. Note that $\overline{\Lambda}_+$ is a totally ordered commutative monoid with $v(1) = 0$ as the neutral and also least element, while $v(0) = \infty$ is its zero and last element. Given $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+$, fix an element $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $v(t_\alpha) = \alpha$; thus $t_\infty = 0$, and we may assume that $t_0 = 1$.

Let $G = GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ be the group of all 2×2-matrices $A = (a_{i,j})$ over $\mathcal{O}$ which are invertible, that is, $v(\det(A)) = 0$. We denote by $B = B_2(\mathcal{O})$ the subgroup of G consisting of the upper-triangular matrices, and by $D = D_2(\mathcal{O})$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices with entries in $\mathcal{O}^\times$. For any $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, we denote by $Z(a)$ the (scalar) diagonal matrix aI_2, and by $R(a)$ the diagonal matrix A with $a_{1,1} = a$ and $a_{2,2} = 1$; in particular,

$Z(1) = R(1) = I_2$. For every $A \in D$, we obtain the decomposition

$$A = Z(a_{2,2})R(a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^{-1}) = R(a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^{-1})Z(a_{2,2}). \tag{7}$$

It follows that the abelian group $D \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$ is generated by the matrices $Z(a), R(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ subject to the defining relations[3]

$$R(a)R(b) = R(ab), \tag{8}$$

$$Z(a)Z(b) = Z(ab), \tag{9}$$

$$[R(a), Z(b)] = 1, \tag{10}$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}; R(1) = Z(1) = 1$.

In what follows, we shall apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain presentations for the groups B and G.

3.1. **A presentation for $B_2(\mathcal{O})$.** The group $B = B_2(\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively from the left on the affine line over the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ according to the rule

$$A \cdot z := \frac{a_{1,1}z + a_{1,2}}{a_{2,2}} \text{ for } A \in B \text{ and } z \in \mathcal{O}. \tag{11}$$

The kernel of the action is the center

$$Z = Z_2(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{ Z(a) \,|\, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \right\} \cong \mathcal{O}^\times,$$

while $B_0 = D \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$ is the stabilizer of the point 0. In order to be able to apply Theorem 2.1 to the pair (B, D) we need to describe explicitely the space of double cosets $D \setminus B/D$ and its polygroup structure. To this end, we set $S_\infty = I_2$ and, for $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$,

$$S_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & t_\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that the matrices S_α are involutions; in particular, $S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Next, define a hyperoperation on the set $\overline{\Lambda}_+$ via

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \begin{cases} \{\min(\alpha, \beta)\}, & \alpha \neq \beta, \\ [\alpha, \infty] = \{\gamma \in \overline{\Lambda}_+ : \alpha \leq \gamma\}, & \alpha = \beta \text{ and } \mathrm{card}(k) \geq 3, \\ (\alpha, \infty] = \{\gamma \in \Lambda_+ : \alpha < \gamma\} \cup \{\infty\}, & \alpha = \beta \text{ and } k \cong GF(2). \end{cases}$$

The resulting polygroup $\mathcal{P}_B(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$ on $\overline{\Lambda}_+$ is clearly commutative, has scalar identity ∞, and its inverse map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{-1}$ is the identity on $\overline{\Lambda}_+$. One immediately verifies that, for $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$ and any natural number $n \geq 2$,

$$\alpha^n := \underbrace{\alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha}_{n \text{ times}} = \begin{cases} \alpha^2 = [\alpha, \infty], & \mathrm{card}(k) \geq 3, \\ \alpha^2 = (\alpha, \infty], & k \cong GF(2) \text{ and } 2 \,|\, n, \\ \{\alpha\}, & k \cong GF(2) \text{ and } 2 \not| \, n; \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

[3]Throughout this paper, the commutator $[x, y]$ of two group elements x, y is taken in the form $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

in particular,

$$0^2 := 0 \circ 0 = \begin{cases} \overline{\Lambda}_+, & \text{card}(k) \geq 3, \\ \overline{\Lambda}_+ \setminus \{0\}, & k \cong GF(2). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Given these definitions, we can now state the following.

Lemma 3.1. (i) *The surjective map $B \longrightarrow \overline{\Lambda}_+$ given by $A \mapsto v(a_{1,2})$ induces a bijection $\varphi_B : D \setminus B/D \longrightarrow \overline{\Lambda}_+$, whose inverse sends $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+$ to the double coset $DS_\alpha D$.*

(ii) *The bijection φ_B yields an isomorphism between the polygroup on the space of double cosets $D \setminus B/D$ and the commutative polygroup $\mathcal{P}_B(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$.*

(iii) *The double coset DS_0D is the unique element of the space $D \setminus B/D$ which generates its associated hypergroup.*

Proof. (i) It is straightforward to see that the assignment $A \mapsto v(a_{1,2})$ induces a well-defined map $\varphi_B : D\backslash B/D \to \overline{\Lambda}_+$. To see that the map $\overline{\Lambda}_+ \to D\backslash G/D$ given by $\alpha \mapsto DS_\alpha D$ is inverse to φ_B, it suffices to note that every matrix $A \in B \setminus D$ admits the normal form

$$A = \rho_A \cdot \varepsilon(A) \cdot \lambda_A, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\rho_A = R\big(\frac{a_{1,2}}{t_\alpha a_{2,2}}\big) \in D, \tag{15}$$

$$\varepsilon(A) = S_\alpha \in B \setminus D, \tag{16}$$

$$\lambda_A = R\big(-\frac{t_\alpha a_{1,1}}{a_{1,2}}\big) \cdot Z(a_{2,2}) \in D, \tag{17}$$

$$\alpha = v(a_{1,2}) \in \Lambda_+. \tag{18}$$

(ii) Let $A, A' \in B \setminus D$. Setting $A'' = A \cdot A'$, we have $a''_{1,2} = a_{1,1}a'_{1,2} + a_{1,2}a'_{2,2}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &v(a''_{1,2}) = \min(v(a_{1,2}), v(a'_{1,2})), \text{ if } v(a_{1,2}) \neq v(a'_{1,2}), \\ &v(a''_{1,2}) \geq v(a_{1,2}), \text{ if } v(a_{1,2}) = v(a'_{1,2}) \text{ and } \text{card}(k) \geq 3, \\ &v(a''_{1,2}) > v(a_{1,2}), \text{ if } v(a_{1,2}) = v(a'_{1,2}) \text{ and } k \cong GF(2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover it follows easily that for any $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$ and for any $\beta \geq \alpha$ provided $\text{card}(k) \geq 3$ and $\beta > \alpha$ provided $k \cong GF(2)$ respectively, there exist matrices $A, A' \in B$ such that $v(a_{1,2}) = v(a'_{1,2}) = \alpha, v(a''_{1,2}) = \beta$. Thus, the map $\varphi_B : D\backslash B/D \to \overline{\Lambda}_+$ is an isomorphism of polygroups, as claimed.

(iii) This follows from (12) and (13). □

Remarks 3.2. (i) B is a group extension of the commutative polygroup $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$ (defined before Lemma 3.1) by the commutative group $D \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$. Note that the hyperoperation $\circ$ on $\overline{\Lambda}_+$ depends on whether or not the residue field k is isomorphic to the field $GF(2)$ of cardinality 2.

(ii) For any $a \in \mathcal{O}$, let

$$E(a) := E_{1,2}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

in particular, $E(0) = I_2$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$. Using the normal form (14), we obtain the decomposition

$$E(a) = R(\frac{a}{t_\alpha}) \cdot S_\alpha \cdot R(-\frac{t_\alpha}{a}),$$

where $\alpha = v(a) \in \Lambda_+$. In particular, $E(a) = R(a) \cdot S_0 \cdot R(-a)^{-1}$ provided $a \in O^\times$, while $E(t_\alpha) = S_\alpha \cdot R(-1)$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$.

It remains to apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a presentation for the group B in terms of the stabiliser $B_0 = D$ and the chosen representatives $S_\alpha \in B$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$ of the non-trivial double cosets modulo D.

To get the relations of type (I), we note that for any $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$, the associated partial automorphism ι_α of D, $x \mapsto S_\alpha x S_\alpha^{-1}$ is the identical automorphism of $D \cap S_\alpha D S_\alpha^{-1} = Z \cong O^\times$, the center of B. Consequently, the relations of type (I) are

$$[S_\alpha, Z(a)] = 1, \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+,\, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}). \tag{19}$$

To obtain the relations of type (II), we note that, by (7), $\{R(a) : a \in \mathcal{O}^\times\}$ is a set of representatives for the space $Z\backslash D/Z = D/Z \cong \mathcal{O}^\times$, so it remains only to use the normal form (14) to compute the invariants $\rho_A, \varepsilon(A), \lambda_A$ for the matrices $A = S_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot S_\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, and $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. It follows that the relations of type (II) are

$$S_\alpha^2 = 1 \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+), \tag{20}$$

$$S_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot S_\alpha = R(\frac{t_\alpha(1-a)}{t_\gamma}) \cdot S_\gamma \cdot R(\frac{t_\gamma a}{t_\alpha(a-1)}) \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}), \tag{21}$$

where $\gamma = \alpha + v(a-1)$, and

$$S_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot S_\beta = R(\frac{t_\alpha - a t_\beta}{t_\alpha}) \cdot S_\alpha \cdot R(\frac{a t_\alpha}{a t_\beta - t_\alpha}) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+,\, \alpha < \beta,\, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times). \tag{22}$$

Note that the relations (22) are consequences of the relations (8), (20), and (21). Moreover, in accordance with the fact that the double coset DS_0D generates the commutative polygroup with support $D \setminus B/D$ (cf. Lemma 3.1(iii)), we also find defining relations for S_α, $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}$ in terms of S_0 and diagonal matrices:

$$S_\alpha = S_0 \cdot R(1-t_\alpha) \cdot S_0 \cdot R(t_\alpha - 1)^{-1} = [S_0, R(1-t_\alpha)] \cdot R(-1) \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}). \tag{23}$$

Consequently, we obtain the following presentation of the group $B = B_2(\mathcal{O})$.

Proposition 3.3. *The group $B = B_2(\mathcal{O})$ of invertible upper-triangular matrices with entries in the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ is generated by the matrices $R(a), Z(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ and $S := S_0$, subject to the relations* (8), (9), (10)*, as well as*

$$[S, Z(a)] = 1 \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}), \tag{24}$$

$$S^2 = 1, \tag{25}$$

$$[S, R(1-at_\alpha)] = R(a) \cdot [S, R(1-t_\alpha)] \cdot R(a)^{-1}\, (0 \neq \alpha \in \Lambda_+, 1 \neq a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \tag{26}$$

$$S \cdot R(a) \cdot S = R(1-a) \cdot S \cdot R(\frac{a}{a-1}) \quad (a \in \mathfrak{k}), \tag{27}$$

where $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus (1+\mathfrak{m})$ is a set of representatives modulo the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the elements in $k^\times \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. In the presence of (8), (9), and (10), the relations (24), (25), (26), and (27) are obvious consequences of the relations (19), (20), and (21).

Conversely, for any $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}$, we use the defining relation (23) for S_α to deduce (19) from (10) and (24), while (20) is a consequence of (25) and (26) taking $a = (t_\alpha - 1)^{-1}$.

It remains to deduce (21). Let $\alpha \in \Lambda_+, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$ the left and right side of the identity (21), respectively. We distinguish the following two cases.

(i) $\gamma := \alpha + v(a-1) > 0$. By (23) and (26) we obtain

$$\mathcal{R} = R\big(\frac{t_\alpha(1-a)}{t_\gamma}\big) \cdot [S, R(1-t_\gamma)] \cdot R\big(\frac{t_\gamma a}{t_\alpha(1-a)}\big) = [S, R(1-t_\alpha(1-a)] \cdot R(a).$$

If $\alpha = 0$, so $t_\alpha = 1$, it follows by (25) that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L}$ as desired. Assuming $\alpha > 0$, we obtain again by (23), (25), and (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} &= [S, R(1-t_\alpha)] \cdot \big(R(1-t_\alpha) \cdot [S, 1 - \frac{a}{t_\alpha - 1} t_\alpha] \cdot R(1-t_\alpha)^{-1}\big) \cdot R(a) \\
&= S_\alpha \cdot R(t_\alpha - 1) \cdot \big(R\big(\frac{a}{t_\alpha - 1}\big) \cdot [S, 1-t_\alpha] \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{t_\alpha - 1}\big)^{-1}\big) \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{1-t_\alpha}\big) \\
&= S_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot S_\alpha = \mathcal{L}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(ii) $\gamma = 0$, that is, $\alpha = v(a-1) = 0$, so $a \bmod \mathfrak{m} \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$. Let $b \in \mathfrak{k}$ be such that $\beta := v(a-b) > 0$. By (i), (8), (25) and (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S \cdot R(a) \cdot S &= (S \cdot R(b) \cdot S) \cdot (S \cdot R(ab^{-1}) \cdot S) \\
&= \big(R(1-b) \cdot S \cdot R\big(\frac{b}{b-1}\big)\big) \cdot \big(R\big(\frac{b-a}{bt_\beta}\big) \cdot S_\beta \cdot R\big(\frac{at_\beta}{a-b}\big)\big) \\
&= R(1-b) \cdot S \cdot \big(R\big(\frac{1 - \frac{1-a}{1-b}}{t_\beta}\big) \cdot S_\beta \cdot R\big(\frac{\frac{1-a}{1-b} t_\beta}{\frac{1-a}{1-b} - 1}\big)\big) \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{a-1}\big) \\
&= R(1-b) \cdot S \cdot \big(S \cdot R\big(\frac{1-a}{1-b}\big) \cdot S\big) \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{a-1}\big) \\
&= R(1-a) \cdot S \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{a-1}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

In particular, if the valuation v is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{O} = K = k$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let K be an arbitrary field.Then the group $B_2(K)$ of invertible upper-triangular matrices with entries in K is generated by the matrices $R(a), Z(a)$ with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\})$ and the matrix S, subject to the relations* (8), (9), (10), *where $a, b \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$,* (24) *with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$,* (25), *and* (27) *with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$.*

Remarks 3.5. (i) If the residue field k is isomorphic to $GF(2)$, then $\mathcal{O}^\times = 1 + \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k} = \varnothing$, therefore the relation (27) does not occur in the presentation of $B_2(\mathcal{O})$. In particular, $B_2(GF(2)) \cong \langle S \,|\, S^2 = 1\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and the polygroup with support $D \setminus B/D$ is a group (isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$) if, and only if, $K \cong GF(2)$.

(ii) Assume that the valuation v is discrete, that is, the totally ordered abelian group $\Lambda = v(K^\times)$ has a smallest positive element 1. Thus, the ordered group $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers is identified with the smallest proper convex subgroup of Λ; in particular, $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}$ provided the discrete valuation v is of rank 1. Setting $t := t_1$, so $v(t) = 1$, we may replace (26) with

$$[S, R(1 - at)] = R(a)[S, R(1 - t)]R(a)^{-1} \quad (a \in O^\times - \{1\}). \tag{28}$$

Indeed, using (8) and (28), we obtain for all $1 < \alpha \in \Lambda, a \in O^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[S, R(1 - at_\alpha)] &= [S, R(1 - at)R(1 - \frac{a(\frac{t_\alpha}{t} - 1)}{1 - at}t)] \\
&= [S, R(1 - at)]R(1 - at)[S, R(1 - \frac{a(\frac{t_\alpha}{t} - 1)}{1 - at}t)]R(1 - at)^{-1} \\
&= R(a)([S, R(1 - t)]R(\frac{t_\alpha}{t} - 1)[S, R(1 - t)]R(\frac{t_\alpha}{t} - 1)^{-1})R(a)^{-1} \\
&= R(a)[S, R(1 - t_\alpha)]R(a)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(iii) An alternative presentation of $B := B_2(\mathcal{O})$ can be obtained using the natural semi-direct product decomposition $B \cong \mathcal{O}^+ \ltimes (\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times)$ which holds more generally over an arbitrary commutative ring $\mathcal{O}$ with identity element 1. It follows that B is generated by the matrices $R(a), Z(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\})$ and $E(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\})$ subject to the relations (8), (9), (10) from the presentation of $D \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$ together with the relations

$$E(a) \cdot E(b) = E(a + b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}); E(0) := 1,$$

induced by the additive structure of $\mathcal{O}$, and the relations describing the action of $\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$ on $\mathcal{O}^+$

$$[Z(a), E(b)] = 1,\ R(a) \cdot E(b) \cdot R(a)^{-1} = E(ab) \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}, b \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}).$$

The presentation above and the presentation provided by Proposition 3.3 are related through the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\mapsto E(1) \cdot R(-1); \\
E(a) &\mapsto R(a) \cdot S \cdot R(-a)^{-1} \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \\
E(a) &\mapsto R(at_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot [S, R(1 - t_\alpha)] \cdot R(a^{-1}t_\alpha) \quad (a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha := v(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) The relations (26) and (27) can be written in the form

$$[S, R(a)] = E(1 - a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times),$$

whence the following cohomological interpretation.

The map $[S, R(-)] : \mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow B, a \mapsto [S, R(a)]$ is a 1-cocycle with respect to the action of the multiplicative group $\mathcal{O}^\times$ on the non-commutative group B

$$(a, A) \in \mathcal{O}^\times \times B \mapsto R(a)AR(a)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{O}^\times$ acts canonically on the abelian group $(\mathcal{O}, +), \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O} \ni (a, b) \mapsto ab$. Consequently, the relations above express the following two facts.

(a) the map $E : (\mathcal{O}, +) \longrightarrow B$ given by $a \mapsto E(a)$ is a monomorphism, and

(b) the 1-cocycle $[S, R(-)] : \mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow B$ is obtained by composing the 1-coboundary $\mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^+$ given by $a \mapsto 1 - a$ with the monomorphism $E : \mathcal{O}^+ \longrightarrow B$.

3.2. **A presentation for $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$.** The group $G := GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively from the left on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$ over K, the fraction field of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$, according to the rule

$$A \cdot z := \frac{a_{1,1}z + a_{1,2}}{a_{2,1}z + a_{2,2}}, \quad (A \in G,\, z \in K \cup \{\infty\}). \tag{29}$$

The kernel of the action is the center

$$Z = Z_2(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{ aI_2 : \, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \right\} \cong \mathcal{O}^\times,$$

while $G_\infty = B = B_2(\mathcal{O})$ is the stabilizer of ∞. In order to be able to apply Theorem 2.1 to the pair (G, B), we need to describe explicitely the polygroup structure on the space of double cosets $B \setminus G/B$, which is in canonical bijection with the orbit space $B \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(K) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+} B \cdot t_\alpha^{-1}$, where

$$B \cdot t_\alpha^{-1} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ v^{-1}(-\alpha) = t_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}^\times, & \text{if } \alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}, \\ \{\infty\}, & \text{if } \alpha = v(0) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

To this end, set $J_\infty = I_2$, and define

$$J_\alpha = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t_\alpha & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

noting that the J_α are involutions. Moreover, define a second polygroup structure on the set $\overline{\Lambda}_+$ via

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \begin{cases} \{\min(\alpha, \beta)\}, & \alpha \neq \beta, \\ [\alpha, \infty] = \{\gamma \in \overline{\Lambda}_+ : \, \alpha \leq \gamma\}, & \alpha = \beta. \end{cases}$$

The resulting polygroup $\mathcal{P}_G(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$ is again commutative, has neutral element (scalar identity) ∞, and satisfies $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+$. With these preliminaries, we can now state the following.

Lemma 3.6. (i) *The surjective map $G \longrightarrow \overline{\Lambda}_+$ given by $A \mapsto v(a_{2,1})$ induces a bijection $\varphi_G : B \setminus G/B \longrightarrow \overline{\Lambda}_+$, whose inverse sends $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+$ to the double coset $BJ_\alpha B$.*

(ii) *The bijection φ_G of Part* (i) *yields an isomorphism between the polygroup on the space of double cosets $B \setminus G/B$ and the commutative polygroup $\mathcal{P}_G(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$.*

(iii) *We have $0^2 = 0 \circ 0 = \overline{\Lambda}_+$, so that the double coset BJ_0B is the unique element of the space $B \setminus G/B$ which generates its associated hypergroup.*

Proof. (i) As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, it suffices to note that every matrix $A \in G \setminus B$ admits the normal form

$$A = \rho_A \cdot \varepsilon(A) \cdot \lambda_A \tag{30}$$

with

$$\rho_A = \begin{cases} E_{1,2}(\frac{a_{1,1}}{a_{2,1}}) & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ R(\frac{a_{1,1}t_\alpha}{a_{2,1}}) & \text{if } \alpha > 0; \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

$$\varepsilon(A) = J_\alpha; \tag{32}$$

$$\lambda_A = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -a_{2,1} & -a_{2,2} \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta}{a_{2,1}} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{2,1}}{t_\alpha} & \frac{a_{1,2}a_{2,1}}{a_{1,1}t_\alpha} \\ 0 & -\frac{\Delta}{a_{1,1}} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

where $\alpha = v(a_{2,1}) \in \Lambda_+$ and $\Delta = \det(A)$.

The proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1(ii), while (iii) is immediate from the definition of the hypergroup operation. □

Remark 3.7. The group G is a group extension of the commutative polygroup $\mathcal{P}_G(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$ by the group B. Note that this polygroup is the same as the polygroup $\mathcal{P}_B(\overline{\Lambda}_+)$ from Lemma 3.1 corresponding to the case where $\mathrm{card}(k) \geq 3$.

It remains to apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a presentation for the group $G = GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ in terms of the stabiliser $G_\infty = B$ and the chosen representatives $J_\alpha \in G \setminus B\,(\alpha \in \Lambda_+)$ of the non-trivial double cosets modulo B.

To get the relations of type (I), we look at the associated partial automorphisms ι_α of B, $A \mapsto J_\alpha \cdot A \cdot J_\alpha^{-1}\,(\alpha \in \Lambda_+)$ inducing automorphisms of the subgroups $B_\alpha := B \cap J_\alpha B J_\alpha^{-1}\,(\alpha \in \Lambda_+)$, respectively.

For $\alpha = 0$, we obtain $B_0 = D \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times$, and the automorphism ι_0 of D is defined by $\iota_0(Z(a)) = Z(a), \iota_0(R(a)) = R(a)^{-1} \cdot Z(a)$, for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. Consequently, we obtain the relations

$$(J_0 \cdot R(a))^2 = Z(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times). \tag{34}$$

Note that the relation $J_0^2 = 1$ is included in (34) for $a = 1$. Note also that the relations $(J_0 \cdot R(a))^2 = (R(a) \cdot J_0)^2\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times)$, and hence the commuting relations $[J_0, Z(a)] = 1\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times)$, are consequences of (34).

For $\alpha > 0$, we obtain $B_\alpha \cong Z \times R_\alpha \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times (1 + t_\alpha \mathcal{O})$, where R_α is the abelian subgroup of B consisting of the matrices

$$R_\alpha(u) := \begin{pmatrix} u & \frac{1-u}{t_\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (v(u-1) \geq \alpha > 0),$$

generated by matrices $R_\alpha(u)$ with $v(u-1) = \alpha$. The automorphism ι_α of B_α is defined by

$$\iota_\alpha(Z(a)) = Z(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times),\ \iota_\alpha(R_\alpha(u)) = R_\alpha(u)^{-1} \cdot Z(u) \quad (v(u-1) \geq \alpha > 0).$$

Consequently, we add to (34) the relations of type (I) for $\alpha > 0$

$$(J_\alpha \cdot R_\alpha(u))^2 = Z(u) \quad (v(u-1) = \alpha > 0), \tag{35}$$

and

$$[J_\alpha, Z(u)] = 1 \quad (u \in \mathcal{O}^\times, v(u-1) < \alpha). \tag{36}$$

Note that, as in the case $\alpha = 0$, the relations $J_\alpha^2 = 1$ and $[J_\alpha, Z(u)] = 1$ for $v(u-1) \geq \alpha > 0$ are consequences of (35).

To obtain the relations of type (II), we have to choose representatives $M \in B$ for the double cosets of $B_\gamma \setminus B / B_\delta \, (\gamma, \delta \in \Lambda_+)$, and use the normal form (30) for matrices of the form $J_\gamma \cdot M \cdot J_\delta$. First assume $\gamma = \delta = 0$, so $B_0 = D$, and put $J := J_0$. It follows by Lemma 3.1 that the matrices $S_\alpha \, (\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_+)$ are representatives for the double cosets in $D \setminus B / D$. As $S_\infty = I_2$, we obtain again the relation $J^2 = 1$, while for $\alpha = 0$, setting $S := S_0$, we obtain the relation of type (II) : $J \cdot S \cdot J = S \cdot J \cdot S$. Since S and J are involutions, it follows that

$$(J \cdot S)^3 = 1. \tag{37}$$

Next, we show that, in agreement with the fact that the double coset $B \cdot J \cdot B$ generates the hypergroup on the space $B \setminus G / B$ (cf. Lemma 3.6), (37) is essentially the only relation of type (II). First note that, for $\alpha > 0$, we get the defining relation for J_α

$$J_\alpha = J \cdot S_\alpha \cdot J \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}). \tag{38}$$

In particular, (36) is a consequence of (19) and (34).

Next note that, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}$, the pair

$$(D/Z \cong \mathcal{O}^\times, B_\alpha / Z \cong R_\alpha \cong (1 + t_\alpha \mathcal{O}))$$

of subgroups of $B/Z \cong \mathcal{O} \ltimes \mathcal{O}^\times$ is a factorization of B/Z; that is, $(D/Z) \cap (B_\alpha / Z) = 1$, and $B/Z = (D/Z) \cdot (B_\alpha / Z)$. Indeed, for all $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times, b \in \mathcal{O}$, the matrix

$$M_{b,a} := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

admits the canonical decompositions

$$M_{b,a} = R_\alpha(1 - bt_\alpha) \cdot R\big(\frac{a}{1 - bt_\alpha}\big) = R(a + bt_\alpha) \cdot R_\alpha\big(1 - \frac{bt_\alpha}{a + bt_\alpha}\big).$$

Consequently, the space $B_0 \setminus B / B_\alpha$ is a singleton for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}$, with I_2 as representative, whence we obtain the relation $J \cdot J_\alpha = S_\alpha \cdot J$, which is equivalent to (38). On the other hand, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}$, the map $\mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow B_\alpha \setminus B / B_\beta$ given by $a \mapsto B_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot B_\beta$ is surjective, inducing a bijection of the space of double cosets $B_\alpha \setminus B / B_\beta$ onto the orbit space of the set $\mathcal{O}^\times$ under the action

$$(1 + t_\alpha \mathcal{O}) \times \mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times, (u, a) \mapsto ua + \frac{1 - u}{t_\alpha} \cdot t_\beta.$$

The action above is free for $\alpha \neq \beta$, while, for $\alpha = \beta$, 1 is the unique fixed point, and the action on $\mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}$ is also free.

Let $Q_{\alpha,\beta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times$ be such that $\{R(a) : a \in Q_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ is a set of representatives for the double cosets in $B_\alpha \setminus B / B_\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\}$. The corresponding relations of type (II)

$$J_\alpha \cdot R(a) \cdot J_\beta = R\big(\frac{at_\gamma}{at_\alpha - t_\beta}\big) \cdot J_\gamma \cdot R\big(\frac{t_\beta - at_\alpha}{t_\gamma}\big) \cdot Z(-1), \tag{39}$$

where

$$\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda_+\setminus\{0\}, a\in Q_{\alpha,\beta}, a\neq 1 \text{ if } \alpha=\beta, \text{ and } \gamma=\begin{cases}\alpha+v(a-1) & \text{if } \alpha=\beta\\ \min(\alpha,\beta) & \text{if } \alpha\neq\beta,\end{cases}$$

are consequences of the relations above, in particular of (38), (34), (21), (22). Indeed, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\alpha\cdot R(a)\cdot J_\beta &= (J\cdot S_\alpha\cdot J)\cdot R(a)\cdot(J\cdot S_\beta\cdot J) = J\cdot S_\alpha\cdot(J\cdot R(a)\cdot J)\cdot S_\beta\cdot J\\
&= J\cdot S_\alpha\cdot(R(a)^{-1}\cdot Z(a))\cdot S_\beta\cdot J = J\cdot(S_\alpha\cdot R(a^{-1})\cdot S_\beta)\cdot J\cdot Z(a)\\
&= J\cdot\big(R\big(\frac{t_\alpha-a^{-1}t_\beta}{t_\gamma}\big)\cdot S_\gamma\cdot R\big(\frac{a^{-1}t_\gamma}{a^{-1}t_\beta-t_\alpha}\big)\big)\cdot J\cdot Z(a)\\
&= \big(J\cdot R\big(\frac{at_\alpha-t_\beta}{at_\gamma}\big)\cdot J\big)\cdot(J\cdot S_\gamma\cdot J)\cdot\big(J\cdot R\big(\frac{t_\gamma}{t_\beta-at_\alpha}\big)\cdot J\big)\cdot Z(a)\\
&= \big(R\big(\frac{at_\gamma}{at_\alpha-t_\beta}\big)\cdot Z\big(\frac{at_\alpha-t_\beta}{at_\gamma}\big)\big)\cdot J_\gamma\cdot\big(R\big(\frac{t_\beta-at_\alpha}{t_\gamma}\big)\cdot Z\big(\frac{t_\gamma}{t_\beta-at_\alpha}\big)\big)\cdot Z(a)\\
&= R\big(\frac{at_\gamma}{at_\alpha-t_\beta}\big)\cdot J_\gamma\cdot R\big(\frac{t_\beta-at_\alpha}{t_\gamma}\big)\cdot Z(-1),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Moreover, the relations (35) of type (I) for $\alpha>0$ are also consequences of the relations (8), (9), (10), (19), (21), (22), (34), (37). Indeed, let $\alpha\in\Lambda_+\setminus\{0\}$, $u\in\mathcal{O}^\times$ be such that $v(u-1)=\alpha$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\alpha R_\alpha(u)J_\alpha &= (JS_\alpha)\cdot J\cdot\big(R\big(\frac{1-u}{t_\alpha}\big)SR\big(\frac{t_\alpha u}{u-1}\big)\big)\cdot J\cdot(S_\alpha J)\\
&= J\cdot\big(S_\alpha R\big(\frac{t_\alpha}{1-u}\big)S\big)\cdot J\cdot\big(SR\big(\frac{u-1}{t_\alpha u}\big)S_\alpha\big)\cdot J\cdot Z(-u)\\
&= J\cdot\big(R\big(\frac{t_\alpha u}{u-1}\big)SR(u)^{-1}\big)\cdot J\cdot\big(R(u)^{-1}SR\big(\frac{1-u}{t_\alpha}\big)\big)\cdot J\cdot Z(-u)\\
&= J\cdot R\big(\frac{t_\alpha u}{u-1}\big)\cdot(S\cdot(R(u)^{-1}JR(u)^{-1})\cdot S)\cdot R\big(\frac{1-u}{t_\alpha}\big)\cdot J\cdot Z(-u)\\
&= J\cdot R\big(\frac{t_\alpha u}{u-1}\big)\cdot(JSJ)\cdot R\big(\frac{1-u}{t_\alpha}\big)\cdot J\cdot Z(-u)\\
&= \big(R\big(\frac{u-1}{t_\alpha u}\big)SR\big(\frac{t_\alpha}{1-u}\big)\big)\cdot Z(u) = R_\alpha(u)^{-1}Z(u),
\end{aligned}$$

whence $(J_\alpha R_\alpha(u))^2=Z(u)$ as desired.

Summarising the discussion above, we obtain the following presentation for the group $G:=GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ over a valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a valuation ring. Then the group $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ is generated by the matrices*

$$R(a)=\begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\ (a\in\mathcal{O}^\times\setminus\{1\}),\ \ S=S_0=\begin{pmatrix}-1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix},\ \text{and}\ \ J=J_0=\begin{pmatrix}0&-1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix},$$

subject to the relations (8), (9), (10), (24), (25), (26), (27), *where*

$$Z(a) := (J \cdot R(a))^2 \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}),$$

together with the relations $J^2 = 1$ *and* $(J \cdot S)^3 = 1$.

In particular, if the valuation v is discrete, with $v(t) = 1$, then, according to Remarks 3.5(ii), (26) can be replaced with (28), while if v is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{O} = K = k$, we obtain

Corollary 3.9. *Let K be a field. Then the group $GL_2(K)$ is generated by the matrices $R(a)$ $(a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\})$, S, and J, subject to the relations* (8), (9), (10), *where $a, b \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$,* (24) *with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$,* (25), (27) *with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$, where $Z(a) := (J \cdot R(a))^2$ $(a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\})$, together with the relations*

$$J^2 = (J \cdot S)^3 = 1. \tag{40}$$

In particular, if $K = GF(q)$ is a finite field of cardinality $q = p^f$, p a prime number, $f \geq 1$, we obtain the following presentation of $GL_2(q)$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let ζ be a generator of the cyclic multiplicative group $K^\times$ of order $q - 1$. Then $GL_2(q)$ is generated by the matrices*

$$r := R(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; S := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

subject to the relations

$$r^{q-1} = S^2 = J^2 = (J \cdot S)^3 = 1, \tag{41}$$

$$z^{q-1} = [r, z] = [S, z] = 1, \text{ where } z := (J \cdot r)^2, \tag{42}$$

$$S \cdot r^i \cdot S = r^{\gamma(i)} \cdot S \cdot r^{-\gamma(q-i-1)} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, q-2), \tag{43}$$

with $\gamma(i) \in \{1, \ldots, q-2\}$ defined by $\zeta^{\gamma(i)} = 1 - \zeta^i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, q-2)$.

Using the semi-direct product $B_2(K) \cong K^+ \ltimes (K^\times \times K^\times)$, we obtain the following alternative presentation of $GL_2(q)$, which can be also deduced from a more general result concerning the deformations of $GL_2(q)$.

Corollary 3.11. *With the notation above, let*

$$P(X) := X^f - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq f-1} a_i X^i \in GF(p)[X]$$

be the minimal polynomial of the primitive element ζ over the prime field $GF(p)$. Then the group $GL_2(q)$ is generated by the matrices

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; e := E_{1,2}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

subject to the relations

$$r^{q-1} = e^p = J^2 = 1, \tag{44}$$

$$(J \cdot S)^3 = 1, \text{ where } S := \begin{cases} e & \text{if } p = 2, \\ e \cdot r^{\frac{q-1}{2}} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

$$z^{q-1} = [r, z] = [e, z] = 1, \text{ where } z := (J \cdot r)^2, \tag{46}$$

$$[e, e_i] = 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, f-1),\ e_f = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq f-1} e_i^{a_i}, \tag{47}$$

where $e_i := r^i \cdot e \cdot r^{-i}$ $(i = 0, \ldots, f)$.

3.3. **Presentations for** $PGL_2(\mathcal{O})$ **and** $PGL_2(K)$**.** The action (29) of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ induces a transitive faithful action of $PGL_2(\mathcal{O}) = GL_2(\mathcal{O})/Z_2(\mathcal{O})$ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$ over K, the fraction field of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$. Using the presentation of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ provided by Theorem 3.8, we obtain the following presentation of $PGL_2(\mathcal{O})$.

Corollary 3.12. *The group* $PGL_2(\mathcal{O})$ *is generated by the transformations*

$$\widetilde{R}(a) : z \mapsto az \ (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\});\ \widetilde{S} : z \mapsto 1 - z;\ \widetilde{J} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$$

subject to the relations

$$\widetilde{R}(ab) = \widetilde{R}(a) \circ \widetilde{R}(b) \ (a, b \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\};\ \widetilde{R}(1) := 1, \tag{48}$$

$$\widetilde{S}^2 = \widetilde{J}^2 = (\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{S})^3 = 1, \tag{49}$$

$$[\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{R}(1 - at_\alpha)] = \widetilde{R}(a) \circ \widetilde{S}_\alpha \circ \widetilde{R}(-a)^{-1} \ (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \{0\},\ a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}), \tag{50}$$

$$\widetilde{S} \circ \widetilde{R}(a) \circ \widetilde{S} = \widetilde{R}(1 - a) \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \widetilde{R}\big(\frac{a}{a-1}\big) \ (a \in \mathfrak{k}), \tag{51}$$

$$(\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{R}(a))^2 = 1 \ (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus \{1\}), \tag{52}$$

where $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times \setminus (1 + \mathfrak{m})$ *is a set of representatives modulo the maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$ *for the elements in* $k^\times \setminus \{1\}$*, and* $\widetilde{S}_\alpha := [\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{R}(1 - t_\alpha)] \circ \widetilde{R}(-1)$.

In particular, if the valuation v is trivial, we obtain the following presentation of $PGL_2(K)$.

Corollary 3.13. *The group* $PGL_2(K)$ *is generated by* $\widetilde{R}(a)$ $(a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\},\ \widetilde{S},$ *and* $\widetilde{J}$*, subject to the relations* (48) $(a, b \in K^\times \setminus \{1\})$, (49), and (51, 52) *with* $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$.

Note that $PGL_2(\mathcal{O})$ and $PGL_2(K)$ are suitable quotients of the free products $\mathcal{O}^\times * \mathrm{Sym}_3$ and $K^\times * \mathrm{Sym}_3$, respectively.

We end this subsection with two presentations of the group $PGL_2(q)$ over the finite field $GF(q)$ derived from Corollaries 3.10 and 3.11.

Corollary 3.14. *The group $PGL_2(q)$ is generated by $\widetilde{r} := \widetilde{R}(\zeta)$, $\widetilde{S}$, and $\widetilde{J}$, subject to the relations*

$$\widetilde{r}^{q-1} = \widetilde{S}^2 = \widetilde{J}^2 = (\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{S})^3 = (\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{r})^2 = 1, \tag{53}$$

$$\widetilde{S} \circ \widetilde{r}^i \circ \widetilde{S} = \widetilde{r}^{\gamma(i)} \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \widetilde{r}^{-\gamma(q-i-1)} \ (i = 1, \dots, q-2), \tag{54}$$

with $\gamma(i) \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ defined by $\zeta^{\gamma(i)} = 1 - \zeta^i$ $(i = 1, \dots, q-2)$.

Corollary 3.15. *The group $PGL_2(q)$ is generated by $\widetilde{r}$, $\widetilde{e} : z \mapsto z + 1$, and $\widetilde{J}$, subject to the relations*

$$\widetilde{r}^{q-1} = \widetilde{e}^p = \widetilde{J}^2 = (\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{r})^2 = (\widetilde{J} \circ \widetilde{S})^3 = 1, \tag{55}$$

$$[\widetilde{e}, \widetilde{e}_i] = 1 \, (i = 1, \dots, q-2), \ \widetilde{e}_f = \prod_{0 \le i \le f-1} \widetilde{e}_i^{a_i}, \tag{56}$$

where

$$\widetilde{e}_i := \widetilde{r}^i \circ \widetilde{e} \circ \widetilde{r}^{-i} \ (i = 0, \dots, f) \text{ and } \widetilde{S} := \begin{cases} \widetilde{e} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \widetilde{e} \circ \widetilde{r}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} & \text{if } p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

4. GL_2 over valued fields

Let (K, v) be a non-trivial valued field, with $\mathcal{O} \neq K, \mathfrak{m}, k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}, \Lambda = v(K^\times)$, as in Section 3. We apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a presentation of the group $GL_2(K)$ using its transitive action on a suitable Λ-tree seen as a deformation of the canonical action of $GL_2(K)$ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$, induced by the valuation v.[4]

For any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, let $K/\alpha := K/\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ be the factor $\mathcal{O}$-module of K by its submodule $\mathcal{O}_\alpha := \{z \in K \, : \, v(z) \geq \alpha\}$; in other words, for $z_1, z_2 \in K$, we have

$$z_1 \equiv z_2 \mod \alpha \iff v(z_1 - z_2) \geq \alpha.$$

We denote by $[z]_\alpha$ the elements of K/α, noting that $[0]_\alpha = [1]_\alpha$ provided $\alpha \leq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{X} := \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} K/\alpha$ be the disjoint union of the $\mathcal{O}$-modules K/α. As a global residue structure of the valued field (K, v), $\mathfrak{X}$ has a rich arithmetic and geometric structure, which can be interpreted as a deformation of the original structure of the valued field (K, v). In particular, $\mathfrak{X}$ becomes a Λ-tree with respect to the distance $d : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \Lambda_+$ given by

$$d([x]_\alpha, [y]_\beta) := |\alpha - \beta| + 2 \max(0, \min(\alpha, \beta) - v(x - y)).$$

Note that the above construction of the residue structure $\mathfrak{X}$ works in the more general case when $\mathcal{O}$ is a Prüfer domain, and K is its field of fractions, where the totally ordered abelian group Λ is replaced by the abelian lattice-ordered group of non-zero fractional ideals of finite type of K. Still more generally, it works for the larger category of Prüfer ring extensions; see [4], [8], [9], and [46] for more details.

To define the desired transitive action of $GL_2(K)$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, we need the following, obvious but useful, lemma.

[4] A similar approach also works for the group SL_2 over a valued field.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K)$, $\Delta = \det(s), \alpha \in \Lambda, z \in K$. *Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) $\delta := \min(\alpha + v(c), v(cz+d)) \in \Lambda$ *does not depend on the choice of the representative* $z \in [z]_\alpha$.

(ii) $\delta = \begin{cases} v(cz+d) & \text{if } [z]_\alpha \neq [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha, \\ \alpha + v(c) & \text{if } [z]_\alpha = [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha. \end{cases}$

In particular, $\delta = v(d)$, *provided* $c = 0$.

(iii) *Let* $\beta := v(\Delta) + \alpha - 2\delta$. *Then*

$$\beta = \begin{cases} v(\Delta) + \alpha - 2v(cz+d), & \text{if } [z]_\alpha \neq [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha, \\ v(\Delta) - \alpha - 2v(c) & \text{if } [z]_\alpha = [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\beta = \alpha + v(a) - v(d)$, *provided* $c = 0$.

Consider the map $GL_2(K) \times \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, $(s, [z]_\alpha) \mapsto s \cdot [z]_\alpha$, given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot [z]_\alpha := \begin{cases} [\frac{az+b}{cz+d}]_\beta \text{ for some (for all) } z \in [z]_\alpha, & \text{if } [z]_\alpha \neq [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha, \\ [\frac{a}{c}]_\beta, & \text{if } [z]_\alpha = [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

with $\beta \in \Lambda$ as defined in Part (iii) of Lemma 4.1.

Remark 4.2. Let $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K), c \neq 0, \Delta := \det(s), \alpha \in \Lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \left\{ \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \,:\, [z]_\alpha = \big[-\frac{d}{c}\big]_\alpha,\, z \neq -\frac{d}{c} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{a}{c} - \frac{\Delta}{\lambda c^2} \,:\, \lambda \in K^\times,\, v(\lambda) \geq \alpha \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in K \,:\, v\big(x - \frac{a}{c}\big) \leq \beta := v(\Delta) - 2v(c) - \alpha \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$A \cap \big[\frac{a}{c}\big]_\beta = \left\{ x \in K \,:\, v\big(x - \frac{a}{c}\big) = \beta \right\} \neq \varnothing,$$

justifying the definition $s \cdot [-\frac{d}{c}]_\alpha = [\frac{a}{c}]_\beta$.

For any $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K)$ with $\Delta := \det(s)$, set $|s| := v(\Delta) - 2v(s) \in \Lambda_+$, where $v(s) := \min(v(a), v(b), v(c), v(d))$.

Proposition 4.3. $GL_2(K)$ *acts transitively by* Λ*-isometries on the* Λ*-tree* $\mathfrak{X}$, *according to the rule* (57), *and the following assertions hold.*

(1) *The kernel of the action is* $Z := \{aI_2 \,:\, a \in K^\times\} \cong K^\times$; *thus,* $PGL_2(K)$ *is identified with a group of automorphisms of the* Λ*-tree* $\mathfrak{X}$.

(2) *The stabilizer of the point* $[0]_{\mathcal{O}} = [1]_{\mathcal{O}}$ *is*

$$H := \big\{ s \in GL_2(K) \,:\, |s| = 0 \big\} = GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \cdot Z;$$

hence, the surjective map $GL_2(K) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, $s \mapsto s \cdot [0]_{\mathcal{O}}$, *identifies* $\mathfrak{X}$ *with the quotient of* $GL_2(K)$ *by the equivalence relation*

$$s \sim t \Longleftrightarrow |s^{-1}t| = 0 \Longleftrightarrow s^{-1}t \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \cdot Z,$$

such that $d(s \cdot [0]_O, t \cdot [0]_O) = |s^{-1}t|$ *for* $s, t \in GL_2(K)$.

(3) *The surjective map* $GL_2(K) \longrightarrow \Lambda_+$, $s \mapsto |s| = d([0]_{\mathcal{O}}, s \cdot [0]_{\mathcal{O}})$ (the composition of the surjective maps $GL_2(K) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, $s \mapsto s \cdot [0]_{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \Lambda_+$, $x \mapsto d(x, [0]_{\mathcal{O}})$) *induces a bijection*

$$PGL_2(\mathcal{O}) \setminus PGL_2(K)/PGL_2(\mathcal{O}) \cong H \setminus GL_2(K)/H \cong H \setminus \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \Lambda_+.$$

For the proof cf. [46], [4], and [8].

For any $\alpha \in \Lambda_+$, choose $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ with $v(t_\alpha) = \alpha$, with $t_0 = 1$, and put $u_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{t_\alpha t_\beta}{t_{\alpha+\beta}} \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, so that $u_{\alpha,\beta} = u_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $u_{\alpha,0} = 1$. Set

$$T_\alpha := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -t_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}, \\ I_2, & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\theta_\alpha := T_\alpha^2 = Z(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha I_2 \in H$. Our next lemma, whose proof is left to the reader (being rather similar to previous arguments), furnishes an explicit description of the polygroup with support $H \setminus GL_2(K)/H$.

Lemma 4.4. (i) *Define a hyperoperation* $\circ$ *on* Λ_+ *via*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \circ \beta &:= \{\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma \,:\, 0 \le \gamma \le \min(\alpha, \beta)\} \\ &= \alpha + \beta - 2[0, \min(\alpha, \beta)] \\ &= |\alpha - \beta| + 2[0, \min(\alpha, \beta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then Λ_+ *becomes a commutative polygroup with scalar identity* 0 *and associated map* $^-$ *the identity on* Λ_+. *Moreover, the canoncial projection of* Λ_+ *onto the quotient group* $\Lambda/2\Lambda$ *is a morphism of polygroups, and we have*

$$\alpha \circ \beta \subseteq (\alpha + \gamma) \circ (\beta + \gamma) \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Lambda_+.$$

(ii) *The map* $\Lambda_+ \longrightarrow H \setminus GL_2(K)/H$ *given by* $\alpha \mapsto C(T_\alpha) := HT_\alpha H$ *is an isomorphism of polygroups.*

Thus, $GL_2(K)$ (respectively $PGL_2(K)$) is a group extension of the polygroup with support Λ_+, as defined in Part (i) of Lemma 4.4, by the group $H = GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \cdot Z$ (respectively $PGL_2(\mathcal{O})$). It remains to apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a presentation for $GL_2(K)$ in terms of its subgroup H and the chosen representatives $T_\alpha \in GL_2(K) \setminus H$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$ of the non-trivial double cosets modulo H.

Let $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$. We have $H_\alpha := H \cap T_\alpha H T_\alpha^{-1} = GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha \cdot Z$, where

$$GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \,:\, v(c) \geq \alpha \right\};$$

in particular, $B_2(\mathcal{O}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_+} GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha$.

The automorphism ι_α of H_α, given by $s \in H_\alpha \mapsto T_\alpha^{-1} s T_\alpha$, is the identity on Z, and sends $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha$ to $\begin{pmatrix} d & t_\alpha^{-1} c \\ t_\alpha b & a \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$. Then the subgroup $H_\alpha \subseteq H$ is generated by the matrices $R(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Z(a) = aI_2$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}$, together with the matrices $Z(t_\gamma) = t_\gamma I_2$ for $\gamma \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$ and the involutory matrices $S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t_\alpha & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. Obviously, all the matrices above are contained in H_α, and the matrices $Z(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}$ together with the matrices $Z(t_\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$ generate the subgroup $Z \cong K^\times$. To show that the above matrices are enough to generate H_α, let $s := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha$, whence $v(a) = v(d) = 0, v(c) := \beta \geq \alpha > 0$. If $c = 0$, that is, if $s \in B_2(\mathcal{O})$, we are done by Proposition 3.3. Assuming $c \neq 0$, it follows by Lemma 3.6 that $s \in B_2(\mathcal{O}) J_\beta B_2(\mathcal{O})$, while $J_\beta \in J_\alpha B_2(\mathcal{O}) J_\alpha$. The conclusion of the lemma is now obvious. □

Using Lemma 4.5, we obtain the following relations of type (I):

$$[T_\alpha, Z(a)] = 1, \quad (\alpha > 0,\, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}), \tag{58}$$

$$[T_\alpha, Z(t_\gamma)] = 1, \quad (\alpha, \gamma > 0), \tag{59}$$

$$R(a)^{T_\alpha} = R(a)^{-1} Z(a), \quad (\alpha > 0,\, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}), \tag{60}$$

$$S^{T_\alpha} = J_\alpha, \quad (\alpha > 0), \tag{61}$$

$$J_\alpha^{T_\alpha} = S, \quad (\alpha > 0). \tag{62}$$

Next, by Lemma 3.6, (30), it follows that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$, the map

$$GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow [0, \min(\alpha, \beta)], \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \min(\alpha, \beta, v(c)),$$

induces a bijection $GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha \setminus GL_2(\mathcal{O}) / GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\beta \longrightarrow [0, \min(\alpha, \beta)]$, and hence $Q_{\alpha,\beta} := \{J_\gamma \,:\, 0 \leq \gamma < \min(\alpha, \beta)\} \cup \{I_2\}$ is a set of pairwise inequivalent representatives for the double cosets of the space

$$H_\alpha \setminus H / H_\beta \cong GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\alpha \setminus GL_2(\mathcal{O}) / GL_2(\mathcal{O})_\beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}).$$

The following relations of type (II), corresponding to the relations $0 \in \alpha \circ \alpha$, $\alpha + \beta \in \alpha \circ \beta$ $(\alpha, \beta > 0)$ in the polygroup $(\Lambda_+, \circ)$,

$$T_\alpha^2 = Z(t_\alpha) \quad (\alpha > 0), \tag{63}$$

$$T_\alpha J T_\beta = T_{\alpha+\beta} R(u_{\alpha,\beta}) \quad (\alpha, \beta > 0). \tag{64}$$

are immediate, while the rest of the relations of type (II) are consequences of the relations (58)–(64) modulo the relations satisfied in H. Indeed, assuming $0 < \alpha < \beta$, we obtain the relation of type (II), corresponding to $\beta - \alpha \in \alpha \circ \beta$,

$$T_\alpha T_\beta = T_\alpha (T_\alpha J T_{\beta-\alpha} R(u_{\alpha,\beta-\alpha})^{-1}) = J T_{\beta-\alpha} R(u_{\alpha,\beta-\alpha})^{-1} Z(t_\alpha) \quad (\alpha < \beta), \tag{65}$$

as a consequence of (64), (63), and (59). Similarly, assuming $\alpha > \beta$, we obtain

$$T_\alpha T_\beta = T_{\alpha-\beta} R(u_{\beta,\alpha-\beta})^{-1} J Z(t_\beta) \quad (\alpha > \beta). \tag{66}$$

Assuming $0 < \gamma < \min(\alpha, \beta)$, we deduce the relation of type (II), corresponding to $\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma \in (\alpha \circ \beta) \cap ((\alpha - \gamma) \circ (\beta - \gamma))$, using successively (61), (63), (59), (66), (65), (37), (60), (62), (64), (58):

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\alpha J_\gamma T_\beta &= (T_\alpha T_\gamma)(T_\gamma^{-1} J_\gamma T_\gamma)(T_\gamma^{-1} T_\beta) \\
&= (T_\alpha T_\gamma) S (T_\gamma T_\beta) Z(t_\gamma)^{-1} \\
&= (T_{\alpha-\gamma} R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma})^{-1})(JSJ)(T_{\beta-\gamma} R(u_{\gamma,\beta-\gamma})^{-1}) Z(t_\gamma) \\
&= (T_{\alpha-\gamma} R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma})^{-1})(SJS)(T_{\beta-\gamma} R(u_{\gamma,\beta-\gamma})^{-1}) Z(t_\gamma) \\
&= (R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma})^{-1} S)^{T_{\alpha-\gamma}} (T_{\alpha-\gamma} J T_{\beta-\gamma})(S^{T_{\beta-\gamma}})(R(u_{\gamma,\beta-\gamma})^{-1} Z(t_\gamma)) \\
&= (R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma}) Z(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma})^{-1} J_{\alpha-\gamma})(T_{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma} R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\beta-\gamma})) J_{\beta-\gamma} R(u_{\gamma,\beta-\gamma})^{-1} Z(t_\gamma) \\
&= (R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\gamma}) J_{\alpha-\gamma}) T_{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma} (R(u_{\alpha-\gamma,\beta-\gamma}) J_{\beta-\gamma} R(u_{\gamma,\beta-\gamma})^{-1} Z(t_\alpha) Z(t_{\alpha-\gamma})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summarising the discussion above, and using the presentation of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ provided by Theorem 3.8, we obtain the following presentation of $GL_2(K)$ over the valued field (K, v).

Theorem 4.6. *The group $GL_2(K)$ is generated by the matrices*

$$R(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}), \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $T_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -t_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $(\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\})$, *subject to the relations* (8)–(10), (24)–(27), (40), (58)–(62), *and* (64), *where*

$$Z(a) = (JR(a))^2 \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}),$$

$$Z(t_\alpha) = T_\alpha^2,\ J_\alpha = J S_\alpha J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ t_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}),$$

and $u_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{t_\alpha t_\beta}{t_{\alpha+\beta}}$ *for* $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$.

Remarks 4.7. (i) The presentation given by Theorem 4.6 can be also obtained directly from Corollary 3.9 using the substitutions $R(at_\alpha) = R(a) J T_\alpha$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$.

(ii) In the presentation provided by Theorem 4.6, we may replace the relations (26) and (61) with

$$[S, R(1 - at_\alpha)]^{R(a)} R(-1) = S^{T_\alpha J} \quad (\alpha > 0, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \tag{67}$$

and the relations (62) with

$$[S, T_\alpha^2] = 1 \quad (\alpha > 0), \tag{68}$$

with the rest of the relations remaining unchanged.

The action (57) induces a transitive faithful action of $PGL_2(K) = GL_2(K)/Z$ on the Λ-tree $\mathfrak{X}$. Using the presentation of $GL_2(K)$ provided by Theorem 4.6 and Remarks 4.7(ii), we obtain the following presentation of $PGL_2(K)$ over the valued field (K, v).

Corollary 4.8. *The group $PGL_2(K)$ is generated by the Λ-isometries of the Λ-tree $\mathfrak{X}$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{R}(a) &: [z]_\gamma \mapsto [az]_\gamma \ \ (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}),\\
\widehat{S} &: [z]_\gamma \mapsto [1-z]_\gamma,\\
\widehat{J} &: [z]_\gamma \mapsto \begin{cases} [0]_{-\gamma} & \text{if } [z]_\gamma = [0]_\gamma \\ [\frac{1}{z}]_{\gamma - 2v(z)} & \text{if } [z]_\gamma \neq [0]_\gamma, \end{cases}\\
\widehat{T}_\alpha &: [z]_\gamma \mapsto \begin{cases} [0]_{-\alpha-\gamma} & \text{if } [z]_\gamma = [0]_\gamma \\ [\frac{1}{t_\alpha z}]_{-\alpha+\gamma-2v(z)} & \text{if } [z]_\gamma \neq [0]_\gamma \end{cases} \ (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}),
\end{aligned}$$

subject to the following relations

$$\widehat{R}(ab) = \widehat{R}(a)\widehat{R}(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\})\,; \widehat{R}(1) := 1, \tag{69}$$

$$\widehat{S}^2 = \widehat{J}^2 = (\widehat{J}\widehat{S})^3 = 1, \tag{70}$$

$$(\widehat{J}\widehat{R}(a))^2 = 1 \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}), \tag{71}$$

$$[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-a)] = \widehat{R}(a)\widehat{S}\widehat{R}(-a)^{-1} \quad (a \in \mathfrak{k}), \tag{72}$$

$$[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-at_\alpha)]^{\widehat{R}(a)}\widehat{R}(-1) = \widehat{S}^{\widehat{T}_\alpha \widehat{J}} \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \tag{73}$$

$$(\widehat{T}_\alpha \widehat{R}(a))^2 = 1 \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \tag{74}$$

$$\widehat{T}_\alpha \widehat{J} \widehat{T}_\beta = \widehat{T}_{\alpha+\beta}\widehat{R}(u_{\alpha,\beta}) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}), \tag{75}$$

where $u_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{t_\alpha t_\beta}{t_{\alpha+\beta}}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}$, and $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times - (1 + \mathfrak{m})$ is a set of representatives modulo the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ for the elements in $k^\times - \{1\}$.

Remark 4.9. The presentation given by Corollary 4.8 can be obtained directly from Corollary 3.13 using the substitutions

$$\widetilde{R}(a) \mapsto \widehat{R}(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}),\ \widehat{R}(at_\alpha) \mapsto \widehat{R}(a)\widehat{J}\widehat{T}_\alpha\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times,\ \alpha \in \Lambda_+ - \{0\}),\ \widetilde{S} \mapsto \widehat{S},\ \widetilde{J} \mapsto \widehat{J}.$$

In particular, assuming that the valuation v is discrete of rank 1, so that $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.10. *If the valuation v is discrete of rank 1, and $t := t_1$ is a local uniformizer, then the group $PGL_2(K)$ is generated by the isometries $\widehat{R}(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\})$, $\widehat{S}, \widehat{J}, \widehat{T} := \widehat{T}_1$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$-tree $\mathfrak{X}$, subject to the relations* (69)-(72) *together with the relations*

$$[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-at)]^{\widehat{R}(a)}\widehat{R}(-1) = \widehat{S}^{\widehat{T}\widehat{J}} \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times), \tag{76}$$

$$(\widehat{T}\widehat{R}(a))^2 = 1 \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}^\times)\,. \tag{77}$$

Proof. Taking $t_n := t^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $\widehat{T}_n = \widehat{T}_{n-1}\widehat{J}\widehat{T} = (\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^{n-1}\widehat{T}$ for $n \geq 2$ by (75), thus $PGL_2(K)$ is generated by $\widehat{R}(a)\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times - \{1\}), \widehat{S}, \widehat{J}$, and $\widehat{T}$. It remains to show that the relations (73) and (74) are consequences of the relations (69)-(72), (76), and (77).

Since $\widehat{J}^2 = \widehat{T}^2 = 1$, it follows that $\widehat{T}_n = (\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^{n-1}\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}(\widehat{J}\widehat{T})^{n-1} = \widehat{T}_n^{-1}$, that is, $\widehat{T}_n^2 = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. As $\widehat{J}\widehat{R}(a)\widehat{J} = \widehat{T}\widehat{R}(a)\widehat{T} = \widehat{R}(a)^{-1}$, we deduce that $(\widehat{T}_n\widehat{R}(a))^2 = 1$ for all $n \geq 1, a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, that is, (74) is satisfied.

To check (73), note that (76) implies $[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-at)]^{\widehat{R}(a)} = [\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t)]\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times)$, and hence $[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-at^n)]^{\widehat{R}(a)} = [\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^n)]\,(a \in \mathcal{O}^\times)$ for all $n \geq 1$, according to Part (ii) of Remarks 3.5. Thus, it remains to prove that the identity

$$[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^n)] = \widehat{S}^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^n}\widehat{R}(-1)$$

holds for all $n \geq 1$. We obtain by induction

$$\begin{aligned}
[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^{n+1})] &= [\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^n)]\widehat{R}(1-t^n)[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-\frac{t-1}{1-t^n}t^n)]\widehat{R}(1-t^n)^{-1} \\
&= [\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^n)]\widehat{R}(t-1)[\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t^n)]\widehat{R}(t-1)^{-1} \\
&= \widehat{S}^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^n}\widehat{R}(1-t)\widehat{S}^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^n}\widehat{R}(1-t)^{-1} \\
&= [\widehat{S}, \widehat{R}(1-t)]^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^n} \\
&= (\widehat{S}^{\widehat{T}\widehat{J}}\widehat{R}(-1))^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^n} \\
&= \widehat{S}^{(\widehat{T}\widehat{J})^{n+1}}\widehat{R}(-1),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

5. SL_3 over fields

Let K be a field, and let $G = SL_3(K)$ be the 3-dimensional special linear group over K. We look for a presentation of G induced by its natural action on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$. Let $\mathbf{0} := [0,0,1]^t$ be the origin of the affine plane

$$\mathbb{A}^2(K)_{x,y} = \big\{[a,b,1]^t : a, b \in K\big\}.$$

The stabiliser $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ consists of all matrices $A = (a_{i,j}) \in G$ with $a_{1,3} = a_{2,3} = 0$. Since the action is 2-transitive, the space $G_{\mathbf{0}}\backslash G/G_{\mathbf{0}}$ contains exactly one non-trivial double coset $G_{\mathbf{0}}AG_{\mathbf{0}}$ for some (for all) $A \in G \setminus G_{\mathbf{0}}$. As $G \setminus G_{\mathbf{0}}$ contains involutions, we may choose one of them, say

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{78}$$

and use Corollary 2.2 to obtain a presentation of G in terms of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ and the extra generator T.

The involution T moves the point $\mathbf{0}$ to the origin $\mathbf{0'} := [1,0,0]^t$ of the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^2(K)_{y,z}$, whose stabilizer $G_{\mathbf{0'}} = TG_{\mathbf{0}}T$ consists of all matrices $A \in G$ with $a_{2,1} = a_{3,1} = 0$. Consequently, the intersection $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0'}} = G_{\mathbf{0}} \cap G_{\mathbf{0'}}$ consists of those matrices $A \in G$ such that $a_{1,3} = a_{2,1} = a_{2,3} = a_{3,1} = 0$.

The isomorphism $G_{\mathbf{0}} \to G_{\mathbf{0'}}$, $A \mapsto TAT$ induces the involutive automorphism ω_T of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0'}}$, defined by $\omega_T(A) = A'$ with $a'_{i,j} = a_{\tau(i),\tau(j)}$, where τ is the transposition $(1,3)$.

Consequently, we obtain the relations of type (I)

$$TA = \omega_T(A)T, \tag{79}$$

where A ranges over a set of generators of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$.

To obtain the relations of type (II) we have to choose representatives in $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ for the cosets of $G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ and the double cosets of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. Finally, to get an explicit presentation of $G = SL_3(K)$, it remains to describe effectively the stabilizer $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ and the double stabilizer $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$, as well as all required additional structures; this task will be achieved step by step in the following, using Theorem 2.1 and its Corollary 2.2 as basic tools .

5.1. **The structure of the stabilizers $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$.** The underlying abelian group of the 2-dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{V} := Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$ is identified with a normal subgroup $\hat{\mathfrak{V}}$ of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ via the embedding $\mathfrak{V} \to G_{\mathbf{0}}$ defined by $v = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 \mapsto \hat{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, while the group $GL_2(K)$ is identified with a complement $\widehat{GL_2(K)}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{V}}$ via the embedding $GL_2(K) \to G_{\mathbf{0}}$ given by $A \mapsto \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 \\ & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |A|^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$; in particular, the matrices $Z(a), R(a)\,(a \in K^\times), S$, and J in $GL_2(K)$, as defined in Section 3, are lifted to

$$\hat{Z}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-2} \end{pmatrix},\ \hat{R}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\ \ (a \in K^\times),$$

$$\hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ \text{and}\ \hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the group $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is identified with the semi-direct product $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathfrak{V} \ltimes GL_2(K)$ induced by the action from the left of $GL_2(K)$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{V} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$ given by the $GL_2(K)$-endomorphism

$$GL_2(K) \ni A \mapsto |A|^{-2}(JR(-1)AR(-1)J) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{2,2}}{|A|^2} & \frac{-a_{2,1}}{|A|^2} \\ \frac{-a_{1,2}}{|A|^2} & \frac{a_{1,1}}{|A|^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The kernel of the action is $\{\zeta I_2 : \zeta^3 = 1\}$, isomorphic with the cyclic group of order 3 provided the field K contains a primitive root of order 3 of the unity; otherwise, the action is faithfull; this happens, in particular, if $\operatorname{char} K = 3$, and also for K formally real, or for $K = GF(q)$ finite with $q \equiv -1 \bmod 3$. Note also that there are only two $GL_2(K)$-orbits of $\mathfrak{V}$: the singleton $\{0\}$ and its complementary set.

The action above induces by restriction an action of the Borel subgroup $B = B_2(K)$ of upper-triangular matrices of $GL_2(K)$ on the 1-dimensional subspace Ke_2 of $\mathfrak{V}$ given by $(A, ae_2) \mapsto \frac{a}{a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^2}e_2$. The kernel of the action, as well as the stabilizer of e_2 under the action of $GL_2(K)$, is the normal subgroup B' of B consisting of all matrices $A \in B$

such that $a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^2 = 1$. Consequently, the double stabilizer $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \subseteq G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is identified with the semi-direct product $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} = (Ke_2) \ltimes B$ induced by the action above.

5.2. **A presentation for the double stabiliser $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$.** For $K \cong GF(2)$, we have $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \cong C_2 \times C_2$, the Klein 4-group; thus, we may assume that $K \ncong GF(2)$. Although a presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ could easily be obtained using its semi-direct product structure given at the end of Section 5.1, we prefer to apply Corollary 2.2 using the fact that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is a group extension of the commutative polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$ by the Borel group $B = B_2(K)$, whose presentation is given in Corollary 3.4. Indeed, B is not normal in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$, and the space $B\backslash\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}/B$ contains only one non-trivial double coset BgB for some (for all) $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \setminus B$. As $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \setminus B$ contains involutions, we may choose one of them, say $W := (e_2) \cdot R(-1) = R(-1) \cdot (-e_2)$; note that $W = e_2$ provided $\operatorname{char} K = 2$. Thus $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is generated by its subgroup $\hat{B} \cong B$ plus one extra generator

$$\hat{W} := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the image of W via the isomorphism $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \to G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. It remains to deduce the relations of type (I) and (II).

It follows that $B \cap WBW = B'$, while the automorphism (of order 2) ω_W of B', $A \mapsto WAW$, sends a matrix $A \in B'$ to the matrix $A' \in B'$ with $a'_{i,i} = a_{i,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $a'_{1,2} = -a_{1,2}$. To obtain the type (I) relations, we have to choose a set of generators of B'. Note that the monomorphisms $K^+ \to B'$, $a \mapsto E_{1,2}(a) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and $K^\times \to B'$, $a \mapsto R(a)^3 Z(a)^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, induce an isomorphism from the semi-direct product $K^+ \ltimes K^\times$ onto B', where the action of $K^\times$ on K^+ is given by $(a, b) \mapsto a^3 b$; in particular, the matrices $\hat{R}(a)^3\hat{Z}(a)^{-1}$ for $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$ together with the elementary matrices $\hat{E}_{1,2}(a) = \hat{R}(a)\hat{S}\hat{R}(-a)^{-1}$ for $a \in K^\times/(K^\times)^3$ form a set of generators for $\hat{B}' \subseteq \hat{B}$, now thought of as embedded into $SL_3(K)$. Consequently, the relations of type (I) take the form

$$[\hat{W}, \hat{R}(a)^3\hat{Z}(a)^{-1}] = 1 \quad (a \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}), \tag{80}$$

$$(\hat{W}\hat{E}_{1,2}(a))^2 = 1 \quad (a \in \mathcal{R}), \tag{81}$$

where $\mathcal{R} \subseteq K^\times$ is a fixed set of representatives for the quotient group $K^\times/(K^\times)^3$ with $1 \in \mathcal{R}$.

Next we note that the epimorphism $B \to K^\times$, $A \mapsto a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^2$, with kernel B', admits the homomorphic section $K^\times \to B$, $a \mapsto R(a)$; hence, the subgroup $\{R(a) : a \in K^\times\} \cong K^\times$ is a complement to B' in B, whence a system of representatives for the cosets (double cosets) in $B/B' = B'\backslash B/B'$. Moreover, it follows that B is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $B' \ltimes K^\times \cong (K^+ \ltimes K^\times) \ltimes K^\times$ induced by the action

$$K^\times \times (K^+ \ltimes K^\times) \to K^+ \ltimes K^\times,\ (a, (b, c)) \mapsto (ab, c).$$

Consequently, any element $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \setminus B$ can be uniquely written in the form $g = R(a)WA$ with $a \in K^\times$ and $A \in B$; in particular, $ae_2 = R(a)^{-1}WR(-a)$ for all $a \in K^\times$.

We thus obtain the type (II) relations in the following form, similar to that of the relations of type (II) (25) and (27) in the presentation of $B_2(K)$ in Corollary 3.4.

$$\hat{W}^2 = 1, \tag{82}$$

$$\hat{W}\hat{R}(a)\hat{W} = \hat{R}\big(\frac{a}{a-1}\big)\hat{W}\hat{R}(1-a), \quad (a \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}). \tag{83}$$

Summarising the preceding discussion, and implementing the presentation for $B_2(K)$ given in Corollary 3.4, we thus obtain the following.

Proposition 5.1. *The group $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is generated by the matrices $\hat{Z}(a)$, $\hat{R}(a)$ for $a \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}$, together with the matrices $\hat{S}$, and $\hat{W}$, subject to the relations*

$$\hat{Z}(a)\hat{Z}(b) = \hat{Z}(ab), \tag{84}$$

$$\hat{R}(a)\hat{R}(b) = \hat{R}(ab), \tag{85}$$

$$[\hat{Z}(a), \hat{R}(b)] = 1, \tag{86}$$

$$[\hat{S}, \hat{Z}(a)] = 1, \tag{87}$$

$$\hat{S}^2 = 1, \tag{88}$$

$$[\hat{S}, \hat{R}(a)] = \hat{E}_{1,2}(1-a), \tag{89}$$

where $a, b \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}$, $\hat{E}_{1,2}(a) := \hat{R}(a)\hat{S}\hat{R}(-a)^{-1}$, together with the relations (80)–(83).

Note that the trivial case where $K \cong GF(2)$ is also included.

Remark 5.2. Assuming $K \ncong GF(2)$, the group $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is a *double* extension of the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$ by the torus $D \cong K^\times \times K^\times$. Indeed, B is an extension of $\mathfrak{P}_2$ by D, and $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{P}_2$ by B. The presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ given by Proposition 5.1 could be seen as an application in two successive steps of Corollary 2.2 involving the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$. As an alternative approach, we could see $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ as a group extension of the polygroup with support $D\backslash\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}/D$ by D, applying only once Theorem 2.1 to obtain a presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$; this approach is left as exercies to the interested reader.

5.3. **A presentation for the stabilizer $G_{\mathbf{0}}$.** As shown in 5.1, $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathfrak{V} \ltimes GL_2(K)$, where $\mathfrak{V} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$; hence, we could use the semi-direct product structure to obtain a presentation for $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. We prefer however the alternative of applying Theorem 2.1 or its Corollary 2.2 to a suitable pair $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}, U)$, where U is one of the subgroups of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ for which we already have a presentation; for instance, we may take U as $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} = (Ke_2) \ltimes B$, or as $GL_2(K)$. In view of the complexity of the polygroup with support $U\backslash\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}/U$, we choose the latter case because of its simplicity, leaving the first case to the interested reader as an exercise.

Indeed, since $GL_2(K)$ acts transitively on the set $\mathfrak{V}\backslash\{0\}$, it follows that there exists exactly one non-trivial double coset $GL_2(K)gGL_2(K)$ for some (for all) $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus GL_2(K)$. As $GL_2(K)$ is not normal in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$, $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a group extension of the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$ by $GL_2(K)$. Since the involution $W \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \subseteq \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$, as defined in 5.2, does not belong to $GL_2(K)$, we may use Corollary 2.2 to obtain a presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ in terms of

$\widehat{GL_2(K)}$, the lifting of $GL_2(K)$ to $SL_3(K)$, plus one involutive extra generator $\hat{W}$, the lifting of W to $SL_3(K)$.

Note that

$$GL_2(K) \cap WGL_2(K)W^{-1} = B \cap WBW = B' = \big\{A \in B \,|\, a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^2 = 1\big\},$$

the stabilizer of the point $e_2 \in \mathfrak{V}$ under the action of $GL_2(K)$, while the induced automorphism $\omega_W \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(B')$ is already defined in 5.2. Consequently, the type (I) relations for $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$, the same as for $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$, are given by (80) and (81).

In order to establish the relations of type (II), it remains to provide systems of representatives for the cosets of the space $GL_2(K)/B'$ and the double cosets of the space $B'\backslash GL_2(K)/B'$. Thus we have to suitably extend the set $\{R(a) : a \in K^\times\}$ of representatives for the elements of the common subspace $B/B' = B'\backslash B/B'$. Using the Bruhat decomposition $GL_2(K) = B \sqcup BJB$ and the normal form of the elements of $GL_2(K)$ according to Lemma 3.6 with $\alpha = 0$, we obtain the following.

Lemma 5.3. (1) *The matrices*

$$R(a)\ (a \in K^\times) \text{ and } E_{1,2}(a)JR(b) = \begin{pmatrix} -ab & -1 \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}\ (a \in K, b \in K^\times)$$

form a set of (pairwise inequivalent) representatives for the cosets of the space $GL_2(K)/B'$.

(2) *The matrices $R(a)$ for $a \in K^\times$ together with the matrices $JR(b) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -b & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, with b ranging over a set $\mathcal{R} \subseteq K^\times$ of representatives for the quotient group $K^\times/(K^\times)^3$, form a set of (pairwise inequivalent) representatives for the double cosets of the space $B'\backslash GL_2(K)/B'$.*

For any representative g of a double coset in $B'\backslash GL_2(K)/B'$, we have to compute the uniquely determined matrices $\rho_g, \lambda_g \in GL_2(K)$ such that ρ_g belongs to the set of representatives for the cosets of the space $GL_2(K)/B'$, and the identity $WgW = \rho_g W \lambda_g$ holds in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$. Doing the computations, we obtain as relations of type (II) for $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ the relations (82), (83), the same as for $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$, together with the more intricate relations

$$\hat{W}\hat{J}\hat{R}(a)\hat{W} = \hat{\rho_a}\hat{W}\hat{\lambda_a}, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}, \tag{90}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho_a} := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a)\hat{J}\hat{R}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & -1 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\lambda_a} := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a^{-2})\hat{J}\hat{E}_{1,2}(a^2)\hat{R}(a)^4\hat{Z}(a)^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -a^2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, setting $a = 1$ in (90), we obtain a relation involving the involutionary matrices $\hat{S}, \hat{W}, \hat{J}$, and $\hat{R}(-1)$, namely

$$(\hat{S}\hat{R}(-1)\hat{W}\hat{J})^3 = 1. \tag{91}$$

Remark 5.4. If $K^\times = (K^\times)^3$, which is the case, in particular, if K is algebraically closed, or real closed, or a perfect field of characteristic 3, or a finite field $GF(q)$ with $q \equiv -1 \bmod 3$, then (90) reduces to the single relation (91).

The identity (90) holds for all $a \in K^\times$. Indeed, for $a, b \in K^\times$, we deduce the identity (90) for ab^3 from that for a, using the relations (84)–(86), (34) (lifted to $SL_3(K)$), (80) and (81).

Moreover, we observe that (90) is strong enough to imply (83). Indeed, let $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$. Then, using (90), we obtain $WR(a)W = (WJW)(WJR(a)W) = \rho_1 W \lambda_1 \rho_a W \lambda_a$. Since

$$\lambda_1\rho_a = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & -1 \\ a(1-a) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = E_{1,2}(\frac{a}{1-a})(JR(\frac{a}{a-1}))E_{1,2}(\frac{(a-1)^2}{a})(R(1-a)^3Z(1-a)^{-1})$$

belongs to $B'(JR(\frac{a}{a-1}))B'$, it follows by (80), (81), and (90) that

$$W\lambda_1\rho_a W = E_{1,2}(\frac{a}{a-1})\rho_{\frac{a}{a-1}} W \lambda_{\frac{a}{a-1}} E_{1,2}(-\frac{(a-1)^2}{a})R(1-a)^3Z(1-a)^{-1},$$

hence $WR(a)W = R(\frac{a}{a-1})WR(1-a)$ as desired, since, again by (81) and (90)

$$\rho_1 E_{1,2}(\frac{a}{a-1})\rho_{\frac{a}{a-1}} W = R(\frac{a}{a-1})E_{1,2}(\frac{1-a}{a})W = R(\frac{a}{a-1})WE_{1,2}(\frac{a-1}{a}).$$

Summarising the preceding discussion, and implementing the presentation for $GL_2(K)$ given in Corollary 3.9, we have thus obtained the following result.

Proposition 5.5. *The group $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ has a presentation with generators $\hat{R}(a)$ with $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$, together with the matrices $\hat{S}, \hat{J}$, and $\hat{W}$, subject to the relations* (84)–(89), *and* (40) *lifted to $SL_3(K)$, that is,*

$$\hat{J}^2 = (\hat{J}\hat{S})^3 = 1, \tag{92}$$

plus the relations (80), (81), (82), *and* (90), *where $\hat{Z}(a) := (\hat{J}\hat{R}(a))^2$ and $\hat{E}_{1,2}(a) := \hat{R}(a)\hat{S}\hat{R}(-a)^{-1}$ for $a \in K^\times$.*

Remark 5.6. The natural semidirect product structure of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathfrak{V} \ltimes GL_2(K)$ provides a presentation with generators $R(a), Z(a) (a \in K^\times), S, J$ (for $GL_2(K)$), and $ae_i, i = 1, 2 (a \in K^\times)$ (for $(\mathfrak{V} = Ke_1 + Ke_2, +)$), subject to the relations for $GL_2(K)$ (cf. Corollary 3.9), together with the relations

$$(\mathfrak{V}, +)\ (ae_i) \cdot (be_i) = (a+b)e_i, i = 1, 2;\ (ae_1) \cdot (be_2) = (be_2) \cdot (ae_1) (a, b \in K^*),$$

with $(0e_i) = 1$, and the relations describing the action as defined in 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Act})\ R(a)(be_1)R(a)^{-1} &= a^{-2}be_1,\ R(a)(be_2)R(a)^{-1} = a^{-1}be_2, \\ Z(a)(be_i)Z(a)^{-1} &= a^{-3}be_i, i = 1, 2 \quad (a, b \in K^\times), \\ S(be_1)S &= (be_1) \cdot (-be_2), \\ S(be_2)S &= -be_2, \\ J(be_1)J &= be_2 \quad (b \in K^\times). \end{aligned}$$

The substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} W &\mapsto e_2 \cdot R(-1), \text{ and} \\ ae_1 &\mapsto JR(a)^{-1}WR(-a)J, \\ ae_2 &\mapsto R(a)^{-1}WR(-a) (a \in K^\times) \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with the corresponding relations, inducing inverse isomorphisms between the presentations.

5.4. **The space of double cosets $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$.** As explained at the beginning of Section 5, we need a description of the space of double cosets $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ in order to obtain the desired presentation of the group $G = SL_3(K)$. Note that the 2-transitive action of G on $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$ induces by restriction a transitive action of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2(K) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, while $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is the stabilizer of the point $\mathbf{0}'$ with respect to the induced action. Although the polygroup with support $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ is not isomorphic to the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$ (as the induced transitive action is not 2-transitive), it is quite small as we shall see next.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{P}_3 = (\{0,1,2\}, \oplus)$ the commutative polygroup of cardinality 3, with 0 as scalar identity, and $1 \oplus 1 = \{0,1\}, 2 \oplus 2 = \{0,1,2\}, 1 \oplus 2 = 2 \oplus 1 = 2$. Note that the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is identified with the subpolygroup $(\{0,1\}, \oplus)$ of $\mathfrak{P}_3$.

Lemma 5.7. (1) *The space $X := G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash G_{\mathbf{0}}/G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ contains exactly two distinct non-trivial double cosets, which may be represented by the involutions $\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\hat{V} := \hat{J}\hat{W}\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(2) *The map*

$$\{0,1,2\} \to X \text{ given by } 0 \mapsto G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'},\ 1 \mapsto G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\hat{V}G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'},\ 2 \mapsto G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\hat{J}G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$$

maps the polygroup $\mathfrak{P}_3$ isomorphically onto the polygroup with support X.

Proof. (1) Recall from 5.1 that $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathfrak{V} \ltimes GL_2(K)$, where $\mathfrak{V} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$, and $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \cong \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} = (Ke_2) \ltimes B$; hence, we have to describe the space $\tilde{X} := \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}\backslash \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}/\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. Set $C(g) := \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}g\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ for $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

First let $H := \mathfrak{V} \ltimes B$, a subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ lying over $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. Note that the involution

$$V := e_1 \cdot R(-1)Z(-1) = R(-1)Z(-1) \cdot (-e_1)$$

belongs to $H \setminus \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. Note also that $V = JWJ$ since $e_1 = Je_2J = JWR(-1)J$ and $JR(-1)J = R(-1)Z(-1)$.

Next let $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. We distinguish the following two cases.

(i) $g \in H \setminus \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$. Then $g = (a_1e_1 + a_2e_2) \cdot A$ with $a_1 \in K \setminus \{0\}, a_2 \in K, A \in B$, so $g = (a_2e_2) \cdot (a_1e_1) \cdot A \in C(a_1e_1)$; hence, we may assume without loss that $g = ae_1$ with $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$. We obtain

$$g = ae_1 = (R(a)Z(a)^{-1}) \cdot V \cdot (R(-a)^{-1}Z(-a)) \in C(V),$$

so $H \setminus \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} = C(V)$.

(ii) $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus H$. Then $g = (a_1e_1 + a_2e_2) \cdot A$ with $a_i \in K, A \in GL_2(K) \setminus B$. As $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}((a_1e_1) \cdot A)$, we may assume without loss that $g = (ae_1) \cdot A$ with $a \in K, A \in GL_2(K) \setminus B$. Using the normal form for matrices in $GL_2(K)$ (cf. Lemma 3.6 for $\alpha = 0$), we obtain $A = E_{1,2}(b)JA'$ for some $b \in K, A' \in B$, and hence we may assume that

$g = (ae_1) \cdot E_{1,2}(b) \cdot J$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= E_{1,2}(b) \cdot (E_{1,2}(-b) \cdot (ae_1) \cdot E_{1,2}(b)) \cdot J \\ &= E_{1,2}(b) \cdot (ae_1 + abe_2) \cdot J \\ &= (E_{1,2}(b) \cdot (abe_2)) \cdot J \cdot (ae_2), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $g \in C(J)$. Thus $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus H = C(J)$, and the statement (1) is proved.

(2) We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C(V) \cdot C(V) &= C(1) \sqcup C(V), \\ C(J) \cdot C(J) &= C(1) \sqcup C(V) \sqcup C(J), \\ C(V) \cdot C(J) &= C(J) \cdot C(V) = C(J), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

5.5. **A presentation of the group SL_3 over a field.** We have now collected together all the necessary ingredients to establish an explicit presentation for the group $G = SL_3(K)$ in terms of the group $G_{\mathbf{0}}$, with presentation given by Proposition 5.5, and the involutionary extra generator T, defined by (78) at the beginning of Section 5.

Replacing the matrix A in (79) with the generators of the group $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$, whose presentation is given by Proposition 5.1, the type (I) relations take the form

$$[\hat{Z}(a), T] = \hat{R}(a)^3, \tag{93}$$

$$(T\hat{R}(a))^2 = 1, \tag{94}$$

$$T\hat{S}T = \hat{W}, \tag{95}$$

where $a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}$.

Next, using the description of the space of double cosets $G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'} \backslash G_{\mathbf{0}} / G_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}'}$ given in Lemma 5.7, we obtain the type (II) relations

$$T^2 = 1, \tag{96}$$

$$(T\hat{J})^3 = 1, \tag{97}$$

$$(T\hat{V})^3 = 1, \tag{98}$$

where $\hat{V} = \hat{J}\hat{W}\hat{J}$.

Using the relations (93)–(95), (96)–(98) above, and implementing the presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ given by Proposition 5.5, we finally obtain the following presentation for the group $SL_3(K)$ over an arbitrary field K.

Theorem 5.8. *$SL_3(K)$ is generated by the matrices*

$$\hat{R}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ (a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}),\ \hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.\ \textit{and}\ T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

subject to the following relations:

(i) $\hat{S}^2 = \hat{J}^2 = T^2 = 1$,

(ii) $\hat{R}(a)\hat{R}(b) = \hat{R}(ab), \quad (a, b \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$, *where* $\hat{R}(1) := 1$,

(iii) $\hat{Z}(a)\hat{Z}(b) = \hat{Z}(ab), \quad (a, b \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$, *where* $\hat{Z}(a) := (\hat{J}\hat{R}(a))^2$ *for* $a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\}$,

(iv) $[\hat{R}(a), \hat{Z}(b)] = 1, \quad (a, b \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$,

(v) $[\hat{S}, \hat{Z}(a)] = 1, \quad (a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$,

(vi) $[\hat{S}, \hat{R}(a)] = \hat{E}_{1,2}(1-a), \quad (a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$, *where* $\hat{E}_{1,2}(a) := \hat{R}(a)\hat{S}\hat{R}(-a)^{-1}$ *for* $a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\}$,

(vii) $(\hat{J}\hat{S})^3 = (T\hat{J})^3 = 1$,

(viii) $(T\hat{R}(a))^2 = 1, \quad (a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$,

(ix) $[\hat{Z}(a), T] = \hat{R}(a)^3, \quad (a \in K^\times\setminus\{1\})$,

(x) $(\hat{W}\hat{E}_{1,2}(a))^2 = 1, \quad (a \in \mathcal{R})$, *where* $\hat{W} := T\hat{S}T$, *and* $1 \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq K^\times$ *is a set of representatives for the quotient group* $K^\times/(K^\times)^3$,

(xi) $\hat{W}\hat{J}\hat{R}(a)\hat{W} = \rho_a\hat{W}\lambda_a, \quad (a \in \mathcal{R})$, *where* $\rho_a := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a)\hat{J}\hat{R}(a)$ *and* $\lambda_a := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a^{-2})\hat{J}\hat{E}_{1,2}(a^2)\hat{R}(a)^4\hat{Z}(a)^{-2}$.

Proof. Taking (95) as definition for $\hat{W}$, it follows by Corollary 2.2 that $SL_3(K)$ is generated by $\hat{R}(a)\,(a \in K^\times \setminus \{1\})$, $\hat{S}$, $\hat{J}$, and T subject to the relations (84)–(89), (92), (80)–(82), and (90) from the presentation of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ plus the relations (93), (94), (96)–(98) involving the extra generator T. Note that the set of relations just specified consists of the relations (i)–(xi) plus the relations (80), (82), and (98); hence, in order to complete the proof, it remains to check that the last three relations are consequences of relations (i)–(xi). The first two relations follow easily by conjugating the relations (87) and (88) respectively by the involution T. To deduce (98), we use the identity $\hat{J}T\hat{J} = T\hat{J}T$ relating the involutions $\hat{J}$ and T, cf. (97), and the definitions of the involutions $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{V}$ to obtain

$$T\hat{V} = T\hat{J}\hat{W}\hat{J} = T\hat{J}T\hat{S}T\hat{J} = (\hat{J}T)(\hat{J}\hat{S})(\hat{J}T)^{-1}.$$

Thus, $T\hat{V}$ is a conjugate of $\hat{J}\hat{S}$, and hence $(T\hat{V})^3 = 1$ by (92) as desired. □

Corollary 5.9. *Let ζ be a generator of the cyclic multiplicative group $K^\times$ of order $q-1$. Then $SL_3(q)$ is generated by the matrices $\hat{R} := \hat{R}(\zeta)$, $\hat{S}$, $\hat{J}$, and T (the last three as in Theorem* 5.8*), subject to the relations*

(I) $\hat{R}^{q-1} = \hat{Z}^{q-1} = \hat{S}^2 = \hat{J}^2 = T^2 = (\hat{J}\hat{S})^3 = (T\hat{J})^3 = (T\hat{R})^2 = 1$, *where* $\hat{Z} := (\hat{J}\hat{R})^2$;

(II) $[\hat{R}, \hat{Z}] = [\hat{S}, \hat{Z}] = 1$, $[\hat{Z}, T] = \hat{R}^3$, *and* $[\hat{S}, \hat{R}^i] = \hat{E}_{1,2}(\zeta^{\gamma(i)})$, *where*

$$\hat{E}_{1,2}(\zeta^j) = \begin{cases} \hat{R}^j \hat{S} \hat{R}^{-j}, & q \equiv 0 \mod 2, \\ \hat{R}^j \hat{S} \hat{R}^{-j-\frac{q-1}{2}}, & q \equiv 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$1 - \zeta^i = \zeta^{\gamma(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q-2;$$

(III) $(\hat{W}\hat{E}_{1,2}(a))^2 = 1$ *for* $a \in \mathcal{R}$, *where* $\hat{W} := T\hat{S}T$, *and where* $\mathcal{R} \subseteq K^\times$ *is a set of representatives for the elements of the quotient group* $K^\times/(K^\times)^3$ *with* $1 \in \mathcal{R}$;

(IV) $\hat{W}\hat{J}\hat{R}^i\hat{W} = \rho_i \hat{W} \lambda_i$ *for* $a = \zeta^i \in \mathcal{R}$, *where* $\rho_i := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a)\hat{J}\hat{R}^i$ *and* $\lambda_i := \hat{E}_{1,2}(-a^{-2})\hat{J}\hat{E}_{1,2}(\zeta^{2i})\hat{R}^{4i}\hat{Z}^{-2i}$.

Corollary 5.10. *Let* K *be a field. Then the projective linear group* $PSL_3(K)$ *has a presentation with generators* $\hat{R}(a)$ *for* $a \in K^\times \backslash \{1\}$, $\hat{S}$, $\hat{J}$, *and* T, *subject to the relations* (i)–(xi) *from Theorem* 5.8, *plus one extra relation* $(\hat{J}\,\hat{R}(\omega))^2 = 1$ *in case that* K *contains a primitive third root of unity* ω.

In preparation for our work on the large Mathieu groups in Section 7.3, we derive from Corollary 5.10 an explicit presentation for the group $PSL_3(4)$.

Corollary 5.11. *The group* $PSL_3(4)$ *is generated by symbols* $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{r}$ *induced, respectively, by the* $SL_3(4)$*-matrices* $\hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, *and* $\hat{R}(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, *where* ζ *is a primitive element of the field* $K = GF(4)$, *with defining relations*

$$\mathfrak{s}^2 = \mathfrak{j}^2 = \mathfrak{t}^2 = \mathfrak{r}^3 = (\mathfrak{jr})^2 = (\mathfrak{tr})^2 = (\mathfrak{sr})^3 = (\mathfrak{js})^3 = (\mathfrak{tj})^3 = (\mathfrak{tstjs})^3 = 1,$$

$$\mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{jr} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} = \mathfrak{rsr}^{-1}\mathfrak{jr} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{rsr}^{-1}\mathfrak{jr}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1}.$$

Proof. The group $PSL_3(4)$ is generated by symbols $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{r}$ (the images of the matrices $\hat{S}$, $\hat{J}$, T, and $\hat{R}(\zeta)$ under the canonical projection $SL_3(4) \to PSL_3(4)$) modulo the SL_3-relations (I)–(IV) from Corollary 5.9 plus the PSL-relation $(\mathfrak{jr})^2 = 1$ from Corollary 5.10.

Relations (I) from Corollary 5.9 together with the PSL-relation $(\mathfrak{jr})^2 = 1$ lead to the relations

$$\mathfrak{r}^3 = \mathfrak{s}^2 = \mathfrak{j}^2 = \mathfrak{t}^2 = (\mathfrak{js})^3 = (\mathfrak{tj})^3 = (\mathfrak{tr})^2 = (\mathfrak{jr})^2 = 1. \tag{99}$$

Second, since $\hat{Z} = 1$, $1 - \zeta = \zeta^2$, and $1 - \zeta^2 = \zeta$ in our situation, (II) boils down to the two relations $(\mathfrak{sr})^3 = 1$ and $(\mathfrak{sr}^{-1})^3 = 1$, with the second one following from the first plus the relations $\mathfrak{r}^3 = \mathfrak{s}^2 = 1$:

$$(\mathfrak{sr}^{-1})^3 = \mathfrak{srs} \cdot \mathfrak{srs} \cdot \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1} = \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1} = \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{srsr} \cdot \mathfrak{sr}^{-1} = \mathfrak{r} \cdot \mathfrak{s} \cdot \mathfrak{sr}^{-1} = 1.$$

Hence, we obtain the one new relation

$$(\mathfrak{sr})^3 = 1. \tag{100}$$

Third, since $\mathcal{R} = \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$ in our present situation, Relations (III) take the form

$$(\mathfrak{ts})^4 = 1 \text{ (for } a = 1), \tag{101}$$

$$[\mathfrak{tst}, \mathfrak{rsr}^{-1}] = 1 \text{ (for } a = \zeta), \tag{102}$$

$$[\mathfrak{tst}, \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}] = 1 \text{ (for } a = \zeta^2). \tag{103}$$

From (IV), we obtain three relations of the form

$$\mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{j} \cdot \mathfrak{r}^i \cdot \mathfrak{tst} = \rho_i \cdot \mathfrak{tst} \cdot \lambda_i, \quad (a = \zeta^i \in \mathcal{R}).$$

Computing the quantities ρ_i, λ_i occurring in these equations, we obtain the explicit relations

$$\mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{j} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} = \mathfrak{sj} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{sjs} \text{ (for } a = 1), \tag{104}$$

$$\mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{jr} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} = \mathfrak{rsr}^{-1}\mathfrak{jr} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{rsr}^{-1}\mathfrak{jr}^{-1}\mathfrak{sr}^{-1} \text{ (for } a = \zeta), \tag{105}$$

$$\mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{jr}^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} = \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{srjr}^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{tst} \cdot \mathfrak{r}^{-1}\mathfrak{srjrsr} \text{ (for } a = \zeta^2). \tag{106}$$

Next, we note that, on the basis of the earlier relations

$$\mathfrak{s}^2 = \mathfrak{j}^2 = \mathfrak{t}^2 = (\mathfrak{ts})^4 = 1,$$

Relation (104) is equivalent to

$$(\mathfrak{tstjs})^3 = 1. \tag{107}$$

Indeed, we have

$$1 = \mathfrak{tstjtstsjststjs} = \mathfrak{tstjs} \cdot \mathfrak{ststs} \cdot \mathfrak{jststjs} = \mathfrak{tstjs} \cdot \mathfrak{tstjs} \cdot \mathfrak{tstjs} = (\mathfrak{tstjs})^3.$$

We have thus obtained a presentation for the group $PSL_3(4)$ with generators $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{r}$ and defining relations (99)–(103) and (105)–(107). Finally, one checks, for instance using the computer algebra system GAP [26], that the relations (101)–(103), as well as (106), are consequences of the remaining relations. We thus arrive at the presentation for $PSL_3(4)$ given in Corollary 5.11. □

6. Deformations of groups and presentations

The machinery of group deformations was originally conceived by the first author as a useful tool for studying some specific profinite group actions arising in the framework of co-Galois theory, and was subsequently developed further in its own right by the authors; cf. [7], [10], and [11].[5]

The true power of deformation theory is best revealed in a topological setting; our aim in this section however is somewhat more modest: to describe some of the more elementary (discrete) aspects of deformation theory, and to demonstrate by means of an

[5]Co-Galois theory, which has its roots in classical work by Mordell [45], Siegel [60], Kneser [37], and Schinzel [57], investigates radical field extensions, being to some extent dual to classical Galois theory. For further developments of the theory see the monograph [2] and the references therein, as well as the more recent papers [3], [6], [7], [10] on abstract co-Galois theory.

example how the technique of group deformation interacts with (and thereby enhances) the presentation method of Theorem 2.1.

6.1. **Deformations of a group induced by actions on itself.** Let G be a group, and let $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be an action by automorphisms of G on itself. With the pair (G, φ) we associate a binary operation $\circ_\varphi$ on the underlying set of G, given by

$$g_1 \circ_\varphi g_2 := g_1 \cdot \varphi(g_1)^{-1}(g_2), \quad (g_1, g_2 \in G).$$

One checks that the identity element 1 of G is also a two-sided identity element with respect to the operation $\circ_\varphi$, and that the element $I(g) := \varphi(g)(g)^{-1}$ is a right inverse of g with respect to $\circ_\varphi$. However, the binary operation $\circ_\varphi$ on G need not, in general, be associative. The map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A}(G) := \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Aut}(G)) \longrightarrow G^{G\times G}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \circ_\varphi$$

is clearly injective. If φ is trivial, that is, $\varphi(g) = 1_G$ for all $g \in G$, then $\circ_\varphi$ is the original group operation $\cdot$ on G while, setting $\varphi(g) = \iota_g$, where $\iota_g : h \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1}$ is the inner automorphism of G induced by g, the operation $\circ_\varphi$ is the dual of the original group operation of G. In what follows, we shall denote the trivial action and the conjugation action of G on itself by φ_0 and φ_1, respectively. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ of G acts naturally from the left on the set $\mathcal{A}(G)$ via

$$({}^\theta\varphi)(g) := \theta \circ \varphi(\theta^{-1}(g)) \circ \theta^{-1}, \quad (\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G),\, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G),\, g \in G),$$

and this action is compatible with the map Φ introduced above in the sense that

$$\theta(g_1 \circ_\varphi g_2) = \theta(g_1) \circ_{{}^\theta\varphi} \theta(g_2), \quad (\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G),\, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G),\, g_1, g_2 \in G). \tag{108}$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, we denote by Stab_φ the stabilizer of φ in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$; that is,

$$\mathrm{Stab}_\varphi = \big\{\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) : {}^\theta\varphi = \varphi\big\}.$$

By Formula (108) plus injectivity of the map Φ, we find that

$$\mathrm{Stab}_\varphi = \big\{\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) : \forall\, g_1, g_2 \in G,\, \theta(g_1 \circ_\varphi g_2) = \theta(g_1) \circ_\varphi \theta(g_2)\big\}. \tag{109}$$

Our first result states criteria for the algebraic system $G_\varphi := (G, \circ_\varphi)$ associated with an action φ by automorphisms of G on itself to be a group.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *G_φ is a group, with neutral element 1, and with $I(g)$ as inverse of $g \in G_\varphi$;*

(ii) *the binary operation $\circ_\varphi$ is associative;*

(iii) *the identity $\varphi(\varphi(g^{-1})(h)) = \varphi(g^{-1}hg)$ holds for all $g, h \in G$;*

(iv) *we have $\varphi(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_\varphi$;*

(v) *$\varphi(G)$ is a normal subgroup of Stab_φ.*

Proof (sketch). The equivalences (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) and (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) are clear, while the equivalence (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) follows from the fact that, by definition of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on the complex $\mathcal{A}(G)$, ${}^{\varphi(g)}\varphi = \varphi$ for fixed $g \in G$ is equivalent to $\varphi(\varphi(g^{-1})(h)) = \varphi(g^{-1}hg)$

for all $h \in G$. Thus, it remains to establish the equivalence of Conditions (ii) and (iii), which follows by direct computation. □

We call a homomorphism $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ *admissible*, if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 6.1. The (non-empty) subset of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ consisting of all admissible actions of G on itself is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$. By (108), $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$ is stable under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. The set of global fixed points of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $\mathcal{A}(G)$,

$$\mathcal{A}(G)^0 = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G) : \mathrm{Stab}_\varphi = \mathrm{Aut}(G)\big\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$$

is non-empty, since it contains φ_0 and φ_1. Moreover, for every fixed point $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(G)^0$, $\varphi(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(G_\varphi)$.

6.2. **Admissible group actions.** Let $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be an admissible action of G on itself. By Lemma 6.1 and Formula (109), the image $\varphi(G)$ acts naturally by automorphisms on both G and G_φ, so we can form the semi-direct products $G \ltimes \varphi(G)$ and $G_\varphi \ltimes \varphi(G)$ with respect to these actions. In both cases, the underlying set is the cartesian product $G \times \varphi(G)$, with multiplication in $G \ltimes \varphi(G)$ given by the rule

$$(g_1, \theta_1)(g_2, \theta_2) = (g_1 \cdot \theta_1(g_2), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2),$$

and by

$$(g_1, \theta_1)(g_2, \theta_2) = (g_1 \circ_\varphi \theta_1(g_2), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2) = (g_1 \cdot \varphi(g_1^{-1})(\theta_1(g_2)), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2)$$

in $G_\varphi \ltimes \varphi(G)$. Our next result, whose proof is straightforward, states some further properties of admissible actions.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be admissible. Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) *The map $\alpha : G \ltimes \varphi(G) \to G_\varphi \ltimes \varphi(G)$ given by $(g, \theta) \mapsto (g, \varphi(g) \circ \theta)$ is an isomorphism.*

(ii) *The map $\beta : G_\varphi \to \varphi(G)$ given by $g \mapsto \varphi(g)^{-1}$ is a morphism of $\varphi(G)$-groups, where $\varphi(G)$ acts on itself by conjugation.*

(iii) *The map $G \to G_\varphi$ sending g to g^{-1} induces an isomorphism of $\varphi(G)$-groups*

$$\gamma : G/\ker(\varphi) \cong G_\varphi/\ker(\varphi).$$

Consequently, G_φ is an extension of $\ker(\varphi)$ by $\varphi(G)$; its associated $\varphi(G)$-kernel $\kappa : \varphi(G) \to \mathrm{Out}(\ker(\varphi))$ being induced by the map $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\ker(\varphi))$, $g \mapsto F_g$, where $F_g(h) := g^{-1} \cdot \varphi(g)(h) \cdot g$ for $h \in \ker(\varphi)$.

(iv) *The map $G \times G_\varphi \to G_\varphi$ given by $(g, x) \mapsto {}^g x := \varphi(g)(x)$ is a left action of G on the group G_φ, and the identity map $1 : G \to G_\varphi$, $g \mapsto g$, is a 1-cocycle with respect to this action; that is, we have*

$$g \cdot h = g \circ_\varphi {}^g h \quad (g, h \in G). \tag{110}$$

Corollary 6.3. *Let φ and ψ be admissible G-actions, equivalent under the action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. Then $G_\varphi \cong G_\psi$ and $\varphi(G) \cong \psi(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be such that ${}^\theta\varphi = \psi$. By (108), θ is an isomorphism of G_φ onto G_ψ. Also, for $g \in G$, we have, by definition of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, that $g \in \ker(\varphi)$

if, and only if, $\theta(g) \in \ker(\psi)$, so that θ restricts to an isomorphism $\ker(\varphi) \cong \ker(\psi)$. Using Part (iii) of Lemma 6.2, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\varphi(G) \cong G_\varphi/\ker(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\theta} G_\psi/\ker(\psi) \cong \psi(G),$$

as required. □

Remark 6.4. Part (ii) of Lemma 6.2 provides (weak) obstructions for a deformation to possess certain properties. More precisely, we have the following. *Let G be a group, let $\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be an admissible action, and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a group property inherited by quotients. Then, if G_φ has property $\mathfrak{P}$, so does $\varphi(G)$.*

Problem 6.5. Obtain a characterisation of the equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$ given by $\varphi \sim \psi :\Longleftrightarrow G_\varphi \cong G_\psi$; in other words, find a necessary and sufficient condition for two admissible actions by automorphisms of G on itself to lead to isomorphic deformations.

6.3. **Deformation pairs.** Given groups G and H, we say that (G, H) is a *deformation pair*, if there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$ such that $G_\varphi \cong H$. Trivially, if the groups G and H are isomorphic, then (G, H) and (H, G) are deformation pairs. Note also that the groups G and H have the same cardinality, provided (G, H) is a deformation pair.

We record some important characterisations of deformation pairs.

Proposition 6.6. *Let G and H be groups. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *(G, H) is a deformation pair.*

(ii) *(H, G) is a deformation pair.*

(iii) *There exist actions by automorphisms*

$$G \times H \to H, (g, h) \mapsto {}^g h, \quad H \times G \to G, (h, g) \mapsto {}^h g,$$

and a bijective 1-cocycle $\eta \in Z^1(G, H)$, such that its inverse $\eta^{-1} \in Z^1(H, G)$.

(iv) *There exist an action by automorphisms $G \times H \to H, (g, h) \mapsto {}^g h$ and a bijective cocycle $\eta \in Z^1(G, H)$, such that*

$$\eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\eta(g_2)) \cdot g_1 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1^{-1} \in \Delta, \quad (g_1, g_2 \in G),$$

where Δ is the kernel of the action of G on H.

(v) *There exist an action by automorphisms $H \times G \to G, (h, g) \mapsto {}^h g$ and a bijective cocycle $\zeta \in Z^1(H, G)$, such that*

$$\zeta^{-1}({}^{h_1}\zeta(h_2)) \cdot h_1 \cdot h_2^{-1} \cdot h_1^{-1} \in \Delta', \quad (h_1, h_2 \in H),$$

where Δ' is the kernel of the action of H on G.

In order to establish Proposition 6.6, as well as Corollary 6.8 below, we need a technical result, which we state without proof.

Lemma 6.7. *Let G and H be groups, let $\omega_G : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ be an action by automorphisms of G on H, and let $\eta : G \to H$ be a bijective 1-cocycle with respect to this action. For $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, set ${}^g h := \omega_G(g)(h)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists an action by automorphisms $H \times G \to G$, $(h, g) \mapsto {}^h g$ of H on G, such that $\eta^{-1} \in Z^1(H, G)$ with respect to the latter action.*

(ii) *The pair* (ω_G, η) *satisfies the identity*

$$\omega_G(\eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\eta(g_2))) = \omega_G(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}), \quad (g_1, g_2 \in G). \tag{111}$$

Proof of Proposition 6.6. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v). By symmetry, it suffices to prove only one implication, say (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). By assumption there exist $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$ and an isomorphism $\theta : G_\varphi \to H$. Since $\varphi(G) \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_\varphi \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(G_\varphi)$, it follows that the map

$$\omega : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(H),\ \omega(g) := \theta \circ \varphi(g) \circ \theta^{-1}$$

is well defined, and thus a homomorphism, defining an action by automorphisms

$$G \times H \to H,\ (g, h) \mapsto {}^g h := \omega(g)(h).$$

With respect to this action, the map $\theta : G \to H$ becomes a bijective 1-cocycle: for $g_1, g_2 \in G$, we have

$$\theta(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \theta(g_1 \circ_\varphi \varphi(g_1)(g_2)) = \theta(g_1) \cdot \theta(\varphi(g_1)(g_2)) = \theta(g_1) \cdot {}^{g_1}\theta(g_2),$$

as required. It remains to show that $\omega(\theta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\theta(g_2))) = \omega(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1})$ for $g_1, g_2 \in G$. For $g_1, g_2 \in G$, we have

$$\theta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\theta(g_2)) = \theta^{-1}(\omega(g_1)(\theta(g_2))) = \theta^{-1}((\theta \circ \varphi(g_1) \circ \theta^{-1})(\theta(g_2))) = \varphi(g_1)(g_2),$$

and thus

$$\omega(\theta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\theta(g_2))) = \omega(\varphi(g_1)(g_2)) = \theta \circ \varphi(\varphi(g_1)(g_2)) \circ \theta^{-1} = \theta \circ \varphi(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) \circ \theta^{-1} = \omega(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}),$$

as desired, where we have used Part (iii) of Lemma 6.1 in the third step.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Again, by symmetry, it suffices to prove one of these implications, say (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii); this assertion however is immediate from the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Lemma 6.7.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). In view of symmetry, it again suffices to prove only one implication, say (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

By hypothesis there exist actions by automorphisms

$$G \times H \to H,\ (g, h) \mapsto {}^g h \ \text{ and } \ H \times G \to G,\ (h, g) \mapsto {}^h g,$$

and a bijective 1-cocycle $\eta : G \to H$, such that $\eta^{-1} \in Z^1(H, G)$. For all $h_1, h_2 \in H$, we have

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 = \eta(\eta^{-1}(h_1 \cdot h_2)) = \eta(\eta^{-1}(h_1) \cdot {}^{h_1}\eta^{-1}(h_2)) = h_1 \cdot {}^{\eta^{-1}(h_1)}\eta({}^{h_1}\eta^{-1}(h_2)),$$

thus ${}^{\eta^{-1}(h_1)^{-1}}h_2 = \eta({}^{h_1}\eta^{-1}(h_2))$, and hence

$$\eta^{-1}({}^{\eta^{-1}(h_1)^{-1}}h_2) = {}^{h_1}\eta^{-1}(h_2). \tag{112}$$

Setting $h_1 = \eta(g_1^{-1})$ and $h_2 = \eta(g_2)$ with elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$, it follows that the map

$$\varphi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(G),\ \varphi(g_1)(g_2) := {}^{\eta(g_1^{-1})}g_2$$

is a homomorphism, defining an action by automorphisms of G on itself. Indeed, under these substitutions, (112) becomes

$$\eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\eta(g_2)) = {}^{\eta(g_1^{-1})}g_2, \quad g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

and repeated use of this last identity yields that, for $g_1, g_1', g_2 \in G$,

$$\varphi(g_1g_1')(g_2) = \eta^{-1}({}^{(g_1g_1')}\eta(g_2)) = \eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}({}^{g_1'}\eta(g_2))) = \eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\eta({}^{\eta(g_1'^{-1})}g_2)) =$$
$$\eta^{-1}({}^{g_1}\eta(\varphi(g_1')(g_2))) = \eta^{-1}(\eta({}^{\eta(g_1^{-1})}\varphi(g_1')(g_2))) = \varphi(g_1)(\varphi(g_1')(g_2)) = (\varphi(g_1)\circ\varphi(g_1'))(g_2),$$

as required. On the other hand, we deduce that, for $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$\eta(g_1 \circ_\varphi g_2) = \eta(g_1 \cdot \varphi(g_1^{-1})(g_2)) = \eta(g_1 \cdot {}^{\eta(g_1)}g_2) = \eta(g_1 \cdot \eta^{-1}({}^{g_1^{-1}}\eta(g_2))) = \eta(g_1)\cdot\eta(g_2),$$

hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$ and $\eta : G_\varphi \to H$ is an isomorphism, so that (G, H) is a deformation pair, as claimed. □

□

Corollary 6.8. *Let (G, H) be a deformation pair, let $G \times H \to H$ and $H \times G \to G$ be actions by automorphisms, and let $\eta \in Z^1(G, H)$ be a bijective cocycle, such that $\eta^{-1} \in Z^1(H, G)$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(G)$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}(H)$) the lattice of all subgroups of G (respectively H), which are stable under the action of H (respectively G).*

(a) *The maps*

$$\mathcal{L}(G) \to \mathcal{L}(H),\ U \mapsto \eta(U) \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{L}(H) \to \mathcal{L}(G),\ K \mapsto \eta^{-1}(K)$$

are well-defined lattice isomorphisms, inverse to each other.

(b) *Let $\omega_G : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ be the homomorphism defining the action of G on H. Then $\ker(\omega_G) \in \mathcal{L}(G)$.*

Proof. (a) It suffices to show that $\eta(U) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, provided $U \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. Suppose that $U \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, and let $u_1, u_2 \in U$ be arbitrary elements. Using the fact that U is stable under the action of H, plus the cocycle condition for η^{-1}, we see that

$$\eta^{-1}(\eta(u_1)\cdot\eta(u_2)^{-1}) = u_1 \cdot {}^{\eta(u_1)}\eta^{-1}(\eta(u_2)^{-1}) = u_1 \cdot {}^{\eta(u_1)\eta(u_2)^{-1}}(u_2^{-1}) \in U,$$

so that $\eta(U)$ is a subgroup of H. Moreover, let $g \in G$ and $u \in U$. Using the cocycle conditions for η and η^{-1}, we obtain

$$\eta^{-1}({}^g\eta(u)) = \eta^{-1}(\eta(g)^{-1}\cdot\eta(gu)) = \eta^{-1}(\eta(g)^{-1}) \cdot {}^{\eta(g)^{-1}}(gu) =$$
$${}^{\eta(g)^{-1}}(g^{-1}) \cdot {}^{\eta(g)^{-1}}(gu) = {}^{\eta(g)^{-1}}u \in U,$$

thus $\eta(U)$ is stable under the action of G, so $\eta(U) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, as desired.

(b) Let $\Delta := \ker(\omega_G)$. First note that $\eta(\Delta)$ is a subgroup of H, since the restriction map $\eta|_\Delta : \Delta \to H$ is a homomorphism. Thus, it remains to check that $\eta(\Delta)$ is stable under the action of G. However, for $\delta \in \Delta$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$\omega_G(\eta^{-1}({}^g\eta(\delta))) = \omega_G(g\delta g^{-1}) = 1_H,$$

since the pair (ω_G, η) satisfies Identity (111) by our hypothesis plus the implication (i) ⇒ (ii) of Lemma 6.7. It follows that $\eta(\Delta) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, so $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ by Part (a), as claimed. □

For any cardinal number $\kappa \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{G}_\kappa$ be the set of isomorphism classes $\widehat{G}$ of groups G of cardinality κ. By Proposition 6.6, the binary relation on the class of all groups

$$G \operatorname{def} H :\Longleftrightarrow (G, H) \text{ is a deformation pair}$$

induces a reflexive and symmetric relation on the set $\mathcal{G}_\kappa$. The associated graph Γ_κ has $\mathcal{G}_\kappa$ as set of vertices, while the geometric edges are pairs $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$ with $\widehat{G} \neq \widehat{H}$ and $\widehat{G} \operatorname{def} \widehat{H}$. For instance, Γ_4 and Γ_6 each consist of a segment, that is, two vertices connected by an edge. A more interesting example is afforded by the graph Γ_8: we claim that Γ_8 consists of a triangle having as vertices the isomorphism classes of the cyclic group C_8, the dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_8 \cong C_4 \ltimes C_2 \cong (C_2 \times C_2) \ltimes C_2$, and the group $\mathfrak{Q}$ of quaternions, and a segment having as vertices the isomorphism classes of the abelian groups $C_4 \times C_2$ and $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

To see that $(\mathbb{D}_8, \mathfrak{Q})$ is a deformation pair, we let

$$\mathbb{D}_8 = \left\langle x, y \,\middle|\, x^2 = y^4 = (xy)^2 = 1 \right\rangle,$$

consider the admissible homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D}_8 \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}_8)$ given by $\varphi(x)(x) = y^2x$, $\varphi(x)(y) = y$, and $\varphi(y) = 1_{\mathbb{D}_8}$, and check that $(\mathbb{D}_8)_\varphi \cong \mathfrak{Q}$.

In order to see that $(C_4 \times C_2, C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2)$ is a deformation pair, we let

$$G := \left\langle \sigma, \tau \,\middle|\, \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = [\sigma, \tau] = 1 \right\rangle \text{ and } H := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \mathrm{GF}(2) e_i,$$

consider the action by automorphisms of G on H given by the homomorphism

$$\omega : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(H),\ \sigma \mapsto (e_1, e_2),\ \tau \mapsto 1_H,$$

with $\operatorname{Ker}(\omega) = \langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$, and check that the bijective 1-cocycle

$$\eta : G \to H,\ \sigma \mapsto e_1,\ \tau \mapsto e_3$$

satisfies Condition (iv) of Proposition 6.6.

Problem 6.9. Is the deformation relation def transitive, that is, an equivalence relation? If not, describe the set of those natural numbers n for which the deformation relation is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{G}_n$.

A group G is termed *rigid*, if $G \cong H$ provided (G, H) is a deformation pair; equivalently, $G_\varphi \cong G$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(G)$. It can be shown that the cyclic groups C_{p^n}, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and p is an odd prime number, are rigid; by contrast, the cyclic groups C_{2^n} are not rigid if $n \geq 2$. It is not hard to see that all simple groups (finite or infinite) are rigid.

Problem 6.10. Provide a characterisation of rigid groups.

Remark 6.11. The concepts and results discussed above naturally extend to topological groups by adding suitable continuity conditions for actions and maps. In fact, they were first considered in the framework of abstract co-Galois theory. In particular, certain remarkable deformation pairs of profinite groups are naturally induced by strongly co-Galois actions; see [7, Sec. 5] and [11, Sec 4.4], while other applications of the deformation machinery are given in [10].

6.4. **The Zassenhaus group** $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ **as deformation of** $PGL_2(q^2)$**.** For an odd prime p and an integer $m \geq 1$, let $q = p^m$, and let $K = GF(q^2)$ be the finite field of order q^2. Furthermore, let α be the automorphism of order 2 of the field K, that is, the automorphism of K given by $\alpha(\omega) = \omega^q$; α is the m-th power of the Frobenius automorphism of K, and the generator of the Galois group of the quadratic field extension $K \,|\, GF(q)$. By slight abuse of notation, we also denote by α the automorphism of the multiplicative groups $K^\times \cong C_{q^2-1}$ and $GL_2(K)$ induced by the automorphism α of the field K, and by $\bar{\alpha}$ the corresponding automorphism induced on $PGL_2(K)$. Moreover, the field automorphism α is extended to an involution of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ over K by setting $\alpha(\infty) = \infty$. By definition, the Zassenhaus group $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ is the sharply 3-transitive permutation group on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ consisting of the transformations h given by [6]

$$h(\omega) = \frac{a\beta(\omega) + b}{c\beta(\omega) + d}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{P}^1(K),$$

where $a, b, c, d \in K$, $\Delta := ad - bc \neq 0$, and

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathbb{P}^1(K)}, & \Delta \in (K^\times)^2, \\ \alpha, & \Delta \notin (K^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varphi : GL_2(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(GL_2(K))$ be the homomorphism obtained by composing the surjective homomorphism $GL_2(K) \to K^\times/(K^\times)^2$ given by $A \mapsto \det(A)(K^\times)^2$ with the embedding of the cyclic group $K^\times/(K^\times)^2 \cong C_2$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(GL_2(K))$, with image $\langle\alpha\rangle$. Explicitly, φ is given by

$$\varphi(A) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1_{GL_2(K)}, & \det(A) \in (K^\times)^2 \\ \alpha, & \det(A) \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (A \in GL_2(K)).$$

Similarly, composing the well-defined map $PGL_2(K) \cong GL_2(K)/K^\times \to K^\times/(K^\times)^2$ given by $AK^\times \mapsto \det(A)(K^\times)^2$ with the embedding of $K^\times/(K^\times)^2$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(PGL_2(K))$ with image $\langle\bar{\alpha}\rangle$, we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : PGL_2(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(PGL_2(K))$ given explicitly by

$$\bar{\varphi}\Big(\omega \mapsto \frac{a\omega + b}{c\omega + d}\Big) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1_{PGL_2(K)}, & \det(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) \in (K^\times)^2 \\ \\ \bar{\alpha}, & \det(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}) \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K)).$$

It is easily checked that, for $A \in GL_2(K)$, $({}^\alpha\varphi)(A) = \varphi(A)$. Hence, by Lemma 6.1, we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(GL_2(K))$, and a similar computation shows that $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}(PGL_2(K))$. Consequently, the actions $\varphi : GL_2(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(GL_2(K))$ and $\bar{\varphi} : PGL_2(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(PGL_2(K))$ give rise to deformations $G_\varphi := (GL_2(K))_\varphi$, $\bar{G}_{\bar{\varphi}} := (PGL_2(K))_{\bar{\varphi}}$ of $GL_2(K)$ and $PGL_2(K)$, respectively. The facts summarized in the following lemma are established by straightforward computation.

Lemma 6.12. (a) *The canonical projection*

$$\pi : \mathrm{GL}_2(K) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(K), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Big(\omega \mapsto \frac{a\omega + b}{c\omega + d}\Big),$$

[6] See [30, Chap. XI, § 1] for more information on the groups $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$.

viewed as a map from the deformation G_φ onto $\bar{G}_{\bar\varphi}$ is a homomorphism with kernel $\zeta_1(\mathrm{GL}_2(K)) \cong K^\times$.

(b) *The map $\bar\Phi : (PGL_2(q^2))_{\bar\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(q^2)$ given by*

$$\left(\omega \mapsto \frac{a\omega + b}{c\omega + d}\right) \longmapsto \left(\omega \mapsto \frac{a\beta(\omega) + b}{c\beta(\omega) + d}\right)$$

is an isomorphism.

(c) *Setting*

$$A \cdot \omega := \frac{a\beta(\omega) + b}{c\beta(\omega) + d}, \quad \left(A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_\varphi,\, \omega \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)\right)$$

defines a 3-transitive action from the left of the group G_φ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$.

6.5. **Presentations for the groups G_φ and $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$.** There are various ways of obtaining a presentation for the group $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$; for instance, one may start with the, rather obvious, presentation

$$\mathrm{M}(q^2)_{0,\infty} = \left\langle a, b \,\middle|\, b^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}} = 1,\, a^2 = b^{-\frac{q+1}{2}},\, a^b = b^{q-1}a \right\rangle \tag{113}$$

for the double stabiliser $\mathrm{M}(q^2)_{0,\infty}$, where $a(\omega) = \zeta^{-1}\omega^q$ and $b(\omega) = \zeta^2\omega$ for some primitive root ζ of K, and then use Corollary 2.2 twice to obtain presentations for the stabiliser $\mathrm{M}(q^2)_\infty$ and for $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ itself. Alternatively, one may observe that $\mathrm{M}(q^2) \cong (\mathrm{PGL}_2(K))_{\bar\varphi}$ is an extension of $\ker(\bar\varphi) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$ by $\bar\varphi(\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)) \cong C_2$, and lift a presentation for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$ to a presentation for $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ by means of a 2-cocycle describing the extension class. In what follows, we shall apply Corollary 2.2 directly to the action of G_φ on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$, described in Part (c) of Lemma 6.12, to obtain a suitable presentation of the group $G_\varphi = (\mathrm{GL}_2(K))_\varphi$, and then deduce a presentation for $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$ itself by means of Parts (a) and (b) of that lemma. The special case where $q = 3$ will play a role in the next section, when deriving presentations for the small Mathieu groups M_{11} and M_{12}.

6.5.1. *A presentation for D_φ.* Let $D_\varphi = (G_\varphi)_{0,\infty}$ be the subgroup of G_φ consisting of the diagonal matrices. Setting $Z(\omega) := \omega I_2$ and $R(\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\omega \in K^\times$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(a_{2,2}) \circ_\varphi R(a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^{-1}) &= Z(a_{2,2}) \cdot \varphi(Z(a_{2,2}))^{-1}(R(a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^{-1})) \\ &= Z(a_{2,2}) \cdot R(a_{1,1}a_{2,2}^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so that D_φ is generated by the matrices $Z(\omega)$ and $R(\omega)$ for $\omega \in K^\times \backslash \{1\}$. The action of G_φ on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ induces by restriction a transitive action of the group D_φ on the set $\mathbb{P}^1(K)\backslash\{0, \infty\} = K^\times$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \omega = a_{2,2}^{-1}a_{1,1}\beta(\omega), \quad \omega \in K^\times,$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1_{K^\times}, & a_{1,1}a_{2,2} \in (K^\times)^2 \\ \alpha|_{K^\times}, & a_{1,1}a_{2,2} \notin (K^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

In the notation of Theorem 2.1, let $\omega_0 = 1$, so that

$$H = (D_\varphi)_{\omega_0} = Z = \big\{Z(\omega) : \omega \in K^\times\big\} \cong K^\times.$$

We have

$$E = Z\backslash D_\varphi/Z \cong Z\backslash K^\times = \{e\} \cup \big\{\{\omega\} : \omega \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}\big\},$$

where $e := \{\omega_0\} = \{1\}$. Moreover, identifying a singleton set $\{\omega\}$ with ω for $\omega \in K^\times$, we have

$$\overline{\omega} = \begin{cases} \omega^{-1}, & \omega \in (K^\times)^2 \\ \omega^{-q}, & \omega \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{cases} \Bigg\} \quad (\omega \in K^\times).$$

Thus, since $R_\omega \cdot \omega_0 = \omega$, the set of matrices $\{R(\omega) : \omega \in K^\times\}$ is a system of pairwise inequivalent representatives for the double cosets in $E = Z\backslash D_\varphi/Z$, and we may set

$$\sigma(C_\omega) = R(\omega), \quad \omega \in K^\times$$

in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.1. We note that $H_\omega = Z\,(\omega \in K^\times)$ and that

$$\iota_{\omega_1}(Z(\omega_2)) = \begin{cases} Z(\omega_2), & \omega_1 \in (K^\times)^2, \\ Z(\omega_2^q), & \omega_1 \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{cases} \Bigg\} \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in K^\times).$$

As orientation of E, we may, for instance, choose any set of the form

$$E_+ = \Big\{\zeta^{2i} : 0 \le i \le \frac{q^2-1}{4}\Big\} \cup N,$$

where ζ is some fixed primitive element of the field K, and $N \subseteq K^\times$ is a set of non-squares of size $|N| = \frac{q^2-1}{4}$ such that $N \cap \alpha(N^{-1}) = \emptyset$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we find that D_φ is generated by the subgroup

$$Z = \big\langle Z_0 \,|\, Z_0^{q^2-1} = 1\big\rangle \cong C_{q^2-1},\ Z_0 := \zeta I_2$$

together with extra generators $R(\omega)$ for $\omega \in E_+ - \{1\}$, subject to the relations of type (I)

$$R(\omega_1)^{-1}Z(\omega)R(\omega_1) = \begin{cases} Z(\omega), & \omega_1 \in (K^\times)^2 \\ Z(\omega^q), & \omega_1 \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{cases} \Bigg\} \quad (\omega_1 \in E_+ - \{1\},\, \omega \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}) \tag{114}$$

plus the type (II) relations

$$R(\omega_1)R(\omega_2) = \begin{cases} R(\omega_1\omega_2), & \omega_1 \in (K^\times)^2 \\ R(\omega_1\omega_2^q), & \omega_1 \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{cases} \Bigg\} \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in K^\times\backslash\{1\}), \tag{115}$$

where $R(1) = 1$ and $R(\omega)$ is to be interpreted as $R(\overline{\omega})^{-1}$ for $\omega \notin E_+$.

Set $a := R(\zeta^{-1})$ and $b := R(\zeta^2)$, and let

$$\mathcal{R} = \big\{R(\omega) : \omega \in K^\times\big\} \le D_\varphi.$$

Then, using (115), we see that

$$R(\zeta^\mu) = \begin{cases} b^{\frac{\mu}{2}}, & \mu \text{ even} \\ b^{\frac{\mu+1}{2}}a, & \mu \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 < \mu < q^2),$$

so that $\mathcal{R}$ is generated by the elements a and b. Moreover, from the relations (115) we also deduce that

$$b^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}} = 1,\ a^2 = R(\zeta^{-(q+1)}) = b^{-\frac{q+1}{2}},\ \text{and}\ a^b = b^{q-1}a. \tag{116}$$

Conversely, the abstract group generated by a, b modulo the relations (116) clearly has order at most $q^2 - 1$, so that $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathrm{M}(q^2)_{0,\infty}$ with presentation given by (113). Furthermore, by (114), the subgroup Z is normal in D_φ, with complement $\mathcal{R}$, and the action of $\mathcal{R}$ on Z (that is, the values of Z_0^a and Z_0^b) can be read off from Relations (114). We thus obtain the following.

Lemma 6.13. *The deformation D_φ of the group $D \cong C_{q^2-1} \times C_{q^2-1}$ is metabelian. It may be generated by the elements $a = R(\zeta^{-1})$, $b = R(\zeta^2)$, and $Z_0 = \zeta I_2$, where ζ is a primitive root of the field $K = GF(q^2)$, subject to the relations*

$$(D_\varphi) \quad Z_0^{q^2-1} = b^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}} = 1,\ a^2 = b^{-\frac{q+1}{2}},\ a^b = b^{q-1}a,\ Z_0^a = Z_0^q,\ Z_0^b = Z_0.$$

Proof. In view of the above observations, the presentation for D_φ given in the lemma is immediate. Moreover, it is easy to see from this presentation that

$$[D_\varphi, D_\varphi] = \left\langle Z_0^{q-1}, b^{q-1} \right\rangle \cong C_{q+1} \times C_{\frac{q+1}{2}},$$

whence the fact that D_φ is metabelian. □

Remark 6.14. Setting $x := a$, $y := b^{-1}$, and $V := Z_0^{-1}b$, we obtain the alternative presentation

$$D_\varphi \cong \left\langle x, y, V \,\middle|\, x^2 = y^{\frac{q+1}{2}},\ y^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}} = [y, V] = 1,\ xyx^{-1} = y^q,\ xVx^{-1} = V^q \right\rangle,$$

where, as before, $q = p^m$.

6.5.2. *A presentation for the Borel subgroup B_φ.* The group $B_\varphi = (G_\varphi)_\infty$ of upper triangular matrices acts on the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1(K) = K$ via

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \omega = d^{-1}(a\beta(\omega) + b), \quad \omega \in K,$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1_K, & ad \in (K^\times)^2 \\ \alpha, & ad \notin (K^\times)^2 \end{cases}.$$

Let $\omega_0 = 0$, so that $(B_\varphi)_{\omega_0} = D_\varphi$. Since the action of B_φ on K is 2-transitive, we may apply Corollary 2.2. We let $\omega_1 = 1$, choose $\tau \in B_\varphi$ as the involution $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, and set

$$P = Q = \left\{ R(\omega) : \omega \in K^\times \right\}$$

all in accordance with the requirements of the corollary. Since the matrix

$$\tau \circ_\varphi R(\omega) = \tau \cdot R(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

sends the point ω_1 to the point $1-\omega = R(1-\omega)\cdot\omega_1$, we have

$$\rho'_\omega = R(1-\omega), \quad \omega \in K^\times \setminus \{1\},$$

and a straightforward computation gives that

$$\lambda'_\omega = (R(1-\omega)^{-1}\tau R(\omega))^\tau = \begin{cases} Z(-1)R(\frac{\omega}{\omega-1}), & 1-\omega \in (K^\times)^2, \\ Z(-1)R((\frac{\omega}{\omega-1})^q), & 1-\omega \notin (K^\times)^2. \end{cases} \tag{117}$$

Applying Corollary 2.2, we find that the Borel subgroup B_φ of G_φ is generated by its subgroup $(B_\varphi)_{\omega_0} = D_\varphi$ plus one extra generator T (which may be identified with the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & -1\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$), subject to the relations $T^2 = 1$, $Z_0^T = Z_0$, as well as the relations

$$TR(\omega)T = R(1-\omega)T\lambda'_\omega, \quad \omega \in K^\times \setminus \{1\}, \tag{118}$$

with λ'_ω given by (117).

For an integer j with $1 \le j < q^2-1$, let k_j be the integer satisfying $1-\zeta^j = \zeta^{k_j}$ and $1 \le k_j < q^2-1$. Combining our findings with Lemma 6.13, we obtain the following.

Lemma 6.15. *The group B_φ has a presentation with generators $a = R(\zeta^{-1})$, $b = R(\zeta^2)$, $Z_0 = \zeta I_2$, and $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & -1\\ 0 & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, subject to the relations (D_φ) plus the relations*

$$(B_\varphi)_1 \qquad T^2 = [Z_0, T] = 1,$$

$$(B_\varphi)_{2a} \qquad TR(\zeta^j)T = R(\zeta^{k_j})TZ_0^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}}R(\zeta^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}-k_j+j}), \quad (1 \le j < q^2-1,\ 2 \mid k_j),$$

$$(B_\varphi)_{2b} \qquad TR(\zeta^j)t = R(\zeta^{k_j})TZ_0^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}}R(\zeta^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}-qk_j+qj}), \quad (1 \le j < q^2-1,\ 2 \nmid k_j),$$

where $R(\zeta^k)$ has to be rewritten as

$$R(\zeta^k) = b^{\lceil k/2\rceil}\, a^{k-2\lfloor k/2\rfloor}, \quad 1 \le k < q^2-1.$$

6.5.3. *A presentation for G_φ.* Since the action of G_φ on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ described in Part (c) of Lemma 6.12 is 3-transitive, we may apply Corollary 2.2 in its most convenient form. We let $\omega_0 = \infty$ and $\omega_1 = 0$, so that $H = (G_\varphi)_{\omega_0} = B_\varphi$ and $H_1 = (G_\varphi)_{\omega_0} \cap (G_\varphi)_{\omega_1} = D_\varphi$. Moreover, in accordance with the requirements of Corollary 2.2, we let $\tau = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, noting that $\tau^2 = 1$, and we set

$$P = \big\{1\big\} \cup \big\{\kappa_\omega : \omega \in K^\times\big\}, \quad \kappa_\omega := \begin{pmatrix}1 & -1\\ 0 & -\omega\end{pmatrix};$$

in particular, $\kappa_1 = T$. As representative for the non-trivial double coset in $D_\varphi \backslash B_\varphi / D_\varphi$ we may take $q = T$. The element $\rho'_T \in P$ has to map the point 0 to 1, thus $\rho'_T = T$, and one finds that $\lambda'_T = Z(-1)T$. Finally, one computes that the automorphism $\iota : D_\varphi \to D_\varphi$ given by $h \mapsto \tau^{-1}h\tau$ satisfies $\iota(Z_0) = Z_0$, $\iota(a) = Z_0^{-1}ba$, and $\iota(b) = Z_0^2 b^{-1}$. Applying Corollary 2.2, and implementing Lemma 6.15, we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.16. *The group G_φ is generated by the elements $a = R(\zeta^{-1})$, $b = R(\zeta^2)$, $Z_0 = \zeta I_2$, $T = \begin{pmatrix}1 & -1\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}$, and $J = \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$, subject to the relations (D_φ), $(B_\varphi)_1$,*

$(B_\varphi)_{2a}$, $(B_\varphi)_{2b}$, *plus the relations*

$$(G_\varphi)_1 \qquad J^2 = [J, Z_0] = 1,$$

$$(G_\varphi)_2 \qquad [J, a] = Z_0^{-1} b,\ (Jb)^2 = Z_0^2,\ (JT)^3 = Z_0^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}}.$$

6.5.4. *A presentation for the Zassenhaus group* $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$. Combining Proposition 6.16 with Parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 6.12, and removing the generator b, we find the following presentation for the Zassenhaus group $\mathrm{M}(q^2)$.

Theorem 6.17. *Let p be an odd prime, $m \geq 1$ an integer, and let $q = p^m$. Then the group $(PGL_2(q^2))_{\bar\varphi} \cong \mathrm{M}(q^2)$ is generated by symbols a, T, and J, subject to the relations*

$$(\bar D_\varphi) \qquad [J, a]^{\frac{q^2-1}{2}} = 1,\ a^2 = [J, a]^{-\frac{q+1}{2}},\ a^{[J,a]} = [J, a]^{q-1} a,$$

$$(\bar B_\varphi)_1 \qquad T^2 = 1,$$

$$(\bar B_\varphi)_{2a} \qquad TR(\zeta^j)T = R(\zeta^{k_j})TR(\zeta^{\frac{q^2-1}{2} - k_j + j}) \quad (1 \leq j < q^2 - 1,\ 2 \mid k_j),$$

$$(\bar B_\varphi)_{2b} \qquad TR(\zeta^j)T = R(\zeta^{k_j})TR(\zeta^{\frac{q^2-1}{2} - qk_j + qj}) \quad (1 \leq j < q^2 - 1,\ 2 \nmid k_j),$$

$$(\bar G_\varphi) \qquad J^2 = (J[J, a])^2 = (JT)^3 = 1,$$

where k_j is the integer in the range $1 \leq k_j < q^2 - 1$ satisfying $1 - \zeta^j = \zeta^{k_j}$, and $R(\zeta^k)$ has to be rewritten as

$$R(\zeta^k) = [J, a]^{\lceil k/2 \rceil}\, a^{k - 2\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}, \quad 1 \leq k < q^2 - 1.$$

In preparation for our work in the next section on the small Mathieu groups, we derive from Theorem 6.17 an explicit presentation for the group $\mathrm{M}(3^2)$.

Corollary 6.18. *We have*

$$\mathrm{M}(3^2) \cong (\mathrm{PGL}_2(3^2))_{\bar\varphi} \cong$$
$$\big\langle a, T, J \,\big|\, T^2 = J^2 = (JT)^3 = (Ta^2)^3 = [J, a]^4 = (J[J, a])^2 = 1,$$
$$a^2 = [J, a]^2, (aT)^2 = T^{[J,a]} \big\rangle, \quad (119)$$

where the generators a, T, J are, respectively, the Möbius transformations $a : \omega \mapsto \zeta^{-1}\omega$, $T : \omega \mapsto 1 - \omega$, and $J : \omega \mapsto \omega^{-1}$, and ζ is a primitive element of the field $K = \mathrm{GF}(3^2)$.

Proof. Using the relation $\zeta^2 = 1 - \zeta$, one finds that $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 1$, $k_3 = 6$, $k_4 = 4$, $k_5 = 7$, $k_6 = 3$, and $k_7 = 5$. Applying Theorem 6.17 we obtain, after straightforward simplification, a presentation for $\mathrm{M}(3^2)$ with generators a, T, and J, and defining relations as specified in Corollary 6.18, plus an extra relation $a^{[J,a]} = a^{-1}$. A dose of GAP then shows that this extra relation is a consequence of the ones given in the corollary, whence the result. □

7. The Mathieu groups

7.1. **On Witt's construction of multiply transitive groups.** We shall need a version of Witt's construction [69, Satz 1], where only one new point and one new generator are adjoined at a time. This is the following.

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be a $(t-1)$-transitive group on the set*

$$\Omega = \{p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_{t-1}\},$$

where $t \geq 3$. For $2 \leq j \leq t-1$, let $S_j \in G$ be a permutation interchanging q_{j-1} with q_j, while fixing q_ν for all ν with $1 \leq \nu \leq t-1$ and $\nu \neq j-1, j$. Moreover, let $q_t \notin \Omega$ be a new point, set $\Omega' := \Omega \cup \{q_t\}$, and let $S_t \in \Sigma := \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega')$ be a permutation interchanging q_{t-1} with q_t, while fixing q_ν for $1 \leq \nu \leq t-2$. Set $H := G_{q_1,\dots,q_{t-1}}$ and $G_1 := G_{q_2,\dots,q_{t-1}}$, and suppose that

$$S_t^2 \equiv 1 \bmod H, \tag{120}$$

$$(S_j S_t)^2 \equiv 1 \bmod H, \quad (2 \leq j \leq t-2), \tag{121}$$

$$(S_{t-1} S_t)^3 \equiv 1 \bmod H, \tag{122}$$

$$S_t G_1 S_t = G_1. \tag{123}$$

Then the set of permutations

$$\widetilde{G} = G \cup G S_t G \subseteq \Sigma$$

is a t-transitive permutation group on the set Ω', and we have $\widetilde{G}_{q_t} = G$.

The proof is a minor variation of Witt's original argument in [69]. Our next result combines Witt's construction with Corollary 2.2 to obtain a presentation for the transitive extension $\widetilde{G}$ in terms of the original group G.

Proposition 7.2. *In the notation of Proposition* 7.1, *let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ be generators for G_1, and set*

$$\sigma'_\rho = S_t^{-1} \sigma_\rho S_t \in G_1, \quad 1 \leq \rho \leq r,$$
$$h_j = [S_t^{-1}, S_j^{-1}] \in H, \quad 2 \leq j \leq t-2,$$
$$h = S_t^2 \in H.$$

Then $\widetilde{G}$ is generated by its subgroup G plus one extra generator U (which may be identified with the element S_t), subject to the relations

$$\sigma_\rho^U = \sigma'_\rho, \quad 1 \leq \rho \leq r, \tag{124}$$

$$[U^{-1}, S_j^{-1}] = h_j, \quad 2 \leq j \leq t-2, \tag{125}$$

$$U^2 = h, \tag{126}$$

$$U S_{t-1} U = S_{t-1} U S_t^{S_{t-1} S_t}. \tag{127}$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{G}$ is 3-transitive on Ω', we may apply Corollary 2.2 in its most convenient form. In the notation of that corollary, let $\omega_0 = q_t$, $\omega_1 = q_{t-1}$, set $\tau = S_t$, and fix a system of generators $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ for G_1, noting that $\widetilde{G}_{\omega_0,\omega_1} = G_{q_{t-1}}$ is generated

by the elements $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, S_2^{-1}, \dots, S_{t-2}^{-1}$. Moreover, we have $\theta = S_t^2 = h$, and the automorphism ι of $G_{q_{t-1}}$ is given by $x \mapsto S_t^{-1} x S_t$, so that $\iota(\sigma_\rho) = \sigma'_\rho$ for $1 \le \rho \le r$ and $\iota(S_j^{-1}) = h_j S_j^{-1}$ for $2 \le j \le t-2$. Next, since $|\Omega'| = s + t \ge 3$ and the action of $\tilde{G}$ on Ω' is 3-transitive, the space $G_{q_{t-1}} \backslash G / G_{q_{t-1}}$ consists only of two double cosets, with the non-trivial one being represented, for instance, by the element S_{t-1}. Also, we may set

$$P = \big\{1, \kappa_{p_1}, \dots, \kappa_{p_\tau}, \kappa_{q_1}, \dots, \kappa_{q_{t-2}}\big\},$$

where

$$\kappa_{q_j} = \prod_{\mu=j+1}^{t-1} S_\mu, \quad 1 \le j \le t-2,$$

$$\kappa_{p_k} = \xi_k \prod_{\mu=2}^{t-1} S_\mu, \quad 1 \le k \le \tau,$$

with $\xi_k \in G_1$ chosen such that $\xi_k(q_1) = p_k$ (this is possible since, by hypothesis, G_1 is transitive on the points $p_1, \dots, p_\tau, q_1$). Finally, the element $\rho' = \rho'_{S_{t-1}} \in P$ has to map the point q_{t-1} to q_{t-2}, so that we may take $\rho' = S_{t-1} = \kappa_{q_{t-2}}$, and we compute that $\lambda' = \lambda'_{S_{t-1}} = S_t^{S_{t-1} S_t} \in G$. Applying Corollary 2.2, the assertions of Proposition 7.2 follow. □

7.2. **The Mathieu groups M_{11} and M_{12}.** Let $K = GF(3^2)$, and let $\zeta \in K$ be such that $\zeta^2 = 1 - \zeta$; thus, ζ is a primitive element of K, and $\{1, \zeta\}$ is a basis of K over the prime field $\Pi \cong GF(3)$. The group M_{11} arises from Witt's construction, in the form of Proposition 7.1, with $t = 4$ and $G = \mathrm{M}(3^2)$, a sharply 3-transitive permutation group on the set $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$. More precisely, we set

$$\Omega = (K^\times \backslash \{1\}) \cup \{q_1, q_2, q_3\},$$

where $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = 0$, and $q_3 = \infty$, we let $S_2(\omega) = 1 - \omega$ and $S_3(\omega) = \omega^{-1}$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, and we let

$$S_4(\infty) = v, \ S_4(v) = \infty, \ S_4(\alpha + \zeta\beta) = \alpha - \zeta\beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Pi),$$

where $v = q_4 \notin \Omega$; cf. [30, Chap. XII, Theorem 1.3]. Then $\tilde{G} = \langle G, S_4 \rangle = M_{11}$, a sharply 4-transitive permutation group of degree 11 on the set $\Omega' = \Omega \cup \{v\}$. We note that, in the notation of Corollary 6.18, we have $S_2 = T$ and $S_3 = J$; in particular, $S_2^2 = S_3^2 = 1$. Since $\mathrm{M}(3^2)$ is sharply 3-transitive, we have $H = \mathrm{M}(3^2)_{0,1,\infty} = 1$, thus $h_2 = 1$ and $h = S_4^2 = 1$. The group $G_1 = \mathrm{M}(3^2)_{0,\infty}$ is generated by the transformations $a : \omega \mapsto \zeta^{-1}\omega^3$ and $b = [J, a] : \omega \mapsto \zeta^2\omega$, and we compute that $(S_4 a S_4)(1) = S_4(\zeta^{-1}) = 1 - \zeta = \zeta^2$ and $(S_4 b S_4)(1) = S_4(\zeta^2) = 1 + \zeta = \zeta^{-1}$, so that $\rho'_1 = S_4 a S_4 = b$ and $\rho'_2 = S_4 b S_4 = a$, since G_1 is sharply transitive on $K^\times$. Moreover, one checks that the permutation $X = (S_4 S_3)^2 S_4 \in \mathrm{M}(3^2)$ fixes the point 1 and interchanges 0 and ∞; hence, $X = S_3 = J$. Applying Proposition 7.2, we find that M_{11} is generated by its subgroup $\mathrm{M}(3^2)$ plus one extra generator U (which may be identified with S_4), subject to the relations

$$U^2 = (UT)^2 = (UJ)^3 = 1, \ a^U = [J, a]. \tag{128}$$

We thus obtain the following result.

Proposition 7.3. *The group M_{11} is generated by symbols a, T, J (as in Corollary 6.18) and $U = S_4$, subject to the relations specified in Corollary 6.18 plus the relations* (128).

Similarly, the group M_{12} arises from Proposition 7.1 with $t = 5$ and $G = M_{11}$ acting on the set

$$\Omega' = (K^\times \backslash \{1\}) \cup \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\},$$

where q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 are as before, as are the permutations $S_2 = S$, $S_3 = T$, and $S_4 = U$. Let $q_5 = w \notin \Omega'$ be a new point, and let S_5 be the permutation on $\Omega'' = \Omega' \cup \{w\}$ given by

$$S_5(\infty) = \infty,\ S_5(v) = w,\ S_5(w) = v,\ S_5(\omega) = \omega^3\ (\omega \in K).$$

Then $\tilde{G} = \langle G, S_5\rangle = M_{12}$, a sharply 5-transitive permutation group of degree 12 on the set Ω''; cf. again [30, Chap. XII, Theorem 1.3]. In the notation of Proposition 7.2, we have $H = (M_{11})_{0,1,\infty,v} = 1$, so that $h_2 = h_3 = 1$ and $h = S_5^2 = 1$. Also, $\sigma_1' = S_5 a S_5$ and $\sigma_2' = S_5 b S_5$ with a, b generating the group $G_1 = (M_{11})_{0,\infty,v} = \mathrm{M}(3^2)_{0,\infty}$, as above. We compute $(S_5 a S_5)(1) = \zeta^5$ and $(S_5 b S_5)(1) = \zeta^6$, so that $\sigma_1' = b^{-1}a$ and $\sigma_2' = b^{-1}$. Moreover, one checks that the permutation $X = (S_5 S_4)^2 S_5 \in M_{11}$ fixes $0, 1, w$ and interchanges v and ∞; thus, $X = S_4 = U$. Applying Proposition 7.2, we thus obtain the following.

Proposition 7.4. *The group M_{12} is generated by symbols a, T, J, U (as in Proposition 7.3) and $V = S_5$, subject to the relations specified in Proposition 7.3, plus the relations*

$$V^2 = (VT)^2 = (VJ)^2 = (VU)^3 = 1,\ a^V = [J, a]^{-1}a,\ [J, a]^V = [J, a]^{-1}.$$

7.3. **The Mathieu groups M_{22}, M_{23}, and M_{24}.** The group M_{22} arises from Witt's construction (in the form of Proposition 7.1) with $t = 3$ and $G = \mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$, the latter interpreted as a 2-transitive permutation group on the 21 points of the projective plane $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^2(4)$. More precisely, we set $q_1 = [0, 1, 0]$, $q_2 = [1, 0, 0]$, $q_3 = u \notin \Omega$, we let S_2 be the permutation on Ω given by

$$S_2[x, y, z] = [y, x, z], \quad [x, y, z] \in \Omega,$$

so that S_2 is induced by the permutation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_3(4)$; and we let S_3 be the permutation on $\Omega' = \Omega \cup \{u\}$ given by $S_3 u = [1, 0, 0]$, $S_3[1, 0, 0] = u$, and

$$S_3[x, y, z] = [x^2 + yz, y^2, z^2], \quad ([x, y, z] \in \Omega \backslash \{[1, 0, 0]\}).$$

Then $\tilde{G} = \langle G, S_3\rangle = M_{22}$.[7] We note that $S_2^2 = 1$ and $S_3^2 = h = 1$. Also, $S_2 = \mathfrak{j} = (S_3 S_2)^2 S_3$. Let ζ be a primitive element of $GF(4)$. The group $G_1 = \big(\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)\big)_{q_2}$ is generated by the images $\mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{t}}$ of the matrices $\hat{R}(\zeta)$, $\hat{S}$, $\hat{S}^T$, and $\hat{S}^{\hat{J}T}$. We compute that $S_3 \hat{R}(\zeta) S_3 = \hat{J}\hat{R}(\zeta)\hat{J}$, $S_3 \hat{S} S_3 = \hat{S}$, $S_3 \hat{S}^T S_3 = \hat{S}\hat{S}^T$, and that $S_3 \hat{S}^{\hat{J}T} S_3 = \hat{S}^{\hat{J}T}\hat{S}^{T\hat{J}T}$. It follows from Proposition 7.2 that M_{22} is generated by the group $PSL_3(4)$ plus one extra generator $\mathfrak{u}$ (which may be identified with S_3), subject to the relations

$$\mathfrak{u}^2 = (\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{s})^2 = (\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{j})^3 = 1,\ \mathfrak{r}^{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{r}^{\mathfrak{j}},\ \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{t}},\ \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{t}}\mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{t}}. \tag{129}$$

[7] For this as well as corresponding information concerning the groups M_{23} and M_{24}, see Theorem 1.4 in [30, Chap. XII].

Combining this with Corollary 5.11, we readily obtain a presentation for M_{22}.

Proposition 7.5. *The group M_{22} is generated by symbols $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{r}$ (as in Corollary 5.11) and $\mathfrak{u} = S_3$, subject to the relations specified in Corollary 5.11 plus the relations (129).*

Next, the group M_{23} arises from Proposition 7.1 with $t = 4$ and $G = M_{22}$, a 3-transitive permutation group of degree 22 on the set $\Omega' = \Omega \cup \{u\}$. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 and $S_2 = \mathfrak{j}$, $S_3 = \mathfrak{u}$ be as above, let $q_4 = v \notin \Omega'$ be a new point, and let S_4 be the permutation on $\Omega'' := \Omega' \cup \{v\}$ given by

$$S_4(u) = v,\ S_4(v) = u,\ \text{and } S_4[x, y, z] = [x^2, y^2, \zeta z^2] \text{ for } [x, y, z] \in \Omega,$$

where ζ is as before. Then $\tilde{G} = \langle G, S_4 \rangle = M_{23}$, a 4-transitive permutation group of degree 23 on the set Ω''. We note that $S_4^2 = h = 1$, $h_2 = (S_4S_2)^2 = 1$, and that $(S_4S_3)^2S_4 = S_3 = \mathfrak{u}$, and we compute that $S_4\hat{R}(\zeta)S_4 = \hat{R}(\zeta)^{-1}$, $S_4\hat{S}S_4 = \hat{S}$, $S_4\hat{S}^TS_4 = \hat{S}^{T\hat{R}(\zeta)}$, and that $S_4\hat{S}^{\hat{J}T}S_4 = \hat{S}^{\hat{J}T\hat{R}(\zeta)}$. Applying Proposition 7.2, we find the following.

Proposition 7.6. *The group M_{23} is generated by the symbols $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{u}$, and $\mathfrak{v} = S_4$, (the first five as in Proposition 7.5), subject to the relations specified in Proposition 7.5, plus the relations*

$$\mathfrak{v}^2 = (\mathfrak{vs})^2 = (\mathfrak{vu})^3 = (\mathfrak{vj})^2 = (\mathfrak{vr})^2 = 1,\ \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{tv}} = \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{tr}},\ \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{jtv}} = \mathfrak{s}^{\mathfrak{jtr}}. \tag{130}$$

Finally, the group M_{24} arises from Proposition 7.1 with $t = 5$ and $G = M_{23}$. Let q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, $S_2 = \mathfrak{j}$, $S_3 = \mathfrak{u}$, $S_4 = \mathfrak{v}$, and Ω'' be as above, let $q_5 = w \notin \Omega''$ be a new point, and let S_5 be the permutation on $\Omega''' = \Omega'' \cup \{w\}$ given by

$$S_5(u) = u,\ S_5(v) = w,\ S_5(w) = v,\ \text{and } S_5([x, y, z]) = [x^2, y^2, z^2] \text{ for } [x, y, z] \in \Omega.$$

Then $\tilde{G} = \langle G, S_5 \rangle = M_{24}$, a 5-transitive permutation group of degree 24 on Ω'''. We have $h_2 = h_3 = h = 1$, $(S_5S_4)^2S_5 = S_4 = \mathfrak{v}$, and we compute that $S_5\hat{R}(\zeta)S_5 = \hat{R}(\zeta)^{-1}$, $S_5\hat{S}S_5 = \hat{S}$, $S_5\hat{S}^TS_5 = \hat{S}^T$, and $S_5\hat{S}^{\hat{J}T}S_5 = \hat{S}^{\hat{J}T}$. Applying Proposition 7.2, we conclude that M_{24} is generated by the group M_{23} plus one extra generator $\mathfrak{w} = S_5$, subject to the relations

$$\mathfrak{w}^2 = (\mathfrak{wr})^2 = (\mathfrak{ws})^2 = (\mathfrak{wj})^2 = (\mathfrak{wu})^2 = (\mathfrak{ws}^{\mathfrak{t}})^2 = (\mathfrak{ws}^{\mathfrak{jt}})^2 = (\mathfrak{wv})^3 = 1. \tag{131}$$

Combining this information with Proposition 7.6, we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.7. *The group M_{24} is generated by the symbols $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{v}$, and $\mathfrak{w} = S_5$ (the first six as in Proposition 7.6), subject to the relations specified in Proposition 7.6, plus the relations (131).*

8. Group extensions of polygroups by groups

8.1. **The category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ and the extension space $\mathrm{GEXT}(E, H)$.** Let H be a group, and let E be a polygroup. A triple (G, j, p), consisting of a group G, a monomorphism $j : H \to G$, and a surjective map $p : G \to E$, is called a *group extension of the polygroup E by the group H* if the map p induces an isomorphism of polygroups $j(H) \setminus G / j(H) \to E$. We denote by $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ the *class* of all group extensions of

the polygroup E by the group H. Note that $\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$ may be empty; this happens, for instance, if E is not a double coset polygroup, or if H is trivial and E is not a group.

$\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$ is a groupoid (a category in which all morphisms are invertible), where a morphism $(G,j,p)\to(G',j',p')$ is, by definition, a group isomorphism $\varphi: G\to G'$ such that $\varphi\circ j=j'$ and $p'\circ\varphi=p$. We denote by $\mathrm{GEXT}(E,H)$ the *set* of isomorphism classes (connected components) of the groupoid $\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$.

Given a pair (E,H) as above, the corresponding *extension problem* asks for a parametrization of the (possibly empty) set $\mathrm{GEXT}(E,H)$ in terms of a suitable generalization of Schreier's *factor systems* (2-*cocycles*). It turns out that the *group-like graphs of groups*, introduced in [5, Sec. 4] in the context of group actions on connected groupoids, after suitable modification and refinement, provide the desired generalization of Schreier's factor systems (see Theorem 8.12 below).

8.2. **Group-like graphs of groups.** Let (E,H) be a pair consisting of a group H and a set E endowed with an involution $^-: E\to E, f\mapsto \bar f$, together with a distinguished element $e=\bar e\in E$, viewed as a graph with a single vertex, with E as the set of edges. We introduce a suitable version of Serre's concept of graph of groups ([58, Sec. 4.4, Definition 8]), based on the given pair (E,H).

Definition 8.1. By a *graph of groups based on the pair* (E,H) we mean a triple $(\mathbb{H},\iota,\theta)$ consisting of a family $\mathbb{H}=(H_f)_{f\in E}$ of subgroups of H, a family of isomorphisms $\iota=(\iota_f)_{f\in E}$, where $\iota_f: H_f\to H_{\bar f}$, and a family of distinguished group elements $\theta=(\theta_f)_{f\in E}$ with $\theta_f\in H_f$, subject to the following conditions:

(i) $H_e=H$, $\theta_e=1$, and $\iota_e=1_H$, the identity automorphism of H;
(ii) for $f\in E$ with $f\neq\bar f$, $\iota_{\bar f}=\iota_f^{-1}$, and $\theta_f=1$;
(iii) for $f\in E$ with $f=\bar f$, $\iota_f^2=\iota_f\circ\iota_f$ is the inner automorphism of H_f given by $h\mapsto\theta_f^{-1}h\theta_f$, and we have $\iota_f(\theta_f)=\theta_f$.

Thus, roughly speaking, a graph of groups based on (E,H) is a suitable family of *partial automorphisms* of H indexed by the elements of E.

Given a graph of groups $(\mathbb{H},\iota,\theta)$ as above, let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the set of triples $\mathfrak{g}=(f,\rho,\lambda)$, where $f\in E$, ρ is a coset in H/H_f, and $\lambda:\rho\to H$ is a map such that $\lambda(ph)=\iota_f(h)^{-1}\lambda(p)$ for $p\in\rho, h\in H_f$; in particular, λ is injective, and is completely determined by its value on some element $p\in\rho$. For any element $\mathfrak{g}\in\mathfrak{G}$, we denote its components by $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})\in E$, $\rho(\mathfrak{g})\in H/H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$, and $\lambda(\mathfrak{g}):\rho(\mathfrak{g})\to H$, so that $\mathfrak{g}=(\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}),\rho(\mathfrak{g}),\lambda(\mathfrak{g}))$. We identify E and H with subsets of $\mathfrak{G}$ via the injective maps

$$E\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G},\ \ f\mapsto\widetilde{f}:=(f,H_f,1\mapsto 1)=(f,H_f,h\mapsto\iota_f(h)^{-1})$$

and

$$H\longrightarrow\mathfrak{G},\ \ h\mapsto\widetilde{h}:=(e,H,1\mapsto h)=(e,H,h'\mapsto(h')^{-1}h);$$

in particular, $\widetilde{e}=(e,H,h\mapsto h^{-1})=\widetilde{1}$ is the unique common element of the images $\widetilde{E}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ in $\mathfrak{G}$.

The map $H \times E \times H \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}, (h_1, f, h_2) \mapsto (f, h_1 H_f, h_1 \mapsto h_2)$ is surjective, and identifies $\mathfrak{G}$ with the quotient of $H \times E \times H$ modulo the equivalence relation $\sim$ given by

$$(h_1, f, h_2) \sim (h_1', f', h_2') \Longleftrightarrow f = f',\ h_1^{-1} h_1' \in H_f, \text{ and } \iota_f(h_1^{-1} h_1') = h_2 (h_2')^{-1}. \quad (132)$$

Next, we consider group-like graphs of groups; these are graphs of groups in the sense of Definition 8.1, together with a map $\alpha : E \times H \times E \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ satisfying certain axioms as follows.

Definition 8.2. By a *group-like graph of groups* based on the pair (E, H) we mean a graph of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta)$ based on (E, H) together with a map $\alpha : E \times H \times E \to \mathfrak{G}$, such that

(i) $\alpha(e, h, f) = (f, hH_f, h \mapsto 1)$;
(ii) $\alpha(f, h, e) = (f, H_f, 1 \mapsto h)$;
(iii) $\alpha(f, 1, \bar{f}) = \widetilde{\theta_f}$;
(iv) $\alpha(D) = (\varepsilon(\alpha(C)), u\rho(\alpha(C)), up \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(C))(p) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(B))(v)^{-1})$, where

$$A = (f, h, f'), B = (f', h', f''), u \in \rho(\alpha(A)), v \in \rho(\alpha(B)),$$

$$C = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A)), \lambda(\alpha(A))(u) \cdot h', f''), \text{ and } D = (f, hv, \varepsilon(\alpha(B))).$$

Note that (i) and (ii) imply $\alpha(e, 1, f) = \alpha(f, 1, e) = \widetilde{f}$ for $f \in E$, and $\alpha(e, h, e) = \widetilde{h}$ for $h \in H$; in particular, $\alpha(e, 1, e) = \widetilde{e} = \widetilde{1}$.

Our next result exhibits a crucial property of the map α.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ be a group-like graph of groups based on the pair (E, H). Let $A = (f, h, f') \in E \times H \times E$, $a \in H_f, b \in H_{f'}$, and let $B = (f, \iota_f(a)hb, f')$. Then we have*

$$\alpha(B) = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A)), a\rho(\alpha(A)), ap \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A))(p) \cdot \iota_{f'}(b)). \quad (133)$$

Proof. With $f, f' \in E$ and $h \in H, a \in H_f$, set $A' := (f, \iota_f(a), e)$ and $B' := (e, h, f')$. Then, by Parts (i) and (ii) of Definition 8.2, we obtain

$$\alpha(A') = (f, H_f, 1 \mapsto \iota_f(a)) = (f, H_f, a \mapsto 1)$$

and

$$\alpha(B') = (f', hH_{f'}, h \mapsto 1) = (f', hH_{f'}, hb \mapsto \iota_{f'}(b)^{-1}).$$

If we now replace A, B, $u \in \rho(\alpha(A))$, $v \in \rho(\alpha(B))$ in Part (iv) of Definition 8.2 with A', B', $a \in \rho(\alpha(A'))$, and $hb \in \rho(\alpha(B'))$, respectively, then we find that the triples C, D there become, respectively, $C' = (f, h, f') = A$ and $D' = (f, \iota_f(a)hb, f') = B$. Applying Part (iv) of Definition 8.2 now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(B) = \alpha(D') &= (\varepsilon(\alpha(C')), a\rho(\alpha(C')), ap \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(C'))(p) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(B'))(hb)^{-1}) \\ &= (\varepsilon(\alpha(A)), a\rho(\alpha(A)), ap \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A))(p) \cdot \iota_{f'}(b)), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Remark 8.4. Let $f, f' \in E$. According to Lemma 8.3, the map $H \longrightarrow E$, $h \mapsto \varepsilon(\alpha(f,h,f'))$, factors through the space of double cosets $H_{\bar{f}} \setminus H / H_{f'}$, while the map $H \longrightarrow \coprod_{f'' \in E} H/H_{f''}$, $h \mapsto \rho(\alpha(f,h,f'))$, factors through the space of left cosets $H/H_{f'}$.

Corollary 8.5. *In the context of Lemma* 8.3, *let* $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' \in \mathfrak{G}$ *and, for* $i = 1, 2$, *let* $a_i \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$, $a_i' \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}')$, *and* $A_i = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a_i) \cdot a_i', \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')) \in E \times H \times E$. *Then the identity*

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon(\alpha(A_1)), a_1\rho(\alpha(A_1)), a_1p_1 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_1))(p_1) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_1')) \\ = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_2)), a_2\rho(\alpha(A_2)), a_2p_2 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_2'))\end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

holds in $\mathfrak{G}$.

Proof. Clearly, the right-hand, as well as the left-hand side of (134), are elements of $\mathfrak{G}$, so it suffices to prove equality of these two triples. By assumption, $a_2 = a_1 b, a_2' = a_1' b'$ with some $b \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, b' \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}$, and hence

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a_2) \cdot a_2' = \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(b)^{-1} \cdot (\lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a_1) \cdot a_1') \cdot b'.$$

Applying Lemma 8.3 with A_1 in place of A, and with b^{-1}, b' in place of the elements a and b, respectively (so that B becomes A_2), we get

$$\alpha(A_2) = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_1)), b^{-1}\rho(\alpha(A_1)), b^{-1}p_1 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_1))(p_1) \cdot \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b')).$$

Consequently, we have $\varepsilon(\alpha(A_2)) = \varepsilon(\alpha(A_1))$ as well as

$$a_2\rho(\alpha(A_2)) = (a_1 b)(b^{-1}\rho(\alpha(A_1))) = a_1\rho(\alpha(A_1)),$$

and the map

$$a_2\rho(\alpha(A_2)) \to H,\ a_2p_2 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_2')$$

sends $a_1p_1 = a_2 \cdot (b^{-1}p_1)$, for $p_1 \in \rho(\alpha(A_1))$, to

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha(A_2))(b^{-1}p_1) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_1'b') &= (\lambda(\alpha(A_1))(p_1) \cdot \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b')) \cdot (\iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b')^{-1} \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_1')) \\ &= \lambda(\alpha(A_1))(p_1) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a_1'),\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

We now introduce a binary operation $\bullet$ on $\mathfrak{G}$ via

$$\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}' := (\varepsilon(\alpha(A)), a\rho(\alpha(A)), ap \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A))(p) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}')(a')), \quad (135)$$

where $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}), a' \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}')$, and $A = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a) \cdot a', \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}'))$ (this is well defined in view of Corollary 8.5). Our next result shows that $\bullet$ is in fact a group operation on $\mathfrak{G}$, thereby in particular justifying the terminology "group-like".

Proposition 8.6. (1) *Given a group-like graph of groups* $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ *based on the pair* (E, H), *the set* $\mathfrak{G}$ *carries a canonical group structure, with multiplication* $\bullet$ *given by* (135). *The identity element of* $\mathfrak{G}$ *is* $\widetilde{e} = \widetilde{1}$, *and for any element* $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$, *its inverse is*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{-1} = (\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(\rho(\mathfrak{g}))^{-1}, \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(p)^{-1} \mapsto (p\,\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})^{-1}).$$

(2) *The map* $H \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, $h \mapsto \widetilde{h} = (e, H, 1 \mapsto h)$ *is a monomorphism of groups, identifying* H *with the subgroup* $\widetilde{H} = \left\{\widetilde{h} : h \in H\right\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{G}$.

(3) *For any* $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$, *and for any* $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$, *the identity* $\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{a} \bullet \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \bullet \widetilde{\lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a)}$ *holds in* $\mathfrak{G}$; *in particular, the group* $\mathfrak{G}$ *is generated by the set* $\widetilde{H} \cup \widetilde{E}$.

(4) *For any triple* $A = (f, h, f') \in E \times H \times E$, *we have* $\alpha(A) = \widetilde{f} \bullet \widetilde{h} \bullet \widetilde{f'}$; *in particular, for any pair* $(f, f') \in E \times E$, *the map* $H \to \mathfrak{G}$ *sending* h *to* $\alpha(f, h, f')$ *is injective.*

(5) *The map* $\varepsilon : \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow E$ *is a retract of the embedding* $E \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}, f \mapsto \widetilde{f} = (f, H_f, 1 \mapsto 1)$, *inducing an isomorphism* $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ *from the polygroup with support* $\widetilde{H} \setminus \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H}$ *onto the polygroup* $(E; \circ, ^{-}, e)$ *with the associative hyperoperation*

$$f \circ f' := \big\{ \varepsilon(\alpha(f, h, f')) : h \in H \big\}.$$

Thus, the triple $(\mathfrak{G}, ^{\sim} \colon H \to \mathfrak{G}, \varepsilon : \mathfrak{G} \to E)$ *is a group extension of the polygroup* $(E; \circ, ^{-}, e)$ *by the group* H.

Proof. (1) Let us show first that $\mathfrak{g} \bullet \widetilde{e} = \mathfrak{g}$ for all $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$. Setting $\mathfrak{g}' = \widetilde{e}$ in (135), choosing some $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$, and letting $a' = \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a)^{-1} \in H = \rho(\mathfrak{g}')$, we get $A = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), 1, e)$, so that, according to Part (ii) of Definition 8.2, $\alpha(A) = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, 1 \mapsto 1) = \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g} \bullet \widetilde{e} = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), aH_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, ap \mapsto \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(p)^{-1} \cdot (a')^{-1}) = \mathfrak{g},$$

as desired. Similarly, applying Part (i) of Definition 8.2, we find that $\widetilde{e} \bullet \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ for all $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$. Thus, $\widetilde{e}$ is a two-sided identity element for $(\mathfrak{G}, \bullet)$.

Next, let $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$ be arbitrary, and set

$$\mathfrak{g}' := (\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(\rho(\mathfrak{g}))^{-1}, \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(p)^{-1} \mapsto (p\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})^{-1})$$

in (135). Choosing $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$, and letting $a' := \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a)^{-1} \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}')$, we obtain $A = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), 1, \overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})$, so that, according to Part (iii) of Definition 8.2,

$$\alpha(A) = \widetilde{\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}} = (e,\ H,\ h \mapsto h^{-1}\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}).$$

Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}' = (e, aH, ap \mapsto p^{-1}\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(a\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})^{-1}) = (e, H, h \mapsto h^{-1}) = \widetilde{e},$$

as required. If instead we want to evaluate the product $\mathfrak{g}' \bullet \mathfrak{g}$, we choose $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}) \in H/H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$, so that $a\theta^{-1}_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$, since $\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$ by Definition 8.1. We may thus take $a' := \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a\theta^{-1}_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})^{-1} \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}')$ in (135) with $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'$ interchanged, and the corresponding triple A becomes $A = (\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, 1, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}))$. Proceeding as above, we obtain $\mathfrak{g}' \bullet \mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{e}$, so that $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ is the two-sided inverse of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Finally, we check associativity of the multiplication $\bullet$ on $\mathfrak{G}$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let $\mathfrak{g}_i \in \mathfrak{G}$, and choose arbitrary elements $a_i \in \rho(\mathfrak{g}_i)$. Then, according to (135), we have, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_j \bullet \mathfrak{g}_{j+1} = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_j)), a_j\rho(\alpha(A_j)), a_jp_j \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_j))(p_j) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_{j+1})(a_{j+1})),$$

where

$$A_j = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_j),\ \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_j)(a_j) \cdot a_{j+1},\ \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_{j+1})), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Choosing, for $j = 1, 2$, an element $p_j \in \rho(\alpha(A_j))$, we obtain, again by (135),

$$(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2) \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3 = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_3)), a_1p_1\rho(\alpha(A_3)), a_1p_1p_3 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_3))(p_3) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_3)(a_3)),$$

where $A_3 = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_1)), \lambda(\alpha(A_1))(p_1) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_2)(a_2) \cdot a_3, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_3))$, and

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet (\mathfrak{g}_2 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3) = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_4)), a_1\rho(\alpha(A_4)), a_1p_4 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_4))(p_4) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_3)(a_3)),$$

where $A_4 = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_1), \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_1)(a_1) \cdot a_2p_2, \varepsilon(\alpha(A_2)))$.

Applying Part (iv) of Definition 8.2 to the triples A_1, A_2 in place of A and B, respectively (so that C, D become, respectively, A_3 and A_4), we get that

$$\alpha(A_4) = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A_3)), p_1\rho(\alpha(A_3)), p_1p_3 \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A_3))(p_3) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2)^{-1}),$$

implying, in particular, $\varepsilon(\alpha(A_4)) = \varepsilon(\alpha(A_3))$ and $\rho(\alpha(A_4)) = p_1\rho(\alpha(A_3))$. Moreover, we find that the map $\lambda(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet (\mathfrak{g}_2 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3)) : a_1p_1\rho(\alpha(A_3)) \to H$ sends $a_1p_1p_3$, for $p_3 \in \rho(\alpha(A_3))$, to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda(\alpha(A_4))(p_1p_3) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_3)(a_3) \\
&\quad = (\lambda(\alpha(A_3))(p_3) \cdot \lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2)^{-1}) \cdot (\lambda(\alpha(A_2))(p_2) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_3)(a_3)) \\
&\quad = \lambda(\alpha(A_3))(p_3) \cdot \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_3)(a_3) = \lambda((\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2) \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3)(a_1p_1p_3),
\end{aligned}$$

whence the desired identity $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2) \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet (\mathfrak{g}_2 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_3)$. The proof of Part (1) is thus complete.

(2) We have already observed that the map in question is injective, so it suffices to show that it is a group homomorphism. Let $h, h' \in H$. Setting $\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{h} = (e, H, 1 \mapsto h)$, $\mathfrak{g}' = \widetilde{h'} = (e, H, 1 \mapsto h')$, and $a = a' = 1$ in (135), and using, say, Part (ii) of Definition 8.2, we find that $A = (e, h, e)$, that $\alpha(A) = \widetilde{h}$, and that

$$\widetilde{h} \bullet \widetilde{h'} = (e, H, 1 \mapsto h \cdot h') = \widetilde{h \cdot h'},$$

as desired.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $a \in \rho(\mathfrak{g})$. From (135) and Part (i) of Definition 8.2, we get

$$\widetilde{a} \bullet \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), aH_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, a \mapsto 1),$$

while the desired identity

$$(\widetilde{a} \bullet \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}) \bullet \widetilde{\lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a)} = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), aH_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, a \mapsto \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(a)) = \mathfrak{g}$$

follows by (135) and Part (ii) of Definition 8.2.

(4) Let $A = (f, h, f') \in E \times H \times E$. Then $\widetilde{f} \bullet \widetilde{h} = (f, H_f, 1 \mapsto h)$ by (135) and Part (ii) of Definition 8.2, and the desired identity $(\widetilde{f} \bullet \widetilde{h}) \bullet \widetilde{f'} = \alpha(A)$ follows now from (135).

(5) By definition, $\varepsilon(\widetilde{f}) = f$ for all $f \in E$, so that ε is indeed a retract of the map $\widetilde{\ } : E \to \mathfrak{G}$; in particular, ε is surjective. Next, using (135) together with Parts (i) and (ii) of Definition 8.2, one sees that, for $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $h, h' \in H$,

$$\varepsilon(\tilde{h} \bullet \mathfrak{g}) = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}) = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g} \bullet \tilde{h'}),$$

so that ε induces a well-defined surjective map $\hat{\varepsilon} : \widetilde{H} \backslash \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H} \to E$. Setting $C(\mathfrak{g}) := \widetilde{H} \bullet \mathfrak{g} \bullet \widetilde{H} \in \widetilde{H} \setminus \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H}$ for $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$, we have $C(\mathfrak{g}) = C(\widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})})$ by Part (3) of our proposition,

thus $\hat{\varepsilon} : \widetilde{H} \setminus \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H} \to E$ is a bijection. Also, by Part (1) of the proposition,

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(\overline{C(\mathfrak{g})}) = \hat{\varepsilon}(C(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})) = \hat{\varepsilon}(C(\widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})})) = \varepsilon(\widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})}) = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}) = \overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} = \overline{\hat{\varepsilon}(C(\mathfrak{g}))},$$

so that the bijection $\hat{\varepsilon} : \widetilde{H} \setminus \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H} \to E$ respects the bar operation, and we also have $\hat{\varepsilon}(C(\widetilde{1})) = e$. Finally, the associative hyperoperation $\circ$ on E, as defined in the proposition, is obtained by transporting the canonical hyperoperation on the double coset space $\widetilde{H} \setminus \mathfrak{G} / \widetilde{H}$ via the bijection $\hat{\varepsilon}$, making use of Part (4). □

Definition 8.7. The group $\mathfrak{G}$ associated with a group-like graph of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ based on the pair (E, H) according to Part (1) of Proposition 8.6 is called the *fundamental group* of $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$, and we write $\mathfrak{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$.

8.3. **A presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$.** Let $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ be a group-like graph of groups based on the pair (E, H) with its associated fundamental group $\mathfrak{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ as defined in Section 8.2. In order to obtain a combinatorial description of the group $\mathfrak{G}$, we proceed as follows.

First, for each $f \in E$, we choose a left transversal P_f for H modulo its subgroup H_f, with $1 \in P_f$. Consequently, according to Part (3) of Proposition 8.6, we obtain a *normal form* for the elements of $\mathfrak{G}$: setting, for $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\rho(\mathfrak{g}) \cap P_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} = \{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$ and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} = \lambda(\mathfrak{g})(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}})$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}} \bullet \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \bullet \widetilde{\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}}. \tag{136}$$

Next, for each pair $(f, f') \in E \times E$, we choose a set $Q_{f,f'} \subseteq H$ of pairwise inequivalent representatives for the double cosets in the space $H_f \setminus H / H_{f'}$ with $1 \in Q_{f,f'}$, and with $Q_{f',f} = Q_{f,f'}^{-1} := \{q^{-1} : q \in Q_{f,f'}\}$, provided that $f \neq f'$. The following lemma investigates the behaviour under multiplication of the invariants $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' \in \mathfrak{G}$, let $h \in Q_{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}, a \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, b \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}$ be chosen such that $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(a) h b$, and set $\mathfrak{u} := \alpha(\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), h, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}'))$. Then we have the following:*

(i) $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}') = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})$,

(ii) $\rho_{\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}'} \equiv \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot a \cdot \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} \bmod H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})}$,

(iii) $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}'} = \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})}(\rho_{\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}}) \cdot \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \cdot \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \cdot \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}$.

Proof. Let $A = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), h, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}'))$ and $B = (\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}'))$. Thus $\alpha(A) = \mathfrak{u}$, and, by Lemma 8.3, we obtain

$$\alpha(B) = \big(\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u}),\, a\rho(\mathfrak{u}),\, a\rho_{\mathfrak{u}} \mapsto \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \cdot \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b)\big).$$

According to (135), we get

$$\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}' = \big(\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u}),\, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho(\mathfrak{u}),\, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} \mapsto \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \cdot \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \cdot \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}\big),$$

from which Assertions (i) and (ii) follow immediately. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} &= \lambda(\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}')(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'})\\ &= \lambda(\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}')(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}a\rho_{\mathfrak{u}}\cdot(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}a\rho_{\mathfrak{u}})^{-1})\\ &= \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})}(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}a\rho_{\mathfrak{u}})\cdot\lambda_{\mathfrak{u}}\cdot\iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b)\cdot\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'},\end{aligned}$$

whence (iii). □

Next, we choose an *orientation* of $(E,{}^{-})$, that is, a subset $E_+\subseteq E$ such that

$$E = E_+ \amalg \{\bar f : f\in E_+ \text{ and } f\neq\bar f\};$$

in particular, $e=\bar e\in E_+$. We denote by $F=F_X$ the free group with basis

$$X=\{x_f : f\in E_+\setminus\{e\}\};$$

that is, the elements of X are in bijective correspondence with the elements of the set $E'_+ := E_+\setminus\{e\}$, and we let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}} = H * F$ be the free product of the groups H and F. According to Part (3) of Proposition 8.6, the group $\mathfrak{G}$ is generated by the union $\widetilde{H}\cup\widetilde{E}$. Moreover, by Part (1) of Proposition 8.6, the definition of the map ${}^{\sim}: E\to\mathfrak{G}$, and Part (ii) of Definition 8.1, we have $\widetilde{f}^{-1}=\widetilde{\bar f}$ for $f\in E$ and $f\neq\bar f$, so that $\mathfrak{G}$ is generated by $\widetilde{H}\cup\widetilde{E'_+}$. We note that , in general,

$$\tilde f^{-1} = \tilde{\bar f}\bullet\widetilde{\theta_f}^{-1},\quad f\in E. \tag{137}$$

We have a canonical epimorphism $\pi:\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}\to\mathfrak{G}$ with $\pi(h)=\widetilde{h}$ for $h\in H$, and $\pi(x_f)=\widetilde{f}$ for $f\in E'_+$. It is convenient to set $x_e:=1$ and $x_f:=x_{\bar f}^{-1}$ for $f\in E\setminus E_+$, so that we have $\pi(x_f)=\widetilde{f}$ for all $f\in E$. In order to obtain the desired presentation of $\mathfrak{G}$ in terms of the subgroup H and the set E_+, we have to provide a system of generators for the kernel $K=\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi)$ as a normal subgroup of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Denote by $\sim_1$ the congruence on the group $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ generated by the family of pairs

$$(hx_f, x_f\iota_f(h)),\quad (f\in E'_+,\, h\in H_f).$$

Note that we have

$$hx_f\sim_1 x_f\,\iota_f(h),\quad (f\in E,\, h\in H_f).$$

Indeed, this is clear for $f=e$, and if $f\in E\setminus E_+$ and $h\in H_f$, then $\bar f\in E'_+$ and, by definition of $\sim_1$,

$$\iota_f(h^{-1})x_{\bar f}\sim_1 x_{\bar f}\iota_{\bar f}(\iota_f(h^{-1}))=x_{\bar f}h^{-1},$$

hence

$$hx_f = hx_{\bar f}^{-1}\sim_1 x_{\bar f}^{-1}\iota_f(h)=x_f\iota_f(h),$$

as desired. The quotient group $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}:=\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim_1$ is an HNN-extension with base group H, stable letters x_f for $f\in E'_+$, associated subgroups $H_f, H_{\bar f}$, and associated isomorphisms $\iota_f : H_f\to H_{\bar f}$. By the normal form theorem for HNN-extensions, the projection $\widehat{\pi}:\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}\to\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}$ is the identity on the factors H and F of the free product $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}=H*F$, so we may use the same symbols for the images via $\widehat{\pi}$ of the elements in $H\cup F$; cf. for instance, Theorem 3 in [13, Chap. VI]. We also note that, by the definition (135) of the group operation $\bullet$, the epimorphism $\pi:\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}\to\mathfrak{G}$ factors through $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}$, so that $\mathfrak{G}$ is a

quotient of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}$. More explicitly, combining Part (iii) of Definition 8.2 with Lemma 8.3, we find that

$$\alpha(\bar{f}, h, f) = \widetilde{\theta_{\bar{f}} \iota_f(h)}, \quad (f \in E,\, h \in H_f). \tag{138}$$

Next, denote by $\sim_2$ the congruence on $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}$ generated by the family of pairs

$$(x_f h x_{f'}, \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}) \quad (f, f' \in E',\, h \in Q_{\bar{f},f'},\, \mathfrak{g} = \alpha(f, h, f')),$$

where $E' := E \setminus \{e\}$, and let $\sim$ be the congruence on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ generated by the union of the congruence $\sim_1$ and the lifting via $\widehat{\pi}$ of the congruence $\sim_2$ to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$. Note that, by Parts (i) and (ii) of Definition 8.2, we have

$$x_f h x_{f'} \sim \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ for } f, f' \in E,\, h \in Q_{\bar{f},f'}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} = \alpha(f, h, f').$$

By Part (4) of Proposition 8.6 plus Equation (136), the epimorphism $\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}} \to \mathfrak{G}$ factors through the quotient $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim_2$. Moreover, we have the following statement refining [5, Proposition 4.4.(ii)].

Theorem 8.9. *The canonical projection $\varphi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim \to \mathfrak{G}$ induced by $\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}} \to \mathfrak{G}$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, the group $\mathfrak{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ is generated by the free product $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}} = H * F$ modulo the relations of type* (I)

$$h x_f = x_f \iota_f(h), \quad (f \in E'_+,\, h \in H_f), \tag{139}$$

together with the relations of type (II)

$$x_f h x_{f'} = \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (f, f' \in E',\, h \in Q_{\bar{f},f'},\, \mathfrak{g} = \alpha(f, h, f')). \tag{140}$$

Proof. First, note that, by construction, the relations of types (I) and (II) hold in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim$ for arbitrary $f, f' \in E$. Next, making use of the invariants $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of an element $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}$, define a map $\psi : \mathfrak{G} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\!\sim$ via

$$\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \psi(\mathfrak{g}) := \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ modulo } \sim .$$

It suffices to show that ψ is a homomorphism; that is, that

$$L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'} := \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'} \sim \rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}')} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} =: R_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'}$$

holds for all $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' \in \mathfrak{G}$. Once this is accomplished, it is easily checked on generators that $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_{\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}/\sim}$, whence injectivity of φ.

Given $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' \in \mathfrak{G}$, let $h \in Q_{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})},\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}$, $a \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$, and $b \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}$ be such that $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(a) h b$. Then

$$L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'} = \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}\, \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(a) h b\, x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}.$$

Since

$$x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}\, \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}(a) \sim a x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$$

as well as

$$b x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')} \sim x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}\, \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b)$$

by relations of type (I), it follows that

$$L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'} \sim \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} h x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}.$$

Set $\mathfrak{u} := \alpha(\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}), h, \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')) = \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \bullet \widetilde{h} \bullet \widetilde{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}$. Since

$$x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} h x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')} \ \sim \ \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}}$$

by a type (II) relation, we deduce that

$$L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'} \ \sim \ \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}. \tag{141}$$

Further, by Parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 8.8, we have $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g} \bullet \mathfrak{g}') = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})$ as well as $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} \in H_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})}$, so that

$$(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}}) x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \ \sim \ x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}}) \tag{142}$$

by a type (I) relation. Making use of (142), as well as Parts (i) and (iii) of Lemma 8.8, we now find that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'} &= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}')} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} \\ &= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}}) \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'} \\ &\sim \rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'} (\rho_{\mathfrak{g}\bullet\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}}) x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'} \\ &= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} a \rho_{\mathfrak{u}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{u})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{u}} \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(b) \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \end{aligned}$$

the last displayed expression being equivalent to $L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}'}$ by (141), as desired. □

8.4. **Reducing the number of type (II) relations.** In specific cases, we may discard some of the type (II) relations using the concrete combinatorial structure of the given polygroup E. In any case, we have the following.

Lemma 8.10. *In the context of Theorem* 8.9, *choose a total order* $\leq$ *on the set* $E' = E \setminus \{e\}$. *Then the relations of type* (II) *with the pair* (f, f') *satisfying* $\bar{f} > f'$ *can be derived from type* (I) *relations plus the type* (II) *relations with* $\bar{f} \leq f'$.

Proof. Let $f, f' \in E'$ be such that $\bar{f} > f'$, and let $h \in Q_{\bar{f},f'}$. As $\bar{f} \neq f'$, it follows that $h^{-1} \in Q_{f',\bar{f}}$, so that we have an accepted type (II) relation

$$x_{\bar{f}'} h^{-1} x_{\bar{f}} = \rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})} \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \alpha(\bar{f}', h^{-1}, \bar{f}). \tag{143}$$

On the other hand, making use of Equations (136) and (137), Part (4) of Proposition 8.6, plus the fact that, by Definition 8.1, $\theta_f = \theta_{\bar{f}}$ for $f \in E$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}' &:= \alpha(f, h, f') \\ &= \widetilde{f} \bullet \widetilde{h} \bullet \widetilde{f'} \\ &= (\widetilde{f} \bullet \widetilde{\bar{f}}) \bullet (\widetilde{\bar{f}}^{-1} \bullet \widetilde{h} \bullet \widetilde{\bar{f}'}^{-1}) \bullet (\widetilde{\bar{f}'} \bullet \widetilde{f'}) \\ &= \widetilde{\theta_f} \bullet \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \bullet \widetilde{\theta_{f'}} \\ &= \widetilde{\theta_f} \bullet \widetilde{\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1} \bullet \widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}} \bullet \widetilde{\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}}^{-1} \bullet \widetilde{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1} \bullet \widetilde{\theta_{f'}}. \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

We now apply Lemma 8.8 to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \widetilde{(\theta_f \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}} \bullet \widetilde{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}}) \bullet \widetilde{\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}} \theta_{f'} = (\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, \theta_f \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} H_{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}}, \theta_f \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \mapsto 1) \bullet (e, H, 1 \mapsto \theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1} \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \theta_{f'})$$

of $\mathfrak{g}'$ obtained in (144). In the notation of that lemma, we may take $a = b = h = 1$, so that, by Part (ii) of Definition 8.2,

$$\mathfrak{u} = \alpha(\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, 1, e) = (\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}, H_{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}}, 1 \mapsto 1).$$

From Parts (i) and (iii) of Lemma 8.8, we find that

$$\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}') = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{u}) = \overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}$$

and that

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}\theta_f\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1})\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\theta_{f'}.$$

Finally, using the accepted type (II) relations $x_t x_{\bar{t}} = \theta_t$ for $t \in E$, Relation (143), and a suitable type (I) relation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_f h x_{f'} &= (x_f x_{\bar{f}})(x_{\bar{f}'} h^{-1} x_{\bar{f}})^{-1}(x_{\bar{f}'} x_{f'}) \\
&= \theta_f(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1}\theta_{f'} \\
&= \theta_f\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} x_{\overline{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}}\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\theta_{f'} \\
&= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}((\rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}\theta_f\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1})x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')})\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\theta_{f'} \\
&= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}(x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}\iota_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}\theta_f\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}))\theta_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})}^{-1}\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\theta_{f'} \\
&= \rho_{\mathfrak{g}'} x_{\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}')}\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}'},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

8.5. **Solving the extension problem.** Group-like graphs of groups and their fundamental groups arise naturally in the study of group actions on groupoids. Let $\Phi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{X})$ be an action of the group G on the connected groupoid $\mathbf{X}$. Then, in a manner analogous to classical Bass-Serre theory for group actions on (simplicial) graphs, one can assodiate with Φ a group-like graph of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ such that there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \Pi \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\Pi = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ and $N \cong \pi_1(\mathbf{X})$; cf. [5] and [11]. In this setting of a *structure theorem for group actions on groupoids*, Theorem 2.1 arises as the special case where the action is of simplicial type (i. e., the groupoid $\mathbf{X}$ is a blow-up of a non-empty set). Our solution of the Schreier-type extension problem for group extensions of polygroups by groups below in particular provides an alternative approach to Theorem 2.1; see Section 8.6.

Given a group H and a polygroup $(E; \circ, {}^{-}, e)$, let $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ and $\mathrm{GEXT}(E, H)$ be as defined in 8.1. We come now to the description of $\mathrm{GEXT}(E, H)$ via group-like graphs of groups as *generalized factor systems*.

Let $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$ be the set of those group-like graphs of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ based on the pair $(\Gamma(E), H)$, such that the hyperoperation of the polygroup E is connected with the operations α, ε of $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ via the identity

$$f \circ f' = \big\{\varepsilon(\alpha(f, h, f')) : h \in H\big\}, \quad (f, f' \in E). \tag{145}$$

$\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$ is a groupoid, possibly empty, with morphisms defined as follows.

Definition 8.11. *By a morphism* $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha')$ *between two group-like graphs of groups* $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ *and* $(\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha')$ *based on the same pair* (E, H) *we mean a pair* (c, d) *consisting of maps* $c : E \longrightarrow H$, $f \mapsto c_f$, *and* $d : E \longrightarrow H, f \mapsto d_f$, *such that*

(i) $c_e = d_e = 1$, $d_f = c_{\bar{f}}^{-1}$ *for* $f \neq \bar{f}$, *and* $d_f c_f \in H_f$ *for* $f = \bar{f}$,

(ii) $H'_f = c_f H_f c_f^{-1}$, $\iota'_f(c_f h c_f^{-1}) = d_f^{-1} \iota_f(h) d_f$ *for* $h \in H_f$, *and* $\theta'_f = c_f \theta_f \iota_f(d_f c_f) d_f$ *for* $f = \bar{f}$,

(iii) *For any triple* $A = (f, h, f') \in E \times H \times E$, *setting* $A' = (f, d_f^{-1} h c_{f'}^{-1}, f')$, *we have*

$$\varepsilon'(\alpha'(A')) = \varepsilon(\alpha(A)),$$

$$\rho'(\alpha'(A')) = c_f \, \rho(\alpha(A)) \, c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1},$$

$$\lambda'(\alpha'(A'))(c_f \, x \, c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1}) = d_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \, \lambda(\alpha(A))(x) \, d_{f'}, \quad (x \in \rho(\alpha(A))).$$

The composition rule for composable morphisms (c, d) and (c', d') is $(c', d') \circ (c, d) := (c'', d'')$, where $c''_f = c'_f c_f, d''_f = d_f d'_f$ for $f \in E$; in particular,

$$(c, d) : (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha) \to (\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha') \text{ and } (f \mapsto c_f^{-1}, f \mapsto d_f^{-1}) : (\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha') \to (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$$

are isomorphisms inverse to each other. We denote by $GFS(E, H)$ the set of isomorphism classes (connected components) of the groupoid $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$.

Theorem 8.12. *Let* H *be a group, and let* $(E, \circ, {}^{-}, e)$ *be a polygroup. Then there exists a full and essentially surjective*[8] *covariant functor*

$$\delta : \mathcal{GFS}(E, H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GEXT}(E, H).$$

In particular, the induced map $\widehat{\delta} : \mathrm{GFS}(E, H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GEXT}(E, H)$ *is a bijection.*

Proof. We define a covariant functor $\delta : \mathcal{GFS}(E, H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ as follows. An object $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ of the category $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$ is sent by δ to the triple

$$\delta(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha) := (\mathfrak{G}, {}^{\sim}\colon H \to \mathfrak{G}, \varepsilon : \mathfrak{G} \to E), \quad \mathfrak{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha),$$

which is an object of the category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ in view of Part (5) of Proposition 8.6 and Condition (145).

A morphism $(c, d) : (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha')$ of the groupoid $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$ is sent by δ to the morphism

$$\delta(c, d) : (\mathfrak{G}, {}^{\sim}\colon H \to \mathfrak{G}, \varepsilon : \mathfrak{G} \to E) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{G}', {}^{\sim'}\colon H \to \mathfrak{G}', \varepsilon'\colon \mathfrak{G}' \to E)$$

of the groupoid $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$, defined by

$$\delta(c, d)(f, aH_f, a \mapsto b) := (f, ac_f^{-1} H'_f, ac_f^{-1} \mapsto d_f^{-1} b), \quad (a, b \in H).$$

This definition of $\delta(c, d)$ invites a fair amount of comment.

a) $\delta(c, d) : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}'$ *is well defined.* Indeed, let

$$(f, \tilde{a} H_f, \tilde{a} \mapsto \iota_f(h)^{-1} b)$$

[8]Such a functor is also called "representative" or "dense" in the literature; cf. for instance [50, Chap. 1, Note 3.11] and [32, Def. 12.5].

be a second description for the group element $\mathfrak{g} = (f, aH_f, a \mapsto b) \in \mathfrak{G}$, where $\tilde{a} = ah$ with some $h \in H_f$. Then

$$\tilde{a}c_f^{-1}H'_f = ahc_f^{-1}H'_f = ac_f^{-1} \cdot c_f hc_f^{-1}H'_f = ac_f^{-1}H'_f$$

since $c_f hc_f^{-1} \in H'_f$ by Part (ii) of Definition 8.11. Also, again using Part (ii) of Definition 8.11, the rigid map $\lambda : ac_f^{-1}H'_f \to H$ given by $ac_f^{-1} \mapsto d_f^{-1}b$ is seen to send $\tilde{a}c_f^{-1} = ac_f^{-1} \cdot c_f hc_f^{-1}$ to

$$\iota'_f(c_f hc_f^{-1})^{-1}d_f^{-1}b = (d_f^{-1}\iota_f(h)d_f)^{-1}d_f^{-1}b = d_f^{-1}\iota_f(h)^{-1}b,$$

thus agrees with the rigid map $\tilde{a}c_f^{-1}H'_f \to H$ given by $\tilde{a}c_f^{-1} \mapsto d_f^{-1}\iota_f(h)^{-1}b$, as desired.

b) $\delta(c,d)$ *is injective.* Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = (f_1, a_1H_{f_1}, a_1 \mapsto b_1)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = (f_2, a_2H_{f_2}, a_2 \mapsto b_2)$ be elements of $\mathfrak{G}$, and suppose that

$$(f_1, a_1c_{f_1}^{-1}H'_{f_1}, a_1c_{f_1}^{-1} \mapsto d_{f_1}^{-1}b_1) = (f_2, a_2c_{f_2}^{-1}H'_{f_2}, a_2c_{f_2}^{-1} \mapsto d_{f_2}^{-1}b_2). \tag{146}$$

We have to show that $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2$. Comparing first components in (146), we see that $f_1 = f_2$ while, comparing second components, we observe that $a_2c_{f_1}^{-1} = a_1c_{f_1}^{-1}h$ for some $h \in H'_{f_1}$. Thus,

$$a_2H_{f_2} = a_1c_{f_1}^{-1}hc_{f_1}H_{f_1} = a_1H_{f_1},$$

since $c_{f_1}^{-1}hc_{f_1} \in H_{f_1}$. Also, comparing third components in (146), we find that

$$\iota'_{f_1}(h)^{-1}d_{f_1}^{-1}b_1 = d_{f_1}^{-1}b_2.$$

Hence, the rigid map $a_1H_{f_1} \to H$ given by $a_1 \mapsto b_1$ sends $a_2 = a_1 \cdot c_{f_1}^{-1}hc_{f_1}$ to

$$\iota_{f_1}(c_{f_1}^{-1}hc_{f_1})^{-1}b_1 = (d_{f_1}\iota'_{f_1}(h)d_{f_1}^{-1})^{-1}b_1 = d_{f_1} \cdot \iota'_{f_1}(h)^{-1}d_{f_1}^{-1}b_1 = b_2,$$

as required.

c) $\delta(c,d)$ *is a homomorphism.* For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathfrak{g}_i = (f_i, a_iH_{f_i}, a_i \mapsto b_i) \in \mathfrak{G}$, and set $\mathfrak{g}'_i := \delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_i) = (f_i, a_ic_{f_i}^{-1}H'_{f_i}, a_ic_{f_i}^{-1} \mapsto d_{f_i}^{-1}b_i) \in \mathfrak{G}'$. By (135), we get

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2 = (\varepsilon(\alpha(A)), a_1\rho(\alpha(A)), a_1x \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A))(x)b_2),$$

where $A = (f_1, b_1a_2, f_2)$, and

$$\mathfrak{g}'_1 \bullet' \mathfrak{g}'_2 = (\varepsilon'(\alpha'(A')), a_1c_{f_1}^{-1}\rho'(\alpha'(A')), a_1c_{f_1}^{-1}y \mapsto \lambda'(\alpha'(A'))(y)d_{f_2}^{-1}b_2), \tag{147}$$

where $A' = (f_1, d_{f_1}^{-1}b_1a_2c_{f_2}^{-1}, f_2)$. Setting $\rho(\alpha(A)) = pH_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}$ with some suitable $p \in H$, we thus find that

$$\delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2) = \big(\varepsilon(\alpha(A)),\, a_1pc_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1}H'_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))},\, a_1pc_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \mapsto dp_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1}\lambda(\alpha(A))(p)b_2\big), \tag{148}$$

which now has to be compared with (147). Noting that the triples A, A' fit into the context of Part (iii) of Definition 8.11, we in particular infer that

$$\varepsilon'(\mathfrak{g}'_1 \bullet' \mathfrak{g}'_2) = \varepsilon'(\alpha'(A')) = \varepsilon(\alpha(A)) = \varepsilon'(\delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2))$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'(\mathfrak{g}_1' \bullet' \mathfrak{g}_2') &= a_1 c_{f_1}^{-1} \rho'(\alpha'(A')) \\ &= a_1 c_{f_1}^{-1} (c_{f_1} \rho(\alpha(A)) c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1}) \\ &= a_1 p H_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))} c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \\ &= a_1 p c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} H'_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))} \\ &= \rho'(\delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2)),\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of Part (ii) of Definition 8.11 in Step 4. Finally, again using Part (iii) of Definition 8.11, we see that the element

$$a_1 p c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} = a_1 c_{f_1}^{-1} \cdot c_{f_1} p c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \in a_1 c_{f_1}^{-1} \rho'(\alpha'(A'))$$

is mapped under $\lambda'(\mathfrak{g}_1' \bullet' \mathfrak{g}_2')$ to

$$\lambda'(\alpha'(A'))(c_{f_1} p c_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1}) d_{f_2}^{-1} b_2 = d_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \lambda(\alpha(A))(p) d_{f_2} d_{f_2}^{-1} b_2 = d_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}^{-1} \lambda(\alpha(A))(p) b_2,$$

so that $\lambda'(\mathfrak{g}_1' \bullet' \mathfrak{g}_2') = \lambda'(\delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2))$. It follows that

$$\delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bullet \mathfrak{g}_2) = \delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_1) \bullet' \delta(c,d)(\mathfrak{g}_2), \quad (\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2 \in \mathfrak{G}),$$

as desired. Surjectivity of $\delta(c,d)$ is obvious, while the equations $\delta(c,d) \circ {}^{\sim} = {}^{\sim'}$ and $\varepsilon' \circ \delta(c,d) = \varepsilon$ follow from Parts (i) and (ii) of Definition 8.11 (more precisely the facts that $c_e = d_e = 1$ and $H'_e = c_e H_e c_e^{-1} = H$) plus the definition of the map $\delta(c,d)$. Thus, $\delta(c,d)$ is indeed a morphism in the category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$ from $(\mathfrak{G}, {}^{\sim}, \varepsilon)$ to $(\mathfrak{G}', {}^{\sim'}, \varepsilon')$ as claimed. Functoriality of δ is clear.

Next, we show that the functor δ is *full*; in particular, the induced map $\widehat{\delta} : \mathrm{GFS}(E,H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GEXT}(E,H)$ is injective. Let $\mathfrak{H} = (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha), \mathfrak{H}' = (\mathbb{H}', \iota', \theta', \alpha')$, be objects in the category $\mathcal{GFS}(E,H)$, and let $\delta(\mathfrak{H}) = (\mathfrak{G}, {}^{\sim}, \varepsilon), \delta(\mathfrak{H}') = (\mathfrak{G}', {}^{\sim'}, \varepsilon')$ be their images under the functor δ. Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{G}'$ be a group isomorphism satisfying the compatibility conditions $\varphi \circ {}^{\sim} = {}^{\sim'}$ and $\varepsilon' \circ \varphi = \varepsilon$; that is, $\varphi : \delta(\mathfrak{H}) \to \delta(\mathfrak{H}')$ is a morphism in the category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$. In order to lift φ to a morphism $(c,d) : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}'$, we proceed as follows. Let $f \in E$. As $\varepsilon(\widetilde{f}) = f = \varepsilon'(\varphi(\widetilde{f}))$, there exist $c_f, d_f \in H$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{f}) = (f, c_f^{-1} H'_f, c_f^{-1} \mapsto d_f^{-1})$. Clearly, we may choose the c_f's and d_f's such that the normalizing condition (i) from Definition 8.11 is satisfied, and we check that Conditions (ii) and (iii) hold, to conclude that $(c,d) \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}')$. For instance, the identities in Part (iii) of Definition 8.11 result from the fact that φ is a homomorphism applied to, say, elements $\mathfrak{g}_1 = (f_1, H_{f_1}, 1 \mapsto h)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \widetilde{f}_2$. We omit the straightforward but somewhat tedious computations. Since, by construction, the group homomorphisms $\delta(c,d)$ and φ agree on the generating system $\widetilde{H} \cup \widetilde{E}$ of $\mathfrak{G}$, we have $\delta(c,d) = \varphi$ as desired.

Finally, we have to show that δ is *essentially surjective*; that is, given any object

$$\mathbb{G} = \big(G,\, j : H \to G,\, p : G \to E\big)$$

of $\mathcal{GEXT}(E,H)$, there exists an object $\mathfrak{H}$ of $\mathcal{GFS}(E,H)$ such that $\mathbb{G} \cong \delta(\mathfrak{H})$; in particular, the induced map $\widehat{\delta}$ is surjective. In order to exhibit such an object $\mathfrak{H}$, we choose a section $\sigma : E \to G$ of the projection map $p : G \to E$ such that $\sigma(e) =$

$1, \sigma(\bar{f}) = \sigma(f)^{-1}$ for $f \neq \bar{f}$, and $\sigma(f)^2 \in j(H)$ for $f = \bar{f}$. We then define a graph of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta)$ based on $(\Gamma(E), H)$ via

$$H_f := j^{-1}\big(j(H) \cap \sigma(f)j(H)\sigma(f)^{-1}\big), \quad (f \in E),$$
$$\iota_f(h) := j^{-1}\big(\sigma(f)^{-1}j(h)\sigma(f)\big), \quad (f \in E,\, h \in H_f),$$
$$\theta_f := j^{-1}\big(\sigma(f)\sigma(\bar{f})\big), \quad (f \in E).$$

It is straightforward to check Conditions (i)–(iii) in Definition 8.1. Moreover, since the surjective map

$$H \times E \times H \longrightarrow G, \quad (h_1, f, h_2) \mapsto j(h_1)\sigma(f)j(h_2),$$

determines the equivalence relation (132) on the product $H \times E \times H$, we obtain a well-defined bijection

$$\zeta : G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}, \quad j(h_1)\sigma(f)j(h_2) \mapsto (f, h_1H_f, h_1 \mapsto h_2).$$

By construction, we have $\zeta \circ j = \tilde{}$ and $\varepsilon \circ \zeta = p$.

In order to extend the graph of groups $(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta)$, in accordance with Definition 8.2, to a group-like graph of groups, we have to define a suitable map $\alpha : E \times H \times E \to \mathfrak{G}$. For a triple $A = (f, h, f') \in E \times H \times E$, we choose $a = a_A, b = b_A \in H$ such that

$$\sigma(f)j(h)\sigma(f') = j(a)\sigma(p(\sigma(f)j(h)\sigma(f')))j(b),$$

and define $\alpha(A) \in \mathfrak{G}$ via

$$\varepsilon(\alpha(A)) := p(\sigma(f)j(h)\sigma(f')),$$
$$\rho(\alpha(A)) := aH_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))},$$
$$\lambda(\alpha(A))(ap) := \iota_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}(p)^{-1}b, \quad (p \in H_{\varepsilon(\alpha(A))}),$$

noting that this definition does not depend on the choice of the elements a and b. One easily checks Conditions (i)–(iv) of Definition 8.2 to conclude that $\mathfrak{H} = (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ is a group-like graph of groups based on the pair $(\Gamma(E), H)$. In addition, $\mathfrak{H}$ also satisfies (145) since, by assumption, the projection map $p : G \to E$ induces an isomorphism $j(H) \setminus G/j(H) \longrightarrow E$ of polygroups. Consequently, $\mathfrak{H}$ is an object of the category $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$. Finally, using the definition (135) of the group operation $\bullet$ on $\mathfrak{G}$, it is straightforward to see that the bijective map $\zeta : G \to \mathfrak{G}$ defined above is a group homomorphism, and thus a morphism in the category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$ from $\mathbb{G}$ to $\delta(\mathfrak{H})$, whence the required isomorphism $\mathbb{G} \cong \delta(\mathfrak{H})$. □

8.6. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Given a group G and a subgroup $H \leq G$, we denote by E the canonical polygroup with support $H \setminus G/H$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{G} := (G,\, j : H \to G,\, p : G \to E)$$

is an object of the category $\mathcal{GEXT}(E, H)$, where j is the natural inclusion map, and p is the canonical projection given by $g \mapsto C(g) := HgH$. By Theorem 8.12 there exists some object $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$ in $\mathcal{GFS}(E, H)$, such that

$$\mathbb{G} \cong \delta(\mathfrak{H}) = (\mathfrak{G}, \tilde{}\,: H \to \mathfrak{G}, \varepsilon : \mathfrak{G} \to E),$$

where $\mathfrak{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{H}, \iota, \theta, \alpha)$. Theorem 2.1 is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 8.9, the proof of Theorem 8.12 (more precisely, the construction of the group-like graph of groups $\mathcal{H}$), and Lemma 8.10.

References

[1] H. Abels, Generators and relations for groups of homeomorphisms. In: Transformation Groups (Proc. Conf., Univ. Newcastle upon Tyne, 1976), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series Vol. 26, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977, pp. 3–20.

[2] T. Albu, *Co-Galois Theory*, Marcel Decker Inc., New York and Basel, 2002.

[3] T. Albu and Ş. A. Basarab, An abstract Cogalois Theory for profinite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **200** (2005), 227–250.

[4] R. C. Alperin and H. Bass, Length functions of group actions on Λ-trees. In: *Combinatorial group theory and topology* (ed. S. M. Gersten and J. R. Stallings), Ann. Math. Studies 111, pp. 265-378. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.

[5] Ş. A. Basarab, On a problem raised by Alperin and Bass, I: Group actions on groupoids, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **73** (1991), 1–12.

[6] Ş. A. Basarab, Kneser and hereditarily Kneser subgroups of a profinite group, *Serdica Math. J.* **30** (2004), 325-348.

[7] Ş. A. Basarab, Co-Galois and strongly co-Galois actions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 1674-1694.

[8] Ş. A. Basarab, The arithmetic-arboreal residue structure of a Prüfer domain, I. In: *Valuation theory and its applications*, Vol. I (ed. F.-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann and M. Marshall), Fields Inst. Commun. 32, pp. 59-79. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[9] Ş. A. Basarab, Arithmetic-arboreal residue structures induced by Prüfer extensions: An axiomatic approach, arXiv:1011.0855v1 [math.AC] 3 Nov 2010.

[10] Ş. A. Basarab, A more general framework for coGalois theory, arXiv:1311.097v1[math.GR] 4 Nov 2013.

[11] Ş. A. Basarab and T. W. Müller, Deformations of groups, actions, and presentations. Manuscript, in preparation.

[12] H. Bass, Group actions on non-archimedean trees. In: *Arboreal Group Theory*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. Vol. 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. 69–131.

[13] G. Baumslag, *Topics in Combinatorial Group Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1993.

[14] H. Behr, Über die endliche Definierbarkeit von Gruppen, *J. Reine u. Angew. Math.* **211** (1962), 116–122.

[15] I. Ben-Yaacov, On the fine structure of the polygroup blow-up, *Arch. Math. Logic* **42** (2003), 649–663.

[16] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften Vol 319, Springer Verlag, 1999.

[17] K. S. Brown, Presentations for groups acting on simply-connected complexes, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **32** (1984), 1–10.

[18] H. Campaigne, Partition hypergroups, *Amer. J. Math.* **6** (1940), 599–612.

[19] S. D. Comer, Extensions of polygroups by polygroups and their representations using colour schemes. In: Lecture Notes in Math., No. 1004, *Universal Algebra and Lattice Theory* (1982), 91-103.

[20] S. D. Comer, A new foundation for the theory of relations, *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic* **24** (1983), 81–87.

[21] S. D. Comer, Combinatorial aspects of relations, *Algebra Universalis* **18** (1984), 77–94.

[22] A. Connes and C. Consani, The hyperring of adèle classes, *J. Number Theory* **131** (2011), no. 2, 159–194.

[23] B. Davvaz, *Polygroup Theory And Related Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2013.

[24] A. P. Dietzmann, On the multigroups of complete conjugate sets of elements of a group, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **49** (1946), 315–317.
[25] M. Dresher and O. Ore, Theory of multigroups, *Amer. J. Math.* **60** (1938), 705–733.
[26] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.2; 2013 (http://www.gap-system.org).
[27] M. Gerstenhaber, On the algebraic structure of discontinuous groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 745–750.
[28] A. Haefliger, Complexes of groups and orbihedra. In: *Group Theory from a Geometrical Viewpoint*, Proc. of a workshop held at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy (E. Ghys, A. Haefliger, and A. Verjovsky, eds.). World Scientific, 1991, pp. 504–540.
[29] D. K. Harrison, Double coset and orbit spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **80** (1979), no. 2, 451–491.
[30] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups III*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1982.
[31] S. Hosková and J. Chvalina, Discrete transformation hypergroups and transformation hypergroups with phase tolerance space, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 4133–4143.
[32] H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Category Theory*, Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston, 1973.
[33] J. Jantosciak, Transposition hypergroups: noncommutative join spaces, *J. Algebra* **187** (1997), 97-119.
[34] F. Klein, Vergleichende Betrachtungen über neuere geometrische Forschungen (A comparative review of recent researches in geometry), Verlag von Andreas Deichert, Erlangen, 1872.
[35] F. Klein, Neue Beiträge zur Riemann'schen Funktionentheorie, *Math. Ann.* **20** (1882), 141–218.
[36] F. Klein and R. Fricke, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der automorphen Functionen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1897–1912.
[37] M. Kneser, Lineare Abhängigkeit von Wurzeln, *Acta Arith.* **26** (1974/1975), 307-308.
[38] M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, *Intern. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **6** (1983), no. 6, 307–312.
[39] A. M. Macbeath, Groups of homeomorphisms of a simply connected space, *Ann. Math.* **79** (1964), 473–488.
[40] F. Marty, Sur une généralisation de la notion de groupe, *8th Congres Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm*, (1934), 45-49.
[41] F. Marty, Sur les groupes et hypergroupes attachés à une fraction rationnelle, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **53** (1936), 83-123.
[42] J. Mittas, Sur une classe d'hypergroupes commutatifs, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **Sér. A-B 269** (1969), A485–A488.
[43] J. Mittas, Hypergroupes canoniques hypervalués, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **Sér. A-B 271** (1970), A4–A7.
[44] J. Mittas, Contributions à la théorie des hypergroupes, hyperanneaux et hypercorps hypervalués, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **Sér. A-B 272** (1971), A3–A6.
[45] L. J. Mordell, On the linear independence of algebraic numbers, *Pacific J. Math.* **3** (1953), 625-630.
[46] J. Morgan and P. Shalen, Valuations, trees and degenerations of hyperbolic structures I, *Ann. Math.* **120** (1984), 401-476.
[47] T. W. Müller, *Generalized Group Extensions*. Monograph, in preparation.
[48] T. W. Müller, *Group Actions On Λ-Graphs*. Monograph, in preparation.
[49] H. Poincaré, Theorie des groupes Fuchsiennes, *Acta Math.* **1** (1882), 1–62.
[50] N. Popescu and L. Popescu, *Theory of Categories*, Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers, 1979.
[51] W. Prenowitz, Projective geometries as multigroups, *Amer. J. Math.* **65** (1943), 235–256.
[52] W. Prenowitz, Descriptive geometries as multigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1946), 333–380.
[53] W. Prenowitz, Spherical geometries and multigroups, *Canad. J. Math.* **2** (1950), 100–119.
[54] W. Prenowitz and J. Jantosciak, Geometries and join spaces, *J. Reine u. Angew. Math.* **257** (1972), 100–128.
[55] R. L. Roth, Character and conjugacy hypergroups of a finite group, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **105** (1975), 295–311.

[56] E. Rips, Y. Segev, and K. Tent, A sharply 2-transitive group without a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup, arXiv:1406.0382v4.
[57] A. Schinzel, On linear dependence of roots, *Acta Arith.* **28** (1975), 161-175.
[58] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New-York 1980.
[59] C. L. Siegel, Discontinuous groups, *Ann. Math.* **44** (1943), 674–678.
[60] C. L. Siegel, Algebraische Abhängigkeit von Wurzeln, *Acta Arith.* **21** (1972), 59-64.
[61] C. Soulé, Groupes opérant sur un complexe simplicial avec domaine fondamental, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (A)* **276** (1973), 607–609.
[62] J. R. Stallings, Non-positively curved triangles of groups. In: *Group Theory from a Geometrical Viewpoint*, Proc. of a workshop held at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy (E. Ghys, A. Haefliger, and A. Verjovsky, eds.). World Scientific, 1991, pp. 491–503.
[63] R. G. Swan, Generators and relations for special linear groups, *Adv. in Math.* **6** (1971), 1–77.
[64] G. Tallini, On Steiner hypergroups and linear codes, *Univ. Studi Udine*, 1985, 87–91.
[65] J. Tits, *Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN-Pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 386, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
[66] Y. Utumi, On hypergroups of groups right cosets, *Osaka Math. J.* **1** (1949), 73–80.
[67] T. Vougiouklis, Representations of hypergroups by generalized permutations, *Algebra Universalis* **29** (1992), 172–183.
[68] A. Weil, On discrete subgroups of Lie groups, *Ann. Math.* **72** (1960), 369–384.
[69] E. Witt, Die 5-fach transitiven Gruppen von Mathieu, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hambg.* **12** (1938), 256–264.

†Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O.Box 1-764, RO-70109 Bucharest, Romania

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary & Westfield College, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom.